Systematic effects in Planck: Evaluation, Processing and lesson learned

Guillaume Patanchon, Univ. Paris Diderot, APC Laboratory, for the Planck Collaboration B-mode from Space, Berkeley, Dec. 17

Planck low ell constraints



Planck reached its objectives at all multipoles

At low ell: $\tau = 0.055 \pm 0.009$



Lessons from Planck

- □ The data analysis and cleaning was a long process and required many iterations
- At the end, we reached the detector fundamental limit for cosmological channels
- □ Some effects were not expected at the level we found them in flight data
 - --> ADC non-linearities
 - --> Long time constants
 - --> Response to cosmic rays
 - --> 1/f noise
 - --> Band-pass mismatch
- □ Coupling between effects was problematic. Ex: 4K lines and ADC non-linearities
- □ but for future experiment targeting $\sigma_r < 10^{-3}$, systematic effects must be controlled to a higher precision, although many effects will probably scale as 1/Ndet.
- Importance of observation redundancies: different survey, different scanning angle (limited for Planck), different detectors etc..., importance of the dipole, 353 GHz is harder to process
- □ Importance of house keeping data. E.g: fully sampled raw data for the ADC correction.
- Many affect as band-pass mismatch, polarization efficiency, calibration are coupled and need to be corrected at the map-making level, with the help of the dipole

Noise in HFI time ordered data



Glitches below the detection threshold common between PSB-a and PSB-b Provide a limit on the level of remaining glitches in data

HFI processing pipeline overview



Map-making code (destriper)

Calibration

Map-making is complex and required the correction of other effects:

- 1/f noise
- long time constants
- BP mismatch
- FSL correction,
- 2nd order ADC correction

in an iterative way, using templates if available.

As the processing evolves, more and more effects are treated at the mapmaking level

Cosmic rays at L2



Cut off due to material around the detectors at $\sim 50 \mbox{ MeV}$

No contribution from solar particles which can not reach the detectors, except during flares

Amplitude of the spectrum at L2 is modulated by solar activity



CR interaction with HFI detectors



Thermal modeling is important. Long time constants come from the links between the wafer and the detector housing - short glitches are direct impact of protons in the grid/thermistor. Should be representative of response to photons.

This was proved with the help of ground tests with alpha particles

Ground tests and thermal modeling

Ground tests were not performed to a sufficient accuracy to provide a definitive answer on the thermal path



Simulation of a 23MeV Proton in the silicon die





Cosmic ray removal



Lines induced by the 4K compressors

4He – JT cooler induced sharp lines in the data, due to electromagnetic and microphonics interference with the detector wires





Data acquisition locked on the 4K cooler compressor: fixed line frequencies, multiple of 20 Hz (before demodulation)

Amplitudes vary during the mission

4 K line processing



Removed by notch filters, ring by ring

Resonant rings, for which harmonics of the signal are close to the 4K line frequencies are removed

Better rejection for 2015 results correcting an artifact affecting cosmology in 2013 data.



Biggest problem is that 4K lines affect the ADC non-linearities!

ADC non-linearities



Model and correction



F is calculated from an estimation of the electronic and the ADC responses, and 4K lines

Uncertainties in the 4K line freqs.

Main systematic effect in Planck for polar.



ADC correction

The correction is very effective but limited by the 4K line estimation.



-20 μK_{CMB} 20

Gain calibration and long time constants



Thermal modeling is important. Long time constants might come from the links between the wafer and the detector housing and are seen on both categories of glitches 10^o 10⁻¹ 10⁻² 10⁻³ 10⁻⁴ 10⁻⁵ 10⁻⁵ 10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁷ 10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁷ 10

Impact of long time constants on data

Long time constants are observed in data ~ 2 s for the longest seen in the tail of short glitches seen on planet maps induces a shift of the dipole



- 1-2 % effect in the calibration if not properly corrected: affect I >~ 20
- variable from detector to detector



Different survey with nearly opposite scan directions helped to constrain and correct the longest time constants

Survey difference maps

Survey difference maps were useful to track and characterize systematic effect



Beam and transfer function estimation

- Time response is degenerate with the beam response
- The time response and beam shapes are estimated using a combination of planet scans (by symmetrizing the beam shape), galaxy crossings, bias steps (CPV phase) and glitch data.
- The pointing uncertainties (~ 3 arcsec) and glitch is the main source of errors in the main lobe estimation



High redundancies help

- Surveys with opposite scanning directions allowed optimization of parameters and correction of many systematic effects.
- Limited single detector cross-linking in Planck data : many effects on polarization as I -> P scale with <cos 2Ψ> and <sin 2Ψ>. Larger precession angle provides higher cross-linking params.

Band-pass mismatch

Differences in the band shapes from detector to detector induced intensity to polarization of galactic components when calibrating on CMB



Band-pass mismatch correction

-Band passes were measured from the ground, but leakage coefficients have to be estimated from flight data

$$m = T_{Sky} + (\gamma_{Dust} - 1)T_{Dust} + (\gamma_{CO} - 1)T_{CO} + \dots$$

- Joint estimation of CO and dust leakages at the map-making level. Naturally minimizes the survey difference contamination. Coupled with many effects.



Band-pass mismatch error prediction



Odd even rings, null test 2015



Odd even rings, null test 2017



Summary of systematic effects (HFI)



J.L. Puget