# Inflationary Universe (project A01 status report)

Toward Understanding Physics/Mechanism of Inflation

Misao Sasaki

10 February, 2018

# Inflation: current status

- scalar spectral index:  $n_s < 1$  at ~  $5\sigma$
- tensor/scalar ratio: r < 0.1 implies E<sub>inflation</sub> < 10<sup>16</sup> GeV
- simple, canonical models are on verge of extinction (m<sup>2</sup>φ<sup>2</sup> model excluded at > 2σ)
- R<sup>2</sup> (Starobinsky) model seems to fit best. But why?
- f<sub>NL</sub><sup>local</sup> <O(1) suggests (effectively) single-field slow-roll but f<sub>NL</sub><sup>local</sup> =O(1) or scale-dep f<sub>NL</sub><sup>local</sup> =O(10) not excluded



some element of non-canonicality seems necessary

Theories/models? Observational tests/signatures?

#### research summary

Teruaki Suyama Shuichiro Yokoyama



Tsutomu Kobayashi, Kazunori Nakayama, Misao Sasaki, Tomo Takahashi, Masahide Yamaguchi, Jun'ichi Yokoyama



#### Selected Recent Papers

Observational signatures of the parametric amplification of gravitational waves during reheating after inflation, S Kuroyanagi, C Lin, M Sasaki, S Tsujikawa, PRD97 (2018) 023516 Pole inflation in Jordan frame supergravity, K Saikawa, M Yamaguchi, Y Yamashita, D Yoshida, JCAP 1801 (2018) 031 Extended mimetic gravity: Hamiltonian analysis and gradient instabilities, K Takahashi, T Kobayashi, JCAP 1711 (2017) 038 Refined Study of Isocurvature Fluctuations in the Curvaton Scenario, N Kitajima, D Langlois, T Takahashi, S Yokoyama, JCAP 1712 (2017) 042 Electroweak Vacuum Metastability and Low-scale Inflation Y Ema, K Mukaida, K Nakayama, JCAP 1712 (2017) 030 Revisiting the oscillations in the CMB angular power spectra at ℓ~120 in the Planck 2015 data, K Horiguchi, K Ichiki, J Yokoyama, PTEP 2017 (2017) 093E01 New bound on low reheating temperature for dark matter in models with early matter domination, KY Choi, T Takahashi, PRD96 (2017) 041301 Spin Distribution of Primordial Black Holes, T Chiba, S Yokoyama, PTEP 2017 (2017) 083E01 9. Note on Reheating in G-inflation HB Moghaddam, R Brandenberger, J Yokoyama, PRD95 (2017) 063529. CMB Scale Dependent Non-Gaussianity from Massive Gravity during Inflation, G Domenech, T Hiramatsu, C Lin, M Sasaki, JCAP 1705 (2017) 034 3

## 1. Modified Gravity

K Takahashi, T Kobayashi, JCAP 1711 (2017) 038 [1708.02951]

#### "Extended mimetic gravity"

generated general degenerate higher derivative theories by performing noninvertible conformal transformation and analyzed their cosmological stability.

 result supports the conjecture "all degenerate scalar-tensor theories that are not equivalent to Horndeski under disformal transformation are unstable"



## Modified Gravity (conti.)

S Hirano, T Kobayashi, H Tashiro, S Yokoyama, 1801.07885 [astro-ph.CO] + ....

• *"Matter bispectrum beyond Horndeski" + ongoing work* 

GW170817  $\rightarrow$  GW propagation speed = c  $\rightarrow$  strong constraint on MG

- allowed class of models = DHOST/beyond Horndeski
- any other constraint from, eg, growth rate of density perturbations?

#### 2. EW Vacuum Metastability and Low-scale Inflation

Y Ema, K Mukaida, K Nakayama, JCAP 1712(2017) 030 [1706.08920]

#### Instability of Electroweak Vacuum

- Current vacuum = broken EW symmetry due to Higgs
- Higgs' mass: 125GeV (LHC) → Metastable Vacuum
- Why the current Universe can exist?



