Reliable time delay estimation of strong lens systems

Amir Aghamousa

in collaboration with Arman Shafieloo

Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang, South Korea



All models are false, some are useful. (George E. P. Box)

Strong gravitational lensing





HST ACS image of RXJ1131-1231



Strong lensing surveys



Recent survey: COSMOGRAIL: the COSmological MOnitoring of GRAvItational Lenses (http://www.cosmograil.org)



Future survey:

LSST: the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) with 10 years observation will be expected to monitor several thousand time delay lens systems.

🔆 Need to design the fast and reliable algorithms for the time delay estimation.



* Strong Lens Time Delay Challenge: TDC0 TDC1

Strong Lens Time Delay Challenge: simulated data

Double, rung 0 Quad, rung 0 Magnitudes Magnitudes 20 ន q 1500 500 1000 500 1000 1500 a 0 Time (day) Time (day) Double, rung 1 Quad, rung 1 Magnitudes Magnitudes 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 a 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 Time (day) Time (day) Double, rung 2 Quad, rung 2 8 Magnitudes Magnitudes 0 CN ₽ 1000 1500 500 500 1000 1500 a a Time (day) Time (day) Double, rung 3 Quad, rung 3 30 Magnitudes Magnitudes ₽ 1500 500 1000 500 1500 0 1000 Time (day) Time (day) Double, rung 4 Quad, rung 4 Magnitudes Magnitude 8 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 30.00 3500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 30.00 3500

Time (day)

Time (day)

The TDC1 simulated data is provided in five different categories (rungs)

Each rung contains the light curves of 720 Double and 152 Quad image systems.

Methodology: smoothing



$$A^{s}(t) = A^{g}(t) + N(t) \sum_{i} \frac{A^{d}(t_{i}) - A^{g}(t_{i})}{\sigma_{d}^{2}(t_{i})} \times exp\left[-\frac{(t_{i} - t)^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}\right]$$

where $N(t)^{-1} = \sum_{i} exp\left[-\frac{(t_i - t)^2}{2\Delta^2}\right] \frac{1}{\sigma_d^2(t_i)}$

(Shafieloo et al. 2006, Shafieloo 2007, Shafieloo & Clarkson 2010)

Methodology: cross-correlation



(Peterson 2001, Wasserman 2004)

Methodology: time delay estimation



(Aghamousa & Shafieloo arXiv:1410.8122)

The light curves of a Quad image are labeled A1 , A2 , B1 and B2. For every Quad system we should have:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Delta t}_{A_1A_2} - (\tilde{\Delta t}_{A_1B_1} + \tilde{\Delta t}_{B_1A_2}) \pm \sqrt{(\sigma_{\tilde{\Delta t}_{A_1A_2}}^{ini})^2 + (\sigma_{\tilde{\Delta t}_{A_1B_1}}^{ini})^2 + (\sigma_{\tilde{\Delta t}_{B_1A_2}}^{ini})^2} \equiv 0 \\ T_{dif} & \pm \sigma_{T_{dif}} & \equiv 0 \end{split}$$

We can assume that all time delays and their corresponding errors are estimated consistently.

No
$$\sigma_{ec}^2 = |T_{dif}|^2 - \sigma_{T_{dif}}^2$$
 $\sigma_{\tilde{\Delta t}_{A_1A_2}}^{new} = \sqrt{(\sigma_{\tilde{\Delta t}_{A_1A_2}}^{ini})^2 + \frac{\alpha}{3}\sigma_{ec}^2}$

(Aghamousa & Shafieloo arXiv:1410.8122)

 $|T_{dif}| \leqslant \sigma_{T_{dif}}$

Yes

Methodology: error estimation, using Quad systems



|Estimated time delay| (day)

$\sigma_{\tilde{\Delta t}_{A_1A_2}} = \sqrt{(\sigma^{ini}_{\tilde{\Delta t}_{A_1A_2}})^2 + \sigma_R^2}$

(Aghamousa & Shafieloo arXiv:1410.8122)

