22/09/2020 - Virtual conference session 19<sup>th</sup> International Conference on B-Physics at Frontier Machines, BEAUTY 2020

# Recent results on Heavy Flavour production with the CMS experiment

Speaker: Vincenzo Mastrapasqua on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

Università degli Studi di Bari "Aldo Moro" Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sez. Bari CMS Collaboration





1. Measurement of prompt open-charm production cross sections in p-p collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV [CMS-PAS-BPH-18-003]

- 2. Relative cross sections of  $B_c(2S)^+$  and  $B_c^*(2S)^+$  states with respect to the  $B_c^+$  state in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV [arXiv:2008.08629, submitted to PRD]
- 3. Measurement of the Y(1S) pair production cross section and search for resonances decaying to Y(1S) $\mu^+\mu^-$  in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV [Phys. Lett. B 808 (2020) 135578]



**Measurement of the differential cross-section of prompt open-charm production** on 29 nb<sup>-1</sup> of pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV in 2016

Charm physics @ LHC: production cross section 10<sup>6</sup> times greater than e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> machines complex initial state (PU) and high background c produced with boost in LHCb (asymmetric collisions) CMS unfavoured being a "central" detector

First result on charm production from CMS in pp collisions

**Kinematic range**: 4 GeV <  $p_T(D)$  < 100 GeV &&  $|\eta(D)|$  < 2.1 **ZeroBias trigger** (the most inclusive one)

Interest in **prompt** production of D mesons (from PV or charm excited states)

• 
$$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+_{slow} \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+_{slow}$$

•  $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ 

•  $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ 

Possible contamination: c from b decays



## D mesons: reconstruction

#### **Requirements:**

- "high quality" tracks (no hadronic PID in CMS)
- tracks: p<sub>T</sub> > 0.5 GeV (0.3 GeV for π<sub>s</sub>)
- 2 (3) charged tracks combined to form  $D^0$  ( $D^+$ ) candidate
- parallel direction of the meson wrt PV-SV distance vector
- Cut on decay length significance (specific to each meson)

#### **Reconstructed mass distributions:**







## D mesons: signal yield determination





 $(D^{0}/D^{+})$  3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomial (D<sup>\*+</sup>) phenomenological threshold function

#### **K-π swapped background** (D<sup>0</sup> only):

Gaussian shape from simulation



**Non prompt-contamination** from charmed mesons coming from B mesons Bin-by-bin subtraction from the "visible" yield



## D mesons: differential cross sections



**Differential cross sections** 

in  $\boldsymbol{p}_{_{T}}$  and pseudorapidity

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{pp}\to DX)}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} = \frac{N_{i}(D\to f)}{\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{B}(D\to f)\mathcal{L}\varepsilon_{i,tot}(D\to f)},$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{pp}\to DX)}{\mathrm{d}|\eta|} = \frac{N_{i}(D\to f)}{\Delta \eta \mathcal{B}(D\to f)\mathcal{L}\varepsilon_{i,tot}(D\to f)},$$

Agreement with predictions from PYTHIA and FONLL (fixed-order next-to-leading logarithm)





• In the next slides a comparison with other results on open-charm production cross section from the **LHC experiments** is shown

• **FONLL predictions** are used to compare CMS measurements with previous results already published within LHC

• CMS measurements show a good agreement with previous results, considering the evolution in the center of mass energy scale and the kinematic dependences as described by the theory predictions

