

#### Nicoletta Krachmalnicoff <u> mkrach@sissa.it</u>







#### Cosmic Acceleration Workshop Kavli IPMU, Kashiwa, Japan February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2020

## Current status and future challenges for CMB experiments



### **Cosmic History**

Electron

WALLANDA .

m

380.

### Last scattering surface ~ 3000K z~1100

103

Second





### **Planck satellite**

- → 3rd CMB satellite, in operation from 2009 to 2013
- ➡ **Two instruments** (on the same focal plane) with different detector teconlogies (radiometers at low freqs vs. bolometers ath high freqs)
- → 9 frequencies (**30-897 GHz**), 7 polarized



★ 2013: HFI and LFI total intensity data from 15.5 months and polarization (48 month) ★ 2018 (Legacy): Full datasets from HFI and LFI









#### Credits: Planck Collaboration & ESA

## ★ 2015: HFI temperature and small scale polarization (29 months) + LFI temperature

#### 3 6 1 2018, V and X -3 Colaboration eba from Planck Adapted





### Planck ACDM parameters

$\Omega$	$h^2$
	)

 $\Omega_c h^2$ 

 $100\theta$ 

 $\ln(10^{10}A_{c})$ 

τ

*N*<sub>S</sub>

Barion density

Dark-matter density

Acoustic scale

Optical depth to reionization

Scalar perturbation aplitude

Scalar spectral index



Planck Collaboration 2018, VI





### Planck ACDM parameters

 $\Omega_{h}h^{2}$ 

 $\Omega_c h^2$ 

 $100\theta$ 

 $\ln(10^{10}A_{c})$ 

 $\mathcal{T}$ 

*N*<sub>S</sub>

Barion density

Dark-matter density

Acoustic scale

Optical depth to reionization

Scalar perturbation aplitude

Scalar spectral index



Planck Collaboration 2018, VI





### **Optical depth to reionization**

- In total intensity the effect is to dump the fluctuations inside the horizon degerate with As
- ➡ Bump at low-ell in polarization
- Measure at low-ell is making difficult by systematics and foreground!

 $\sigma(\tau)_{CV} \simeq 0.002$ 

 $\int_{0}^{2} \frac{10^{3}}{10^{1}}$  $10^{1}$  $10^{-1}$  $10^{-3}$  $10^{-5}$ 



### Planck large scale maps (2015)

2015

2015

-2



High dipole residual due to  $I \rightarrow P$  leakage



100

 $d(t) = K \left[ I(\hat{n}) + \cos\left(2\alpha(t)\right) Q(\hat{n}) + \sin\left(2\alpha(t)\right) U(\hat{n}) \right] + n(t)$ 





credits: Planck Collaboration and L. Pagano



## Planck large scale maps (2018)

Sroll1

Sroll1

-2

-2



143

100

# $\tau = 0.0544^{+0.007}_{-0.008}$





credits: Planck collaboration and L. Pagano



## SRoll2 large scale maps (2019)

143



Better foreground modeling



# $\tau = 0.0566^{+0.005}_{-0.006}$

#### Pagano et al. 2019



#### **Current and future CMB observations**

4000



#### Current constraint on r: (amplitude of primordial B-modes) r < 0.06 (95 % C.L.)

from BICEP2/Keck + WMAP/Planck Bicep2/Keck array collaboration 2018



#### **Current and future CMB observations**



### **Current and future CMB observations**

# ➡ From ground: Simons Observatory (2022)

\* 3 SAT (0.5m), 1 LAT (6m) \* frequency coverage: 27-280 (6 bands) \* sky coverage: 10-40%  $*\ell \gtrsim 30$ \* baseline on r:  $\sigma(r) \simeq 0.003$ From space: New cool LB LiteBIRD (2027) logo I cannot show \* full sky coverage yet  $*\ell \leq 200$ \* 15 frequency bands 40-400 \* forecast on r:  $\sigma(r) \simeq 0.6 \times 10^{-3}$ 



### **Snapshot on LiteBIRD**



- → LB will be the only experiment having access to the very large scales, fundamental for a relihable reconstruction of the primordial B-mode spectrum
- $\Rightarrow$  With upper limit r < 0.001 Starobinsky-like inflation would be ruled out

 $\rightarrow$  Cosmic variace limited measurment of  $\mathcal{T}$ , allowing tight constraint on  $\sum m_v$ 

## **CMB Polarized Foregrounds**



#### Planck Collaboration X, 2015



#### FOREGROUND AWERNESS TIMELINE



Current anxiety plateu

... they start to add also low freq channels to monitor SYNCH emission

#### ~2018 Time [yrs]

### **Foreground contamination to B-modes**





Krachmalnicoff et al. 2018





#### **Component separation**



#### bias << statistical residuals

#### this is what we are looking for

#### sigma(r=0)<0.00057

#### # of independent patches



#### Foreground studies



### Conclusions

- and evolution of our Universe
- CMB observations have already allowed to constrain with impressive precision cosmological parameter
- → ...but a lot still needs to be unvailed
- → This decade will be fundamental especially to put contraints on inflation thourgh CMB polarizations, with singery of ground based and satellite experiments
- → Challanges are huge (systematics and foregrounds) but we are ready to face them! Stay tuned!

## → CMB is probably the most powerful tool we have to study the history