Results: TDC1 paper

STRONG LENS TIME DELAY CHALLENGE: II. RESULTS OF TDC1

KAI LIAO^{1,2*}, TOMMASO TREU^{2*}, PHIL MARSHALL³, CHRISTOPHER D. FASSNACHT⁴, NICK RUMBAUGH⁴, GREGORY DOBLER^{5,20}, AMIR AGHAMOUSA⁹, VIVIEN BONVIN¹³, FREDERIC COURBIN¹³, ALIREZA HOJJATI^{6,7}, NEAL JACKSON¹², VINAY KASHYAP¹⁷, S. RATHNA KUMAR¹⁴, ERIC LINDER^{8,18}, KAISEY MANDEL¹⁷, XIAO-LI MENG¹⁵, GEORGES MEYLAN¹³, LEONIDAS A.MOUSTAKAS¹¹, TUSHAR P. PRABHU¹⁴, ANDREW ROMERO-WOLF¹¹, ARMAN SHAFIELOO^{9,10}, ANETA SIEMIGINOWSKA¹⁷, CHELLIAH S. STALIN¹⁴, HYUNGSUK TAK¹⁵, MALTE TEWES¹⁹, AND DAVID VAN DYK¹⁶

Draft version September 5, 2014

ABSTRACT

We present the results of the first strong lens time delay challenge. The motivation, experimental design, and entry level challenge are described in a companion paper. This paper presents the main challenge, TDC1, which consisted in analyzing thousands of simulated light curves blindly. The observational properties of the light curves cover the range in quality obtained for current targeted efforts (e.g. COSMOGRAIL) and expected from future synoptic surveys (e.g. LSST), and include "evilness" in the form of simulated systematic errors. 7 teams participated in TDC1, submitting results from 78 different method variants. After a describing each method, we compute and analyze basic statistics measuring accuracy (or bias) A, goodness of fit χ^2 , precision P, and success rate f. For some methods we identify outliers as an important issue. Other methods show that outliers can be controlled via visual inspection or conservative quality control. Several methods are competitive, i.e. give |A| < 0.03, P < 0.03, and $\chi^2 < 1.5$, with some of the methods already reaching sub-percent accuracy. The fraction of light curves yielding a time delay measurement is typically in the range f = 20-40%. It depends strongly on the quality of the data: COSMOGRAIL-quality cadence and light curve lengths yield significantly higher f than does sparser sampling. We estimate that LSST should provide around 400 robust time-delay measurements, each with P < 0.03 and |A| < 0.01. comparable to current lens modeling uncertainties. In terms of observing strategies, we find that Aand f depend mostly on season length, while P depends mostly on cadence and campaign duration. Subject headings: gravitational lensing — methods: data analysis

,	$f \equiv \frac{N_{submitted}}{N}$
	$P = \frac{1}{fN} \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{ \Delta t_i } \right)$
	$A = \frac{1}{fN} \sum_{i} \left(\frac{ \tilde{\Delta t_i} - \Delta t_i }{ \Delta t_i } \right)$



Rung	f	χ^2	Р	А
0	0.529	0.579	0.038	-0.018
1	0.366	0.543	0.044	-0.022
2	0.350	0.885	0.053	-0.025
3	0.337	0.524	0.059	-0.021
4	0.346	0.608	0.056	-0.024

Results: histogram of metrics



Results: estimated vs true time delay



Results: calibration

Rung	f	χ^2	P	A				
0	0.529	0.579	0.038	-0.018				
1	0.366	0.543	0.044	-0.022				
2	0.350	0.885	0.053	-0.025				
3	0.337	0.524	0.059	-0.021				
4	0.346	0.608	0.056	-0.024				
$\tilde{\Delta t_i} + 0.5$ and $\sigma_i/\sqrt{2}$								
Rung	f	χ^2	Р	А				
0	0.529	0.792	0.027	-0.0014				
1	0.366	0.660	0.031	-0.0036				
2	0.350	1.439	0.038	-0.0058				
3	0.337	0.766	0.041	-0.0010				
Λ	0.346	0.868	0.040	-0 0048				

(Aghamousa & Shafieloo arXiv:1410.8122)

Summary

- The strong lensing is going to be a rich data field which can reveal new information about the Universe.
- Time delay estimation has a crucial role in analysis of strong lensing systems. Hence precise and accurate algorithms are needed for time delay estimation.
- To prepare for the future there have been the strong lens Time Delay Challenge: TDC0, TDC1, ...?
- We have designed a fast and reliable algorithm based on smoothing method and cross-correlation with which we could get outstanding results in the challenges.
- Our method is fully automated and after a small calibration our algorithm can yield very accurate and precise estimation of time delay.
- Using our algorithm we can estimate the time delay in systems affected by microlensing.
- We are planning to apply our method on currently available data.