### Comparison with measurements at 7 TeV



CMS vs ATLAS: same kinematic range, different  $\sqrt{s}$ : scaling with c.m. energy 29 nb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 29 nb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)  $10^{4}$  $10^{4}$ dσ(pp→D<sup>`</sup>X)/dp<sub>⊤</sub> [μb/GeV] dσ(pp→D⁺X)/dp<sub>T</sub> [μb/GeV] CMS CMS 10<sup>3</sup>  $10^{3}$ Preliminary Preliminary CMS Data  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$ CMS Data  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$ 10<sup>2</sup> FONLL √s=13 TeV, |η| < 2.1 FONLL /s=13 TeV, |n| < 2.1 10 ATLAS Data √s=7 TeV, |η| < 2.1 ATLAS Data √s=7 TeV, |η| < 2.1 10 Nucl.Phys.B 907(2016)717 Nucl.Phys.B 907(2016)717 FONLL  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$ FONLL  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$ 10  $10^{-1}$ 10<sup>-2</sup>  $10^{-2}$ 10<sup>-3</sup>  $10^{-3}$  $10^{-4}$  $10^{-4}$ 10<sup>-5</sup>. 10<sup>-5</sup>. 0 20 40 80 0 20 60 100 40 60 80 100 p<sub>\_</sub> [GeV] p<sub>\_</sub> [GeV] CMS vs ALICE: different kinematic range factor 2 from c.c. states not included by ALICE CMS data shown for  $p_{T} < 24$  GeV 29 nb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 29 nb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 29 nb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)  $10^{4}$  $10^{4}$ dσ(pp→D<sup>\*</sup>X)/dp<sub>T</sub> [μb/GeV]  $d\sigma(pp{\rightarrow}D^0X)/dp_{_{T}} [\mu b/GeV]$ dσ(pp→D⁺X)/dp<sub>T</sub> [μb/GeV] CMS Data  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$ CMS CMS  $10^{4}$ CMS 10<sup>3</sup> FONLL  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$ 10<sup>3</sup> Preliminary  $10^{3}$ Preliminary ALICE Data  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV, |y| < 0.510 JHEP 01(2012)128 FONLL /s=7 TeV, |y| < 0.5 10 10 10 10<sup>-2</sup> CMS Data √s=13 TeV, |η| < 2.1 CMS Data √s=13 TeV, |η| < 2.1 FONLL √s=13 TeV, |η| < 2.1 FONLL /s=13 TeV, |n| < 2.1  $10^{-3}$ ALICE Data vs=7 TeV, |y| < 0.5  $10^{-3}$ ALICE Data Vs=7 TeV, |y| < 0.5 0ח  $10^{-1}$  $D^+$ JHEP 01(2012)128 JHEP 01(2012)128 10-4 10 FONLL /s=7 TeV, |y| < 0.5 FONLL Vs=7 TeV. V 10<sup>-5</sup> 10-2  $10^{-5}$ 15 0 15 20 25 0 5 10 15 20 25 0 5 10 20 25 5 10 p<sub>T</sub> [GeV] p<sub>T</sub> [GeV] p<sub>\_</sub> [GeV]

Comparison with measurements at 5.02 TeV

#### VIDEN RASIONAL HIGH RASIONAL Becine di Bari

#### p-p vs Pb-Pb @ CMS:

- different kinematic range and binning
- good agreement between theory and data



## Comparison with measurements at 13 TeV

#### CMS vs LHCb:

 $d\sigma(pp{\rightarrow}D^{^{\star}}X)/dp_{_{T}}[\mu b/GeV]$ 

- complementary acceptance
- only the first rapidity bin is shown for LHCb \_
- CMS data are reported only for  $p_{\tau} < 16 \text{ GeV}$ \_



CMS Data √s=13 TeV, |η| < 2.1

10

15

p<sub>\_</sub> [GeV]

FONLL √s=13 TeV, |η| < 2.1 LHCb Data  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV, 2 < y < 2.5

JHEP 03(2016)159 FONLL √s=13 TeV, 2 < y < 2.5

5

 $10^{-1}$ 

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 0



15

p<sub>T</sub> [GeV]

## $B_{c}(2S)$ mesons production



[1] Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 132001

★ Observation of  $B_c(2S)^+$  and  $B_c^*(2S)^+$  states with pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with 143 fb<sup>-1</sup> (full Run 2) [1]

