> Keisuke Yanagi University of Tokyo

Based on Hamaguchi, Nagata, KY, Zheng, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 103015

Berkeley week @ IPMU Jan. 17, 2020



Overview

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a \right)^2 + \frac{1}{f_a} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} a G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \sum_a \frac{C_q}{2f_a} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 q \partial_{\mu} a + \cdots$$

[Pecci and Quinn (1977); Weinberg (1978); Wilczek (1978)]



Overview

Well-motivated candidate of a new particle $\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{f_{a}} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} a G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \sum \frac{C_{q}}{2f_{a}} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \partial_{\mu} a + \cdots$

[Pecci and Quinn (1977); Weinberg (1978); Wilczek (1978)]

 SM: Cooling of Cas A NS can be explained by standard cooling theory [Page et al. (2011); Shternin (2011)]



Overview

Well-motivated candidate of a new particle

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a \right)^2 + \frac{1}{f_a} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} a G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \sum_q \frac{C_q}{2f_a} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 q \partial_{\mu} a + \cdots$$

[Pecci and Quinn (1977); Weinberg (1978); Wilczek (1978)]

- SM: Cooling of Cas A NS can be explained by standard cooling theory [Page et al. (2011); Shternin (2011)]
- SM + Axion: if axion-nucleon coupling is too large, theory cannot explain the data

$$f_a \gtrsim (5-7) \times 10^8 \, {
m GeV}$$
 [This work]

comparable to the SN1987A limit

 2×10^{2}

Time [year]

 $3 \times 10^2 4 \times 10^2$

Overview



Overview

"Limit on <u>axion</u> decay constant from the cooling <u>neutron star in Cassiopeia A</u>" (review) Well-motivated candidate of a new particle $\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}a\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{f_{a}} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} a G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{q} \frac{C_{q}}{2f_{a}} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \partial_{\mu} a + \cdots$ [Pecci and Quinn (1977); Weinberg (1978); Wilczek (1978)] $\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}a\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{f_{a}} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} a G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{q} \frac{C_{q}}{2f_{a}} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \partial_{\mu} a + \cdots$

- SM: Cooling of Cas A NS can be explained by standard cooling theory [Page et al. (2011); Shternin (2011)]
- SM + Axion: if axion-nucleon coupling is too large, theory cannot explain the data

 $f_a \gtrsim (5-7) \times 10^8 \,\text{GeV}$ [This work]

comparable to the SN1987A limit



 10^{5}

Strong CP problem and axion

• Strong CP problem: why CP violation in strong interaction is so small?

$$\mathscr{L}_{\theta} = \theta \frac{\alpha_{S}}{8\pi} G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$$

 $\bar{\theta} = \theta + \operatorname{argdet} M_q \to \bar{\theta} \lesssim 10^{-10}$ from neutron EDM [Baker et al. (2006)]

• Axion: pseudo-NG boson of $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry

$$\mathscr{L}_{a} = \left(\frac{a}{f_{a}} + \theta\right) \frac{\alpha_{S}}{8\pi} G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$$

CP conserving minimum is dynamically chosen

 $\bar{\theta} = 0$

V(a)

Axion models

• Axion couples to nucleons

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{f_a} \frac{\alpha_S}{8\pi} a G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \sum_q \frac{C_q}{2f_a} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 q \partial_{\mu} a + \cdots$$
$$\mathscr{L} = \sum_{N=n,p} \frac{C_N}{2f_a} \bar{N} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 N \partial_{\mu} a$$

- Coupling constant depends on models
 - KSVZ axion model [Kim (1979); Shifman, Vainshtein, Zakharov ((1980)] $C_p = -0.47(3), C_n = -0.02(3) \ (C_q = 0)$
 - DFSZ axion model [Zhitnitsky (1980); Dine, Fischler, Srednicki (1981)] $C_p = -0.182(25) - 0.435 \sin \beta^2$ $C_n = -0.160(25) + 0.414 \sin \beta^2 \quad (C_{u,c,t} = \frac{1}{3}\cos^2\beta, C_{d,s,b} = \frac{1}{3}\sin^2\beta)$

Previous constraints on axion



SNI987A: $f_a \gtrsim 10^8 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

Overview

"Limit on axion decay constant from the cooling neutron star in Cassiopeia A" (review) Well-motivated candidate of a new particle $\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{f_{a}} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} \left(\mathbf{1} + \sum_{q} \frac{C_{q}}{2f_{a}} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \partial_{\mu} a + \cdots \right)$ [Pecci and Quinn (1977); Weinberg (1978); Wilczek (1978)]

- SM: Cooling of Cas A NS can be explained by standard cooling theory [Page et al. (2011); Shternin (2011)]
- SM + Axion: if axion-nucleon coupling is too large, theory cannot explain the data