#### Low-scale Inflation

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial \phi \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial h \right)^2 - U(\phi, h) \qquad V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\phi}{\nu_{\phi}} \right)^n \right]^2$$
  
Inflaton-Higgs coupling: 
$$U(\phi, h) = V(\phi) + \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}_{\phi h}}{2} \phi h^2 + \frac{\lambda_{\phi h}}{2} \phi^2 h^2 + \frac{m_h^2}{2} h^2 + \frac{\lambda_h}{4} h^4$$

#### Higgs fluctuations amplify due to parametric resonance



initial value at the onset of oscillations  $2\sigma + b \varphi_{ini}$ 

# $p \equiv \frac{2\sigma_{\phi h}\varphi_{\rm ini}}{m_{\phi}^2}, \ q \equiv \frac{\lambda_{\phi h}\varphi_{\rm ini}^2}{m_{\phi}^2}$

#### stability constraint:



# 3. Probing multi-field inflation models with CMB spectral distortion

K Kainulainen, J Leskinen, S Nurmi, T Takahashi, JCAP1711 (2017) 002 [1707.01300]

• CMB  $\mu$  distortion can probe primordial power spectrum on small scales.

$$\mu = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} dz e^{-z^2/z_{\rm DC}^2} \left[ -\int \frac{dk^3}{(2\pi)^3} A \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{3c_s^2}{\sqrt{2}e^{-k^2/k_D^2}} \right) \right]$$

• Scales of  $50/Mpc < k < 10^4 /Mpc$  can be probed.



# 4. Pole inflation in Jordan frame supergravity

K Saikawa, M Yamaguchi, Y Yamashita, D Yoshida, JCAP 1801 (2018) 031 [1709.03440].

- The models favored by the current observations (Starobinsky model, Higgs inflation, αattractor) can be understood in a unified way as pole inflation.
- The non-minimal coupling to gravity (R) may (easily) lead to this kind of pole structure of kinetic term after conformal transformation to Einstein frame.
- We simply impose the canonical kinetic term of a scalar field in the Jordan frame like Ferrara et al. (dubbed FKLMP model).
- We have shown that, in the FKLMP model, the relation between the Kahler potential and the frame function is uniquely determined by imposing that a scalar field has the canonical kinetic term and that a frame function consists only of a holomorphic term for symmetry breaking terms.
- We have relaxed this latter condition and discussed a wider class of models.

# Pole inflation (Galante et al., Broy et al., Terada.) $S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} K(\rho) g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \rho \partial_{\nu} \rho - V(\rho) \right]$ $K(\rho)$ has a pole at $\rho = 0$ in Laurent series : $K(\rho) = \frac{a_p}{\rho^p} + \cdots,$ $K(\rho) = \frac{a_p}{\rho^p} + \cdots,$ $P \simeq \begin{cases} \rho_0 e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_2}}} & \text{for } p = 2\\ \left(\frac{(2-p)}{2\sqrt{a_2}}\varphi\right) & \text{for } p > 2 \end{cases}$ $V(\rho) \text{ is regular at } \rho = 0:$ $V(\varphi) \simeq \begin{cases} V_0 \left[1 - \rho_0 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{a_2}}}\right] & \text{for } p = 2\\ V_0 \left[1 - \left(\frac{(2-p)}{2\sqrt{a_2}}\varphi\right)^{\frac{2}{2-p}}\right] & \text{for } p > 2 \end{cases}$ (asymptotically flat) $\rho \simeq \begin{cases} \rho_0 e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_2}}} & \text{for } p = 2\\ \left(\frac{(2-p)}{2\sqrt{a_2}}\varphi\right) & \text{for } p > 2 \end{cases}$ (asymptotically flat) By canonically normalizing a field, the potential is effectively stretched. $\alpha$ attractors $\leftarrow \rightarrow p=2, a_2 = 3\alpha/2$ a<sub>p</sub> dependence appears only in r. Primordial perturbations : $\begin{cases} n_s - 1 \simeq -\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{1}{N}, & \text{ap dependence appears only in r.} \\ r \simeq \frac{8}{a_p} \left[ \frac{a_p}{(p-1)N} \right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}}. & \text{Though subleading terms yield higher order corrections,} \\ \text{the leading order predictions do not depend on the details.} \end{cases}$

10

#### **FKLMP model and its extension**

(Ferrara, Kallosh, Linde, Marrani, Van Proeyen 2010)