#### **NEW** Measurement of relative cross sections:

Differential cross sections in  $p_{T}$  and rapidity bins

**Kinematical range**:  $p_T(B_c^+) > 15$  GeV and |y| < 2.4

**R**<sup>\*+</sup>: relative cross section of  $B_c^*(2S)^+$  to  $B_c^+$  **R**<sup>+</sup>: relative cross section of  $B_c^*(2S)^+$  to  $B_c^+$ **R**<sup>\*+</sup>/**R**<sup>+</sup>: relative cross section of  $B_c^*(2S)^+$  to  $B_c^*(2S)^+$ 

$$\begin{split} R^{+} &\equiv \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}(2{\rm S})^{+})}{\sigma(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{+})} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}(2{\rm S})^{+} \to \mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = \frac{N(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}(2{\rm S})^{+})}{N(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{+})} \frac{\epsilon(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{+})}{\epsilon(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}(2{\rm S})^{+})}, \\ R^{*+} &\equiv \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}(2{\rm S})^{+})}{\sigma(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{+})} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}(2{\rm S})^{+} \to \mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = \frac{N(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}(2{\rm S})^{+})}{N(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{+})} \frac{\epsilon(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{+})}{\epsilon(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}(2{\rm S})^{+})}, \\ R^{*+}/R^{+} &= \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}(2{\rm S})^{+})}{\sigma(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}(2{\rm S})^{+})} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}(2{\rm S})^{+} \to \mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}\pi^{+}\pi^{-})} = \frac{N(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}(2{\rm S})^{+})}{N(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}(2{\rm S})^{+})} \frac{\epsilon(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}(2{\rm S})^{+})}{\epsilon(\mathbf{B}_{\rm c}^{*}(2{\rm S})^{+})}. \end{split}$$

 $B_c^*(2S) \Rightarrow B_c^* ππ$  followed by  $B_c^* \Rightarrow B_c^+ γ_{lost}$  ( ≈ 55MeV: missing energy not detected) The  $B_c^*$  meson is assumed to decay to the  $B_c^-$  ground state and a soft photon with a BF of 100% <sub>11</sub>

## $B_{c}(2S)$ and $B_{c}^{*}(2S)$ signal yields



#### $B_c^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$ candidates fit

N(B<sub>c</sub>) = 7629 +/- 225 events

#### **B**<sub>c</sub>(2S) candidates:

- $-B_{c}^{+}$  + two OS tracks
- m(J/ψπ) in [6.2, 6.355] GeV

#### Signal: two gaussians Background:

Combinatorial: Chebychev-3 polynomial Gaussian for each  $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi K$  contribution

 $N(B_c^*(2S)^+) = 67 + -10 \text{ evts}$  $N(B_c^*(2S)^+) = 52 + -9 \text{ evts}$  $\Delta M = 28.9 + - 1.5 \text{ MeV}$ 

Yields enter the ratios once corrected by relative efficiencies

Details on event reconstruction and systematic uncertainties in backup



 $\begin{aligned} R^+ &= (3.47 \pm 0.63 \, (\text{stat}) \pm 0.33 \, (\text{syst}))\%, \\ R^{*+} &= (4.69 \pm 0.71 \, (\text{stat}) \pm 0.56 \, (\text{syst}))\%, \\ R^{*+} / R^+ &= 1.35 \pm 0.32 \, (\text{stat}) \pm 0.09 \, (\text{syst}). \end{aligned}$ 



#### No significative dependence of the cross section on $p_T(B_c)$ or $\eta(B_c)$ observed



#### Invariant mass of di-pion system

Different models [2] [3] bring to different predictions on the production ratios and di-pion system

No significant difference from phase space observed at this level of statistics and uncertainties







Measurement of fiducial cross-section for Y(1S) pair production and search for resonances decaying to Y(1S)µµ in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (2016 data)

