

Operator dimensions from moduli

Shunsuke Maeda
Kavli IPMU
October 17th, 2017

Introduction

- Conformal field theories are characterized by the scaling dimensions and the three-point functions of their operators. Generically, it is hard to compute them analytically since the theories are strongly coupled.
- Nonetheless, we can write down a conformally invariant effective field theory [Hellerman et al. (2015)] which is weakly coupled in the limit where the charge density is larger than the infrared scale.

Conformally invariant effective field theory at large charge

- In radial quantization when the total charge is large, the lowest state with a given charge is always automatically in the regime where the charge density is large compared to the infrared scale.
- We then choose the Wilsonian cutoff Λ to be much larger than the infrared energy scale but much smaller than the inverse mean distance between charges $\rho^{-1/2}$.
- In this limit both higher derivative operators in the effective action, and quantum loop corrections to observables, are both suppressed by powers of the density in the denominator.
- Thus, operator dimensions and other properties of low-lying states of large charge \mathbf{J} , are calculable perturbatively in \mathbf{J}^{-1} .

Large charge with a vacuum manifold

- Superconformal field theories with an infinite chiral ring have flat directions and their \mathbf{J} -scaling is entirely different from theories that do not have flat directions.
- For 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories with a non-nilpotent chiral ring there is at least one state satisfying the BPS condition $\Delta_{\mathbf{J}} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{R}}$ for arbitrarily $U(1)_{\mathbf{R}}$ -charge $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{R}}$.
- The dimension $\Delta_{\mathbf{J}}$ of the BPS operators receives no quantum corrections, so the scaling of the lowest state is kind of boring.
- However we can still ask about things like the dimensions of near-BPS states such as the second- and third-lowest operators of a given large $U(1)_{\mathbf{R}}$ -charge $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{R}}$.

The superconformal XYZ model

- We compute the dimensions of near-BPS states with large $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{R}}$ in the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal fixed point obtained by starting with three free chiral superfields \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Y} , and \mathbf{Z} , and giving them a superpotential $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{XYZ}$. [Hellerman et al. (2017)].
- This model has a vacuum manifold consisting of three branches connected at the origin, where each one has a vacuum expectation value for one of the three chiral superfields.
- There is an obvious \mathbf{S}_3 symmetry permuting the branches. So, without losing any generality we will study the \mathbf{X} -branch, whose coordinate ring consists of all the operators of the form $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{J}}$.

Superconformal effective field theory

- Integrating out the heavy states, we get a simple description in terms of an effective action on the \mathbf{X} -branch of moduli space.
- The \mathbf{X} -branch is described at leading order in derivatives by the unique conformally invariant Kähler potential $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{K}} |\bar{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{X}|^{3/4}$, where $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a coefficient that we do not know how to calculate without knowing the full form of the Wilsonian action at the fixed point.
- \mathbf{K} is trivial with respect to a new variable $\Phi := \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{K}}^{1/2} \mathbf{X}^{3/4}$ and its conjugate. That is, at leading order this effective description predicts that the physics of the \mathbf{X} -branch is described by a free field theory.
- There are no possible conformal corrections to the form of the Kähler potential. So, any corrections to the free spectrum must come from higher-derivative \mathbf{D} -terms, which has to be super-Weyl-invariant.
- The leading higher-derivative \mathbf{D} -term for a chiral superfield with a nonzero scaling dimension is the Fradkin-Tseytlin-Paneitz-Riegert (FTPR) four-derivative term. On $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with radius \mathbf{r} , it is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FTPR}} = \kappa \frac{1}{\phi} \left[(\nabla^2)^2 - \frac{3}{2\mathbf{r}^2} \nabla^2 + \frac{4}{\mathbf{r}^2} \partial_t^2 - \frac{9}{16\mathbf{r}^4} \right] \frac{1}{\phi} + (\text{fermions}).$$

Energy shift in large- \mathbf{J} perturbation theory

- There is a super-algebraic explanation for the fact that the lowest and the second-lowest states with charge \mathbf{J} do not receive quantum corrections. So let us look for the correction to the third-lowest state with large $U(1)_{\mathbf{R}}$ charge \mathbf{J} .
- we evaluate the matrix element of the FTPR term in the state

$$|\mathbf{2}; \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{2}\rangle := (\mathbf{2}(\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{2})!)^{-1/2} \left(\mathbf{a}_{\phi}^{\dagger} \right)^2 \left(\mathbf{a}_{\phi}^{\dagger} \right)^{\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{2}} |\mathbf{0}\rangle,$$