 $f_a \gtrsim (5-7) \times 10^8 \,\text{GeV}$ [This work]

comparable to the SN1987A limit



Overview



Neutron star

Compact astrophysical object: $M \sim 1.4 M_{\odot}$ in $R \sim 10 \, {\rm km}$



Tokyo area

Neutron star

Compact astrophysical object: $M \sim 1.4 M_{\odot}$ in $R \sim 10 \, {\rm km}$



- Mostly consists of neutrons $p_{F,n} \sim O(100) \,\text{MeV}$
- Small amount of proton, electron, muon $p_{F,e,p,\mu} \sim O(10) \,\text{MeV}$

These particles are degenerate: $p_F \gg T$

NS in Cassiopeia A

- Cassiopeia A (Cas A): Supernova remnant in the Cassiopeia constellation
 - Age: ~ 340 yr
 - from remnant expansion [R.A. Fesen et al. (2006)]
 - Perhaps from record by J. Flamsteed at Aug. 16, 1680
 [W. B. Ashworth, Jr. (1980); K.W. Kamper (1980); D.W. Hughes (1980).]
- Neutron star at the center of Cas A (Cas A NS)
 - Thermal emission is detected





[Atlas Coelestis (1729)]

Cooling of Cas A NS

Temperature is decreasing for ~ 10 years



Standard cooling explains temperature decline

$$C\frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma}$$



Standard cooling explains temperature decline



Standard cooling explains temperature decline





Overview



Overview



Axion emission processes



sizable due to the proton contribution

KSVZ:
$$C_p = -0.47(3), C_n = -0.02(3)$$
 $\mathscr{L} = \sum_{N=n,p} \frac{C_N}{2f_a} \bar{N} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 N \partial_{\mu} a$

Axion emission enhances cooling

If f_a is too small, the Cas A NS would be overcooled

• Constraint on f_a





Detail I: Gap models



- Gap amplitude has uncertainties due to nuclear potential modeling
- We use CCDK model for proton gap to suppress early time modified Urca
- We take neutron triplet gap as a free parameter to fit Cas A NS cooling

Details 2: Envelope

- Envelope shields atmosphere from core and crust
- Surface T and internal T are different
- $T T_s$ relation depends on amount of light element in envelope [Potekhin et al. (1997)]





Result



Limit on f_a of KSVZ (DFSZ, $\tan \beta = 10$) model: $f_a \gtrsim 5 (7) \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}$

comparable to the limit from SN1987A: $f_a \gtrsim 10^8 \,{\rm GeV}$

O(1) uncertainty from the choice of η

Summary

"Limit on axion decay constant from the cooling neutron star in Cassiopeia A"

Well-motivated candidate of a new particle $1 \qquad \gamma^2 \qquad 1 \qquad \alpha_{\rm s} \qquad \gamma \qquad \nabla C_a$

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a \right) + \frac{1}{f_a} \frac{\alpha_5}{8\pi} a G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \sum_q \frac{q}{2f_a} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 q \partial_{\mu} a + \cdots$$
[Pecci and Quinn (1977): Weinberg (1978): Wilczek (1978)]

- SM: Cooling of Cas A NS can be explained by standard cooling theory [Page et al. (2011); Shternin (2011)]
- SM + Axion: if axion-nucleon coupling is too large, theory cannot explain the data

 $f_a \gtrsim (5-7) \times 10^8 \, \mathrm{GeV}$ [This work]

O(I) uncertainty from envelope comparable to the SN1987A limit



Time [year]



More on uncertainty from light element in envelope

Envelope parameter η can be varied

Large η can weaken the limit

• KSVZ: For large $\eta \sim 10^{-8}$, internal T is too low to explain cooling rate

 $\rightarrow f_a \gtrsim 4 \times 10^8 \,\text{GeV}$ is rather stringent

 DFSZ: For large η, axion emission from neutron PBF may help explain the curve





Uncertainty from envelope



- More conservative limit: $f_a \gtrsim 10^8 \, \text{GeV}$
- This limit does not rely on the temperature decline

Details 3: procedure to limit axion

• Fix axion model (KSVZ/DFSZ and f_a)

$$C\frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} - L_{a}$$

- Try to fit the Cas A NS cooling rate varying neutron triplet gap profile
 - neutron triplet gap is modeled by Gaussian shape w/ 3 free parameters
- If the fit fails, such an axion model is disfavored
- For other NS parameters, we use
 - CCDK model for proton singlet gap (insensitive to this choice if the gap is sufficiently large)
 - APR EOS
 - $M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$
 - SFB model for neutron singlet pairing in crust

DFSZ axion model



DFSZ:
$$C_p = -0.182(25) - 0.435 \sin \beta^2$$
, $\mathscr{L} = \sum_{N=n,p} \frac{C_N}{2f_a} \bar{N} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 N \partial_{\mu} d^{\mu}$