Fiducial region: |y(Y(1S))| < 2.0 Final state: four muons

- Quarkonium pair production is an important probe for perturbative and non-perturbative processes in QCD
- Insight into particle production at LHC: single-parton scattering (SPS): dominant → strongly correlated → small |Δy| double-parton scattering (DPS): difficult to calculate → less correlated → large |Δy|
- Potential ground for discovery of tetraquark bound state or generic resonance with mass close to twice the Y(1S) mass
   tal

See Di Florio's talk tomorrow Spectroscopy #1

 $\sigma_{\rm fid} = \frac{N^{\rm corr}}{CB^2},$ 

 $\mathcal{B}(Y(1S)
ightarrow \mu\mu)=(2.48\pm 0.05)\%$ 

N<sup>corr</sup> = # of Y(1S)Y(1S) events <u>corrected by efficiency</u>

#### **Requirements:**

- HLT level: OS μμ pair in Y mass window + third muon
- 4 muons in final state paired in Y states
- $p_{\tau}$  thresholds for barrel/endcap after pairs are formed - veto on  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$

#### **Events corrected by efficiency and acceptance**

#### **2D UML** simultaneous fit on two µµ invariant masses:

Signal: sum of two CB with same mean (shape from simulation *in backup*) Background: Y(2S), Y(3S): gaussian combinatorial: 2<sup>nd</sup> order Chebychev

 $\sigma_{\rm fid} = 79 \pm 11 \, (\text{stat}) \pm 6 \, (\text{syst}) \pm 3 \, (\mathcal{B}) \, \text{pb},$ 

Result assuming Y(1S) unpolarised

Consistent with CMS measurement at 8 TeV [JHEP 05 (2017) 013]



9.5

10

10.5  $m_{34}$  (GeV)



Observed

S) + Y(1S)

Comb. + comb

Ge

1200

events

Corr.

35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)

Y(15) + comb

Total

--- Y(35) + X

Measurement of DPS-to-inclusive fraction



Bottomonia from DPS are less correlated than SPS: in DPS larger |Δy(Y(1S), Y(1S))|

Shapes from SPS and DPS separately extracted from MC

$$f_{\rm DPS} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm fid}^{\rm DPS}}{\sigma_{\rm fid}^{\rm SPS} + \sigma_{\rm fid}^{\rm DPS}},$$

DPS: PYTHIA SPS: HELAC-Onia + NLO\*CSM predictions

f<sub>DPS</sub> estimated from fit on fiducial cross section in |Δy(Y(1S), Y(1S))| and m(Y(1S)Y(1S)) bins $f_{DPS} = \begin{cases} (39 \pm 14)\% & using |\Delta y(\Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1S))| \\ (27 \pm 22)\% & using m_{\Upsilon(1S)\Upsilon(1S)} \end{cases}$ 



### Conclusions



- LHC provides high luminosity: heavy flavour production cross section several order of magnitudes greater than e-e colliders
- CMS exploits its 4π coverage and high resolution to perform challenging measurements in Heavy Flavour physics
- The presented recent CMS measurements concern from charmed mesons to double bottomonia production and they are generally in good agreement with previous LHC results (when available)
- Recent results from the CMS proved that the experiment is suitable for challenging measurements in Heavy Flavour physics, so further investigation on Quantum Chromo-dynamics will be possible

## THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION

contacts: vincenzo.mastrapasqua@uniba.it vincenzo.mastrapasqua@cern.ch





Variables	D*+	$D^0$	D <sup>+</sup>
PV selection:	largest $\sum p_T^2$	largest $\sum p_T^2$	largest $\sum p_T^2$
Tracks: p <sub>T</sub> <sup>min</sup> [GeV]	0.5 (0.3 for the $\pi_s$ )	0.8	0.7
Tracks: reduced $\chi^2$	$< 2.5$ (3 for the $\pi_s$ )	< 2.5	< 2.5
Tracks: N Tracker Hits	$\geq$ 5 (> 2 for the $\pi_s$ )	$\geq 5$	$\geq 5$
Tracks: N Pixel Hits	$\geq$ 2 (none for the $\pi_s$ )	$\geq 2$	$\geq 2$
Tracks: IP <sub>xy</sub> [ cm ]	< 0.1 (sig. < 3 for $\pi_s$ )	< 0.1	< 0.1
Tracks: IP <sub>z</sub> [ cm ]	$< 1$ (sig. $< 3$ for $\pi_s$ )	< 1	< 1
$ M_{cand} - M^{PDG} $ [GeV]	< 0.023	< 0.10	< 0.10
SV fit CL	> 1%	> 1%	>1%
Pointing, $cos\Phi$	> 0.99	> 0.99	> 0.99
L significance:	> 3	> 5	> 10
Arbitration	min $\Delta M$	min $ M(K\pi) - M^{PDG}(D^0) $	min $ M(K\pi\pi) - M^{PDG}(D^+) $

## D mesons: D\* threshold function and systematic uncertainties



#### Phenomenological threshold function for D\* background:

- $M_0$ : endpoint = pion mass
- $p_0, p_1, p_2$ :parameters

$$f = \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\Delta M - M_0}{p_0}}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta M}{M_0}\right)^{p_1} + p_2 \left(\frac{\Delta M}{M_0} - 1\right)$$

#### Systematic uncertainties

	Relative uncertainties (%)		
	D*+	$D^0$	$D^+$
Signal efficiency calculation	0.3	0.3	3.5
Secondary decay contamination	2.9	0.8	1.4
PU reweighting	1.0	1.0	2.0
Branching fraction	1.1	0.8	1.7
Tracking efficiency	9.4	4.2	6.1
Signal modeling	3.6	5.0	4.2
Background modeling	1.2	4.8	8.0
Luminosity	2.5	2.5	2.5
Time-dependent inefficiencies	1.4	1.4	1.4
Total	11.0	8.7	12.2

### D mesons: differential cross section





B<sub>c</sub>(2S): hyperfine structure



 $B_c^*(2S) \rightarrow B_c^* \pi^+ \pi^-$  followed by  $B_c^* \rightarrow B_c^- \gamma_{lost}$ 

Soft photon (55 MeV in the rest frame) not detected, we end up seeing  $B_c^*(2S) \rightarrow B_c \pi^+ \pi^-$  plus "missing energy" Same final state as  $B_c(2S) \rightarrow B_c \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

A two-peak structure in the Bc  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  mass distribution is expected, with the B<sub>c</sub>(2S)\* peak at a mass shifted by  $\Delta M = [M(B_c^*) - M(B_c)] - [M(B_c^*(2S)) - M(B_c(2S))]$ which is predicted to be around 20 MeV.

The two-peak can be appreciated only if  $\Delta M$  value is larger than experimental resolution!

Predictions indicate:

 $[M(B_c^*(1S)) - M(B_c(1S))] > [M(B_c^*(2S)) - M(B_c(2S))]$ that would imply that the  $B_c^*(2S) \text{ peak is the lower peak!}$ 



## $B_{c}(2S)$ : event selection

#### HLT Requirements (DoubleMu4\_JpsiTrk\_displaced):

- OS muon pair in [2.9, 3.3] GeV
- dimuon vertex  $\chi^2$  probability > 10%
- distance of closest approach between muons < 0.5 cm
- significance(flight distance) > 3
- p<sub>τ</sub>(μ) > 4 GeV && |η(μ)| < 2.5
- cos(dimuon\_transverse\_pointing\_angle) > 0.9 (\*)
- third track (from  $\mu\mu$ -vtx,  $p_{\tau}$  > 1.2 GeV,  $\eta$  < 2.5, sip > 2) p

#### **Offline requirements:**

- Muons matching trigger muons
- High quality muons
- $|\eta(\mu)| < 2.4$  and cos(dimuon\_transverse\_pointing\_angle) > 0.98 (\*)
- muons close in angular space:  $(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2 < 1.2^2$