Inflation models in Jordan frame supergravity with the canonical kinetic term

Kahler potential: 
$$\mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z}) = -3 \log \left( -\frac{1}{3} \Phi(z, \bar{z}) \right)$$
.  
(special relation between K &  $\Phi$ )  
Frame function:  $\Phi(z, \bar{z}) = -3 + \delta_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} z^{\alpha} \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} + J(z) + \bar{J}(\bar{z})$   
with  $\Phi_{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} z^{\alpha} - \Phi_{\bar{\beta}} \partial_{\mu} \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} = 0$   
 $\mathcal{L}_{FKLMP} = \sqrt{-g_J} \left[ -\frac{1}{6} \Phi \mathcal{R}_J - \delta_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \partial_{\mu} z^{\alpha} \partial_{\nu} \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} g_J^{\mu\nu} - V_J \right]$ .  
We extend  
 $J(z, \bar{z})$ 

with keeping the canonical kinetic term in Jordan frame 11

### 5. Reheating after G-inflation

HB Moghaddam, R Brandenberger, J Yokoyama, PRD95 (2017) 063529 [1612.00998]. Previously, in kinetically driven G-inflation (as well as k-inflation) Reheating was thought to occur through gravitational particle production due to the change of the geometry because there is no inflaton oscillation after inflation.

$$a(t) \propto e^{H_{\inf}t} \longrightarrow a(t) \propto t^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

This process is known to be inefficient with the reheating temperature given by

$$T_R = \frac{3N^{\frac{3}{4}}}{(32\pi^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left(\frac{30}{\pi^2 g_*}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{H_{\inf}^2}{M_G} \simeq 3.9 \times 10^6 N^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\frac{g_*}{106.75}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{r}{0.01}\right) \text{GeV}.$$
(Ford 1987, Kunimitsu & JY 2014)

Here N is the number of light bosonic degree of freedom (minimal coupling to gravity assumed) and r is the tensor-to-scalar ratio.

We studied effect of direct interaction with the inflaton  $\phi$  and another scalar  $\chi$  which preserves the shift symmetry of the former.

- ★ If  $M \le M_G$  the direct interaction is more important than gravitational particle production and realizes a higher reheating temperature.
- \* The reheating temperature can be much higher when direct interaction is dominant.

$$T_{R} = \frac{5H_{\inf}^{2}M_{G}^{2}}{32\pi^{2}(3g_{*})^{1/4}M^{3}} = 1.2 \times 10^{13} \left(\frac{g_{*}}{106.75}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{r}{0.01}\right) \left(\frac{M}{10^{16}\,\text{GeV}}\right)^{-3} \text{GeV}$$

#### 6. Inflationary Massive Gravity

S Kuroyanagi, C Lin, M Sasaki, S Tsujikawa, PRD97 (2018) 023516 [1710.06789]

$$S = \int d^{4}x \left[ \frac{M_{P}^{2}}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{9}{8} M_{P}^{2} m_{g}^{2}(\phi) \frac{\delta Z^{ij} \delta Z^{ij}}{Z^{2}} \right]$$
$$\delta Z^{ij} = Z^{ij} - 3 \frac{Z^{ik} Z^{kj}}{Z}; \quad Z = Z^{ii}$$
$$Z^{ij} = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{i} \partial_{\nu} \phi^{j} - \frac{g^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{0} \partial_{\alpha} \phi^{i} g^{\nu\alpha} \partial_{\nu} \phi^{0} \partial_{\beta} \phi^{j}}{X} = h^{ij}$$





Lin & MS (2015)



14

#### examples



Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) gives stringent constraints

### 7. Scalaron from $R^2$ -inflation as a Heavy Field

S Pi, YL Zhang, QG Huang, M Sasaki, [1712.09896].

• We propose the Lagrangian as the Starobinsky  $R^2$  gravity plus a scalar field  $\chi$ , nonminimally coupled to gravity

$$S_J = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \left( R + \frac{R^2}{6M^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi - V(\chi) - \frac{1}{2} \xi R \chi^2 \right\}$$

- V( $\chi$ ) is potential for  $\chi$ , which we pick for the small-field form:  $V(\chi) = V_0 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\chi^2 + \cdots$
- ξ-term is the non-minimally coupled term to solve the initial condition problem.
   Another version of SSB in χ direction.



#### PBH as CDM from the transition stage



## Inflation: summary

- scalar spectral index:  $n_s < 1$  at ~  $5\sigma$
- tensor/scalar ratio: r < 0.1 implies E<sub>inflation</sub> < 10<sup>16</sup> GeV
- simple, canonical models are on verge of extinction (m<sup>2</sup>φ<sup>2</sup> model excluded at > 2σ)
- R<sup>2</sup> (Starobinsky) model seems to fit best. But why?
- f<sub>NL</sub><sup>local</sup> <O(1) suggests (effectively) single-field slow-roll but f<sub>NL</sub><sup>local</sup> =O(1) or scale-dep f<sub>NL</sub><sup>local</sup> =O(10) not excluded

some element of non-canonicality seems necessary

Theories/models? Observational tests/signatures?

Tensor modes to be detected ⇐⇒ multi-band GW astronomy!