Axion mean free path

- If f_a is too small, axion cannot escape from the NS (R = 10 km)
- In both KSVZ and DFSZ model, axion decays by $a \rightarrow \tilde{p} + \tilde{p}$ with

$$\Gamma \sim \frac{m_p^* p_F v_F^2 T}{3\pi f_a^2} \left(\frac{c_p}{2}\right)^2 \quad \text{[Keller and Sedrakian (2012)]}$$

• For $p_F \sim 100 \,\mathrm{MeV}, m_p^* \sim 1 \,\mathrm{GeV}, T \sim \Delta_p \sim 1 \,\mathrm{MeV}$, we need

$$f_a \gtrsim \left(\frac{c_p}{2}\right) \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

Related works

[Sedrakian (2016)]

- Cas A NS + PSR B0656+14, Geminga, PSR B1055-52
- Temperature decline is not used
- $f_a > (5 10) \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

[Leinson (2014)]

- Only axion-neutron PBF is considered
- Evolution of $t \leq 300$ yr is not considered



Nucleon Cooper pairing

- Attractive nuclear force induces the Cooper pairing of n-n and/or p-p
- In the core
 - n: spin-triplet $({}^{3}P_{2})$ pairing
 - p: spin-singlet $({}^{1}S_{0})$ pairing

this difference is due to the difference of Fermi energy

- In the crust:
 - n: spin-singlet $({}^{1}S_{0})$ pairing



[Calculation by Tamagaki (1970), figure from Page et al. (2013)]

Neutron star in Cas A

- In 1999, Chandra detected hot point-like source at the center of Cas A [Tananbaum (1999)] 9
- No pulsation is detected
- The observed thermal spectrum is consistent with NS carbon atmosphere model

[Ho and Heinke (2009)]

• The spectrum is fitted with

 $M = (1.4 \pm 0.3) M_{\odot}$ R = (11 - 13) km



EOS dependence of M and R



Direct Urca process

$$n \to p + \ell + \bar{\nu}_{\ell} \qquad p + \ell \to n + \nu_{\ell}$$

 $\ell = e, \mu$

- Beta decay and its inverse
- Occurs around Fermi surface



- due to the energy and momentum conservations around Fermi surface $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{[e.g., Lattimer et al.}\\ p_{\text{F}} \simeq 300 \times \\ 2 \times \end{array}\right]$
- E.g., for APR EOS, $M\gtrsim 1.97\,M_\odot$ is required
- We can neglect direct Urca in Cas A NS ($M \simeq 1.4 M_{\odot}$)

Threshold of direct Urca

• Energy conservation $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_p + \varepsilon_\ell \pm \varepsilon_\nu$ and beta equilibrium $\mu_{F,n} = \mu_{F,p} + \mu_{F,\ell}$

 \rightarrow Emitted neutrino momentum: $p_{\nu} \sim T \ll p_F$

• Momentum conservation: $\overrightarrow{p}_n \simeq \overrightarrow{p}_p + \overrightarrow{p}_\ell$

→ $p_{F,n} < p_{F,p} + p_{F,\ell}$, hence large proton fraction, is necessary



Luminosity evolution



- Before neutron pairing: Modified Urca process dominates
- After neutron pairing : neutron PBF dominates
- Modified Urca process is suppressed by nucleon pairing

Thermal relaxation



• Relaxation time scale is $t \sim 10 - 100 \,\mathrm{yr}$

Neutron singlet gap



- $T_c \sim (0.5 2) \times 10^{10} \,\mathrm{K}$
- Singlet pairing occurs only in the crust

Neutron singlet pairing for Cas A NS cooling



- Only singlet neutron pairing is included
- It affects earlier time evolution

Proton singlet pairing for Cas A NS cooling



- Only singlet proton pairing is included
- Small proton gap is not favored by Cas A temperature

Mass for thermal evolution



- Difference is due to the density dependence of pairing gap
- Heat capacity and neutrino luminosity slightly change

EOS for thermal evolution



• Difference is also due to the density dependence of pairing gap

Other explanation of Cas A NS cooling

- Delayed thermal relaxation [Blaschke et al. (2012, 2013); Grigorian et al. (2016)]
- Rotation-driven direct Urca [Negreiros et al. (2013)]
- Recovery from r-mode heating [Yang et al. (2011)]
- Color superconductivity in quark phase [Noda et al. (2013); Sedrakian (2013)]
- Joule heating [Bonanno et al. (2014)]

Uncertainty of observation



- Lower cooling rate is reported
- Since modified Urca predicts $\Delta T/T \sim 0.3 \% / 10$ year, even 1 % decline requires fast cooling
- Even if there is no decline, our conservative limit $f_a \gtrsim 10^8 \, {\rm GeV}$ holds