#### Integrated Luminosity per year: 2.8, 36.1, 42.1, 61.6 1/fb



 $B_{a}(2S) \rightarrow B_{a} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ 



#### <u>B<sub>c</sub> candidates fit</u>

Signal: weighted sum of two gaussians with same mean

w = 0.47  $\sigma_1 = 21 \text{ MeV}$   $\sigma_2 = 42 \text{ MeV}$ 

Background:

- Combinatorial: Chebychev polynomial
- $J/\psi K$ : shape from simulation
- J/ $\psi\pi$  + X: ARGUS function

 $N(B_{c}) = 7629 + -225$  events





$$wG(\mu,\sigma_1)+(1-w)G(\mu,\sigma_2),$$

same mean 
$$wG(\mu$$

## VIEW VIEW RATE

#### Reconstruction efficiencies (MC studies):

- statistical: finite size of simulated events
- dispersion: average over four years
- pions: π reconstruction efficiency

	Central	Stat.	Spread	Pions
$\epsilon(B_c(2S)^+)/\epsilon(B_c^+)$	0.196	1.1%	1.8%	<b>4.2%</b>
$\epsilon(\mathrm{B}^*_\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{2S})^+)/\epsilon(\mathrm{B}^+_\mathrm{c})$	0.187	1.0%	1.6%	4.2%
$\epsilon(B_c^*(2S)^+)/\epsilon(B_c(2S)^+)$	0.955	1.4%	0.9%	s <u>—</u> 12

Systematic uncertainties:		$R^+$	$R^{*+}$	$R^{*+}/R^{+}$
Systematic uncertainties.	$J/\psi \pi^+$ fit model	5.5	5.5	<u>a a</u>
- from signal yield	$B_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ fit model	5.9	2.9	2.9
(avaluated with different fit models)	Efficiencies: statistical uncertainty	1.1	1.0	1.4
(evaluated with different in models)	Efficiencies: spread among years	1.8	1.6	0.9
- from efficiency	Efficiencies: pion tracking	4.2	4.2	1000
	Decay kinematics	1.5	6.9	4.2
- from correlations in di-pion kinematics	Helicity angle	1.0	6.0	3.5
	Total	9.5	12.0	6.4

#### **Results**:

 $\begin{aligned} R^+ &= (3.47 \pm 0.63 \, (\text{stat}) \pm 0.33 \, (\text{syst}))\%, \\ R^{*+} &= (4.69 \pm 0.71 \, (\text{stat}) \pm 0.56 \, (\text{syst}))\%, \\ R^{*+} / R^+ &= 1.35 \pm 0.32 \, (\text{stat}) \pm 0.09 \, (\text{syst}). \end{aligned}$ 



#### **HLT requirements:**

- three muons
- two muons with mass in [8.5, 11.4] GeV
- dimuon vertex  $\chi^2$  probability > 0.5%

#### **Offline requirements:**

- $p_{T}(\mu) > 2 \text{ GeV}$  and  $|\eta(\mu)| < 2.4$
- Best vertex- $\chi^2$  for arbitration of best muon combination (98% eff on MC)
- Three (of four) muons must be associated with trigger muons
- μμ mass closest to Y(1S) world-average for arbitration
- New  $p_T$  threshold for muons:  $p_T(\mu) > 2.5 \text{ GeV}$
- prob( $\chi^2$ , 4 $\mu$ ) > 5% and prob( $\chi^2$ , Y(1S)) > 0.5%
- muons separated with  $\Delta R > 0.02$
- on OS mixed-pairs: veto on J/ $\psi$  mass
  - (window of  $2\sigma$ , resolution depends on kinematics in [0.03, 0.12] GeV)

#### Extra requirements (Y(1S) pair only):

- |y(μμ)| < 2.0
- $p_T(\mu) > 3.5$  GeV for central muons,  $|\eta(\mu)| < 0.9$

#### Extra requirements (resonance search only):

- mass of Y(1S) candidate within 2σ, resolution depends on kinematics in [0.06, 0.15] GeV

.