- which we approximate by $\mathbf{2}^{-1/2} \left(\mathbf{a}_{\phi}^{\dagger} \right)^2 |[\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{2}]\rangle$ where $|[\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{2}]\rangle$ is a coherent state whose average occupation number is $\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{2}$, and evaluate the latter matrix element by perturbation theory in a nontrivial vacuum expectation value of order \mathbf{J} .
- By an explicit calculation, one can show that the third-lowest scalar primary operator with large charge \mathbf{J} has its dimension

$$\Delta = \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{2} - \frac{192\pi^2\kappa}{\mathbf{J}^3} + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{J}^{-4}).$$

Sign constraint

- The coefficient κ is a "non-universal" coefficient in the effective action, that we do not know how to compute.
- However we can bound the FTPR coefficient below at zero by a non-bootstrap argument due to [Adams et al. (2006)].
- If we examine the purely bosonic component of the FTPR term in flat space, we find that it is equal to $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FTPR}}^{\text{flat, bosonic}} = 4\kappa |\partial\phi|^4 / |\phi|^6$.
- [Adams et al. (2006)] have pointed out that κ must always be positive in a consistent effective field theory. A negative κ would lead to violations of unitarity in low-energy moduli scattering, as well as superluminal propagation in backgrounds where a scalar gradient gets an expectation value.
- So the energy shift of the dimension of the third-lowest scalar primary operator is negative definite by some consistency condition that is entirely obscure from the point of view of the underlying CFT.

Three-point functions

- Physics at large charge for superconformal field theories with moduli space is governed by a free field theory with corrections suppressed by charge density.
- The chiral-chiral-antichiral three-point function $\langle \phi^{\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{I}}(\infty) \phi^{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{1}) \bar{\phi}^{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle$ depends on flat space only on the two-point function $\langle \phi^{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{1}) \bar{\phi}^{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle$, which, in the case of theories with eight or more supercharges in four dimensions, is exactly calculable by supersymmetric localization [Gerchkovitz et al. (2017)].
- In free field theory, we have $\langle \phi^{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{1}) \bar{\phi}^{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle = (\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{1})!$.
- However, for 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills with gauge group $SU(2)$, exact localization computation gives $(\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{1})!$, which is bigger than the free theory value by a factor of \mathbf{J} .
- This mismatch is compensated by a contribution from the Wess-Zumino action \mathbf{S}_{WZ} for the conformal anomaly [Komargodski and Schwimmer (2011)]. At large charge, ϕ has a vacuum expectation value (vev) of order \mathbf{J} , so the conformal dilaton $\tau := \log \phi + \text{const.}$ has the vev $\langle \tau \rangle = \log \mathbf{J} + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{1})$. Among others \mathbf{S}_{WZ} has a term $\mathbf{S}_{\text{WZ}} \supset (\mathbf{a}_{\text{UV}} - \mathbf{a}_{\text{IR}}) \int (\text{Euler}_4) \tau$, which, in the case of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(2)$ SYM, $\mathbf{S}_{\text{WZ}} = -\log \mathbf{J} + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{1})$. The Euclidean path integral gets a multiplicative contribution of $\exp(-\mathbf{S}_{\text{WZ}}) = \mathbf{J}$, which gives the factor we need to make up the difference above.
- We believe this kind of calculation works for any 4d superconformal field theories with one-dimensional Coulomb branch.

References

- Adams, A., Arkani-Hamed, N., Dubovsky, S., Nicolis, A., and Rattazzi, R. (2006). Causality, analyticity and an IR obstruction to UV completion. *JHEP*, 10:014.
- Gerchkovitz, E., Gomis, J., Ishtiaque, N., Karasik, A., Komargodski, Z., and Pufu, S. S. (2017). Correlation Functions of Coulomb Branch Operators. *JHEP*, 01:103.
- Hellerman, S., Maeda, S., and Watanabe, M. (2017). Operator Dimensions from Moduli. Hellerman, S., Orlando, D., Reffert, S., and Watanabe, M. (2015). On the CFT Operator Spectrum at Large Global Charge. *JHEP*, 12:071.
- Komargodski, Z. and Schwimmer, A. (2011). On Renormalization Group Flows in Four Dimensions. *JHEP*, 12:099.