公募研究「初期特異点のない新しい宇宙モデルとその観測的検証」

Generic instabilities of non-singular cosmologies in second-order theories: A no-go theorem

Tsutomu Kobayashi 小林努
Rikkyo University 立教大学

Based on

TK, PRD94 (2016) 04351 [arXiv:1606.05831]
Shingo Akama & TK, PRD accepted, [arXiv:1701.02926] w/ 赤間進吾(立教大学)

Introduction

- Inflation is an almost perfect scenario, but
  - Initial singularity — Borde & Vilenkin gr-qc/9612036
  - Trans-Planckian problem — Martin & Brandenberger hep-th/0005209

- Non-singular cosmologies: bounce, Galilean Genesis, …
  - ~~Null energy condition~~ (NEC)

$$\dot{H} = -4\pi G\left(\rho + p\right) > 0$$

  - Stability?

Battefeld & Peter 1406.2790
Brandenberger & Peter 1603.05834



~~NEC~~ and stability

- Quadratic Lagrangian for curvature perturbation

$$\mathcal{L} = a^3 \left[\mathcal{G}_S(t)\dot{\zeta}^2 - a^{-2}\mathcal{F}_S(t)(\vec{\nabla}\zeta)^2 \right]$$

Ghost/gradient instabilities if $\mathcal{G}_S < 0 \, / \, \mathcal{F}_S < 0$

- $P(\phi, X)$ cosmologies are <u>unstable</u> if NEC is violated:

$X := -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2$

$$\mathcal{F}_S = (8\pi G)^{-1}(-\dot{H})/H^2$$

- Galileon-type 2nd-order theories,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{R}{2\kappa} + G_2(\phi, X) - G_3(\phi, X)\Box\phi + \cdots$$

<u>admit stable NEC-violating solutions</u>, because $\mathcal{F}_S$ and $\mathcal{G}_S$ are not correlated with the sign of $\dot{H}$

Stable non-singular cosmologies?

- Stable NEC-violating phases are possible
- But, known examples exhibit instability at some moment in the entire history
- Typically,
  - gradient instability at the bounce point
  - at the transition from NEC-violating phase to another
  - or at some moment after the bounce

Creminelli, *et al.* 1007.0027; Qui, *et al.* 1108.0593; Easson, *et al.* 1109.1047; Osipov & Rubakov 1303.1221; Cai, *et al.* 1206.2382; Koehn, *et al.* 1310.7577; Pirtskhalava, *et al.* 1410.0882; TK, Yamaguchi, Yokoyama 1504.05710; ……

Example 1 (Bounce)

Koehn, Lehners, Ovrut 1310.7577



Gradient instability at the bounce

Example 2 (Galilean Genesis)

Pirtskhalava, *et al.* 1410.0882
TK, Yamaguchi, Yokoyama 1504.05710



Gradient instability at the transition from Galilean Genesis to inflation

Example 3 (Bounce)

Easson, Sawicki & Vikman 1109.1047



Stable bounce, but eventually $c_s^2 < 0$

Question to be addressed

- **Is the appearance of gradient instabilities generic or model-dependent nature?**

- A partial answer was given by Libanov, Mironov & Rubakov 1605.05992
  - All non-singular cosmological solutions in
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{R}{2\kappa} + G_2(\phi, X) - G_3(\phi, X)\Box\phi$$
are plagued with gradient instabilities

- This talk: *The no-go theorem can be extended to the most general 2nd-order scalar-tensor theory (Horndeski) and to a wide class of theories with multiple scalar fields*

TK 1606.05831; Akama & TK 1701.02926

Horndeski theory

$$\mathcal{L} = G_2(\phi, X) - G_3(\phi, X)\Box\phi + G_4(\phi, X)R$$
$$+G_{4,X}\left[(\Box\phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)^2\right] + G_5(\phi, X)(\cdots)$$

Horndeski (1974); Deffayet, *et al.* 1103.3260;
TK, Yamaguchi, Yokoyama 1105.5723

- The most general scalar-tensor theory with 2nd-order field equations
  - Einstein + canonical scalar, Brans-Dicke, *f*(*R*), k-essence, covariant Galileons, dilatonic Gauss-Bonnet, … as specific cases

Perturbations in Horndeski

TK, Yamaguchi, Yokoyama 1105.5723

- Tensor perturbations

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{a^3}{8}\left[\mathcal{G}_T(t)\dot{h}_{ij}^2 - a^{-2}\mathcal{F}_T(t)(\vec{\nabla}h_{ij})^2\right]$$

Stability

$$\mathcal{F}_T := 2\left[G_4 - X\left(\ddot{\phi}G_{5,X} + G_{5,\phi}\right)\right] > 0$$

$$\mathcal{G}_T := 2\left[G_4 - 2XG_{4,X} - X\left(H\dot{\phi}G_{5,X} - G_{5,\phi}\right)\right] > 0$$

- Curvature perturbation

$$\mathcal{L} = a^3\left[\mathcal{G}_S(t)\dot{\zeta}^2 - a^{-2}\mathcal{F}_S(t)(\vec{\nabla}\zeta)^2\right]$$

