Focus Week on Primordial Black Holes, December 2019 @IPMU

Statistical bias for black hole mass functions from the inflationary power spectrum

Yi-Peng Wu

with Jun'ichi Yokoyama, in preparation

partially based on Young, Byrnes & Sasaki 2014



Research Center for the Early Universe (RESCEU) The University of Tokyo





Laboratoire de Physique Theorique et Hautes Energies (LPTHE) Sorbonne University







PBH mass functions

inflationary power spectrum

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Delta}(k,t) \Rightarrow \sigma_{\Delta}(M_H(t))$$

selecting processes for valid density peaks $\Rightarrow \beta(M, M_H) \Rightarrow f(M)$

 $M_{\rm spike}$

$$f \approx \beta (M = M_H = M_{\rm spike})$$

PBH mass functions (in reality)



The effect of critical collapse:

 $M = KM_H \left(\Delta - \Delta_c\right)^{\gamma}$

Niemeyer & Jedamzik 1998 Yokoyama 1998

PBH mass functions (in reality)



more uncertainties: threshold of Δ , f_{NL} , non-linearity from ζ to Δ , window functions...

Press-Schechter method

$$P(\Delta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\Delta}} \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta^2}{2\sigma_{\Delta}^2}\right]$$

univariate-Gaussian (one-dimensional)

$$\Omega_{\rm PS}(M_H) = \frac{1}{M_H} \int_{\Delta_c}^{\Delta_{\rm max}} P(\Delta) M(\Delta) d\Delta.$$

 $\beta(M, M_H) \equiv d\Omega(M_H)/d\ln M$



Bardeen et al. 1986

$$n_{\rm pk}(\mathbf{r},\nu)d\nu = \sum_p \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_p)$$

Constraints on spatial configuration:

$$\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_p) = \det |\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{r}_p)| \,\delta^{(3)}[\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})]$$

$$u_i = (\mathbf{u})_i = \partial_i \Delta$$
 extremum: $u_i = 0$
 $z_{ij} = (\mathbf{z})_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j \Delta$ maximum: principal axes of $\mathbf{z} \leq 0$

Bardeen et al. 1986

$$P(\Delta, u_i, z_{ij}) = \frac{\exp(-B)}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{10} \det|\mathcal{M}|}}$$

multivariate-Gaussian (10-dimensional)

$$B \equiv \sum \frac{1}{2} \Delta y_i (\mathcal{M}^{-1})_{ij} \Delta y_j$$
$$\Delta y_i = y_i - \langle y_i \rangle \text{ (for } i = 1, \cdots, 10)$$



Bardeen et al. 1986

$$\langle k^2
angle = \sigma_1^2 / \sigma_\Delta^2$$

 $\gamma = \sigma_1^2 / (\sigma_2 \sigma_\Delta)$



special moment:
$$\sigma_i^2(R) = \int_0^\infty k^{2i} W^2(kR) \mathcal{P}_{\Delta}(k,R) d\ln k.$$

Bardeen et al. 1986

homogeneity & isotropy of density perturbation:

$$P(\Delta, u_i, z_{ij}) \to P(\Delta)$$

c.f. Germani & Musco 2019

$$\nu = \Delta / \sigma_{\Delta}$$

$$\Omega_{\rm pk}(M_H) = \frac{1}{\rho(M_H)} \int_{\nu_c}^{\nu_{\rm max}} \rho_{\rm PBH}(\nu) d\nu,$$
$$= \frac{V(R)}{M_H} \int_{\nu_c}^{\nu_{\rm max}} N_{\rm pk}(\nu) M(\nu) d\nu,$$

one-dimensional effective representation

$$N_{\rm pk}(\nu)d\nu \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 R_*^3} \left(\nu^3 - 3\nu\right) e^{-\nu^2/2} d\nu,$$



Peak statistics v.s. Press-Schechter

Wu & Yokoyama, in preparation

$$\beta_{\rm pk}(M, M_H) = \frac{K_m}{\sqrt{2\pi}\gamma_m \sigma_\Delta} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2 R^2}{3\sigma_\Delta^2}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{M}{K_m M_H}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma_m}} \left(\nu^3 - 3\nu\right) e^{-\nu^2/2},$$

$$\beta_{\rm PS}(M, M_H) = \frac{K_m}{\sqrt{2\pi}\gamma_m \sigma_\Delta} \left(\frac{M}{K_m M_H}\right)^{1+1/\gamma_m} \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta^2(M)}{2\sigma_\Delta^2}\right],$$

For total mass distribution after matter-radiation equality:

$$f_{\rm PS}(M) = \frac{1}{\Omega_{\rm DM}} \int_{\ln M_{\rm min}}^{\ln M_{Heq}} \left(\frac{M_{Heq}}{M_H}\right)^{1/2} \beta_{\rm PS} d\ln M_H. \qquad \text{PS} \leftrightarrow \text{pk}$$

Byrnes, Hindmarsh, Young & Hawkings 2018 Wang, Terada & Kohri 2019

power-law templates:





- Only blue-tilted (broad) spectra are considered.
- Monochromatic mass (no critical collapse).
- The discrepancy (a factor of order 10) is within the uncertainty.

broken power-law template:

(for single-field inflation)

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) = \begin{cases} A_{\zeta} \left(k/k_0 \right)^{n-1}, & k \ge k_0, \\ 0, & k < k_0, \end{cases}$$



Atal & Germani 2019

Saito, Yokoyama & Nagata 2008

trapezoidal templates:

Wu & Yokoyama, in preparation

)

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) = \begin{cases} A_{\zeta}(k/k_{\min})^{n-1}, & k_{\max} \ge k \ge k_{\min}, \\ 0. & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
(top-hat: n = 1)

$$\sigma_{\Delta}^{2}(R) = \frac{4}{81} \frac{A_{\zeta}}{2} (k_{\min}R)^{1-n} \times \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3+n}{2}, k_{\min}^{2}R^{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{3+n}{2}, k_{\max}^{2}R^{2}\right) \right],$$

$$k_{\min} \qquad k_{\max} \qquad \ln k$$





top-hat Log₁₀[f(M)] -2 - Press-Schechter peak theory -4 -6 -8 -10 – Log₁₀[M/*M*_o] -12 -12 -6 -14 -10 -8 -4



Summary

(broken) power-law



Summary



Messages

- The peak statistics reveals an universal enhancement to the PBH mass function obtained from the Press-Schechter method (as PBHs must form at high-peak values).
- This enhancement is due to cross-correlation of density peaks with their "peak-shape" spatial configurations.
- For inflationary spectrum in the narrow-spike class, the enhancement is at least by a factor of 10^{2.5}.

Implication to GW cosmology

Induced (second-order) GWs from inflationary spectrum: Saito & Yokoyama 2009

PBHs as all DM and induced GWs: Cai, Pi & Sasaki 2018; Bartolo et. al. 2018