Process	Uncorrected yield	
Y(1S) + Y(1S)	$111 \pm 16$	
Y(2S) + Y(2S)	3.6 + 4.4 - 3.6	
Y(3S) + Y(3S)	$1.1^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$	
Y(1S) + combinatorial	$166 \pm 33$	
Y(2S) + combinatorial	$25 \pm 18$	
Y(3S) + combinatorial	$1.1^{+11}_{-1.1}$	
Y(2S) + Y(1S)	$19 \pm 10$	
Y(3S) + Y(1S)	$17 \pm 11$	
Combinatorial + combinatorial	$561 \pm 41$	



#### **Event-by-event weight:**

$$\omega = \left[A_1 A_2 \epsilon_1^{\text{reco}} \epsilon_2^{\text{reco}} \left(1 - (1 - \epsilon_1^{\text{vtx}})(1 - \epsilon_2^{\text{vtx}})\right) \epsilon^{\text{evt}}\right]^{-1},$$

- A: acceptance for Y(1S) to  $\mu\mu$  in fiducial region
- $\epsilon^{reco}$ : probability that a Y(1S) to  $\mu\mu$  with |y(Y(1S))| < 2.0 and  $|\eta(\mu)| < 2.4$  is selected
- $\epsilon^{vtx}$ : probability that a selected Y(1S) has prob( $\chi^2$ , Y(1S)) > 0.5%
- $\epsilon^{\text{evt}}$ : probability that a selected event has prob( $\chi^2$ , 4 $\mu$ ) > 5% and cross-paired muons have invariant mass out of [m(J/ $\psi$ ) 2 $\sigma$ , m(J/ $\psi$ ) + 2 $\sigma$ ]

#### Shape of Y(1S) signal from simulation:

- Sum of two Crystal Ball with same mean
- Different resolutions for barrel/end-cap muons





Y(1S) pair polarization assumed to be negligible in acceptance and efficiency corrections

## Previous measurements from CMS [A] and LHCb [B] show no polarization in single Y(1S) production

Polarization affects the angular distribution of the Y(1S)  $\rightarrow \mu\mu$  decay products:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi} \propto \frac{1}{3+\lambda_\theta} (1+\lambda_\theta\cos^2\theta+\lambda_\phi\sin^2\theta\cos2\phi+\lambda_{\theta\phi}\sin2\theta\cos\phi),$$

- ( $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ ) direction of  $\mu^+$
- $\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}$ : angular distribution parameters

Effect of polarization on fiducial cross section:



Uncertainty source	Uncertainty (%)	Impact on $\sigma_{\rm fid}$ (pb)
Integrated luminosity	2.5	2.0
Muon identification	2.0	1.6
Trigger	6.0	4.7
Vertex probability	1.0	0.8
$\mathcal{B}(Y(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$	4.0	3.2
Signal and background models	1.2	1.0
Method closure	1.5	1.2
Total	8.1	6.4

## Search for resonance in Y(1S) $\mu\mu$



#### **New variable** to improve resolution by 50% for signal events

$$\widetilde{m}_{4\mu} = m_{4\mu} - m_{\mu\mu} + m_{\rm Y(1S)},$$

Background sources:

- non resonant YY (from MC):

sigmoid \* exponential - combinatorial:

from control region:  $4\mu$ -vtx  $\chi^2$  in [10<sup>-10</sup>, 10<sup>-3</sup>]



#### Example signal for a tetraquark (modeled as $\chi_{b1}$ (1P)) of m = 19 GeV and significance 1 $\sigma$



## Upper limits @ 95% CL for resonance production



Largest excess at m = 25.1 GeV scalar hypothesis 2.4o of local significance

No excess of events compatible with a signal is observed