Stability

$$\mathcal{F}_S := \frac{1}{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\frac{a\mathcal{G}_T^2}{\Theta}\right) - \mathcal{F}_T > 0,\ \mathcal{G}_S := \cdots > 0$$

with $\Theta := -\dot{\phi}XG_{3,X} + 2HG_4 - 8HXG_{4,X}\cdots$

Proof of no-go

TK 1606.05831

- Consider a non-singular, spatially flat FLRW solution,

$$a(t) > 0, \quad H, \dot{H}, \cdots < \infty \quad (-\infty < t < \infty)$$

- Stability

(Scalar stability) (Tensor stability)

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \frac{1}{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\underbrace{\left(\frac{a\mathcal{G}_T^2}{\Theta}\right)}_{=:\,\xi} - \mathcal{F}_T > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} > a\mathcal{F}_T > 0}$$

$$(-\infty < t < \infty)$$

- $$\xi = \frac{a\mathcal{G}_T^2}{-\dot{\phi}XG_{3,X} + 2HG_4 + \cdots}$$ never vanishes

(> 0, Tensor stability)

($< \infty$, non-singular)

in a non-singular universe

- $\xi(t)$ satisfying these requirements must be …

Proof of no-go

TK 1606.05831





$$0 < a\mathcal{F}_T < \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to -\infty \quad \text{or} \quad t \to +\infty$$

Non-singular cosmological solutions are stable at any moment in the entire history only if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} a\mathcal{F}_T \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{t}^{\infty} a\mathcal{F}_T \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{is convergent}$$

What does $\int_{-\infty} a\mathcal{F}_T \mathrm{d}t < \infty$ mean?

- *Disformal* transformation

$$a_\mathrm{E} = M_\mathrm{Pl}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_T^{1/4}\mathcal{G}_T^{1/4}a, \quad \mathrm{d}t_\mathrm{E} = M_\mathrm{Pl}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_T^{3/4}\mathcal{G}_T^{-1/4}\mathrm{d}t$$

- "Einstein frame" — Gravitons propagate along null geodesics

$$\mathcal{L}_\mathrm{E} = \frac{M_\mathrm{Pl}^2 a_\mathrm{E}^3}{8}\left[\dot{h}_{ij}^2 - a_\mathrm{E}^{-2}(\vec{\nabla}h_{ij})^2\right]$$

Creminelli, *et al.* 1407.8439;
Creminelli, *et al.* 1610.04207

- Past incompleteness in the Einstein frame

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} a\mathcal{F}_T\mathrm{d}t = \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{t_\mathrm{E}} a_\mathrm{E}\mathrm{d}t_\mathrm{E}}_{\text{Affine parameter of a null geodesic}} < \infty$$

— Geodesically incomplete for the propagation of the gravitons

Multiscalar generalization

- The most general multiscalar-tensor theory has not been known

- Generalized multi-Galileon theory: $X^{IJ} := -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^I\partial^\mu\phi^J$

$$\mathcal{L} = G_2(\phi^I, X^{IJ}) - G_{3K}(\phi^I, X^{IJ})\Box\phi^K + G_4(\phi^I, X^{IJ})R + \cdots$$

Padilla & Sivanesan 1210.4026

… is *not* the most general one

TK, Tanahashi, Yamaguchi 1308.4798

- **3 new Lagrangians** for multiscalar-tensor theory Allys 1612.01972

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{\rm ext} = A_{[IJ][KL]M}\delta^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}\partial_{\mu_1}\phi^I\partial_{\mu_2}\phi^J\partial^{\nu_1}\phi^K\partial^{\nu_2}\phi^L\partial_{\mu_3}\partial^{\nu_3}\phi^M, \quad \mathcal{L}_2^{\rm ext} = \cdots, \quad \mathcal{L}_3^{\rm ext} = \cdots$$

— most general to date

Multiscalar generalization

Akama, TK 1701.02926

- No-go theorem can be extended straightforwardly to generalized multi-Galileon + 3 new Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{a^3}{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{IJ}\dot{Q}^I\dot{Q}^J - \frac{1}{a^2}\mathcal{D}_{IJ}\vec{\nabla}Q^I\cdot\vec{\nabla}Q^J + \cdots\right), \quad \phi^I = \overline{\phi}^I(t) + Q^I(t,\vec{x})$$

- Stability: $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} = (\mathcal{D}_{IJ})$ must be positive definite

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\dot{\phi}^1, \cdots, \dot{\phi}^N\right)\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}\begin{pmatrix}\dot{\phi}^1\\ \vdots \\ \dot{\phi}^N\end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} > a\mathcal{F}_T > 0$$

$\longrightarrow$ same as in the single-field case

- See Creminelli, et al. 1610.04207 for different proof using EFT

Summary

- All non-singular cosmological solutions are plagued with gradient instabilities at some moment in the entire expansion history in the Horndeski theory
— the most general 2nd-order scalar-tensor theory
(if graviton geodesics are past and future complete)

- This can be generalized to theories with multiple scalar fields

---

- To evade no-go, one must go *beyond* Horndeski
  - A new operator $R^{(3)}\delta N$ (in EFT) that is present in GLPV
    Creminelli, *et al.* 1610.04207 — Gleyzes, *et al.* 1404.6495
  - Higer spatial derivatives, $\zeta\partial^4\zeta$, … in Horava gravity/Gao's framework
    Pirtskhalava, *et al.* 1410.0882; TK, Yamaguchi, Yokoyama 1504.05710
    Gao 1406.0822