New techniques for observing gravitational waves

Peter Graham

Stanford

Gravitational Spectrum

Gravitational waves will be major part of future of astronomy, astrophysics and cosmology

Crucial to observe as many bands as possible!

many observatories operating or planned from ~ nHz to kHz



Important to consider all possible detection techniques to cover the entire spectrum

Outline

1. Gravitational wave detection with atom interferometry ~ Hz band

2. New proposal for μ Hz band using atomic clocks (preliminary)

Gravitational Wave Detection with Atom Interferometry

with

Savas Dimopoulos Jason Hogan Mark Kasevich Surjeet Rajendran







PRD **94** (2016) arXiv:1606.01860 PRL **110** (2013) arXiv:1206.0818 GRG **43** (2011) arXiv:1009.2702 PLB **678** (2009) arXiv:0712.1250 PRD **78** (2008) arXiv:0806.2125

Atom Interferometry



two halves recombined and final phase difference measured

laser pulse coherently split each atom's wavefunction, and separate the halves

start with ultracold cloud of atoms in free fall

Experimental Demonstrations

(Kasevich and Hogan groups)

Stanford 10 m Test Facility



demonstrate necessary technologies (in Rb):

W.M. KEC



Macroscopic splitting of atomic wavefunction:

54 cm

Kovachy et. al, Nature (2015)

MAGIS-100 at Fermilab



- atom interferometers (like clocks) measure light travel time
- 100m Sr atom interferometer drop tower
- Detect ultralight dark matter from oscillation of energy levels
- Demonstrator for future gravitational wave detectors (terrestrial ~km scale, and satellite)
- Under construction!







100 m

MAGIS-100 Collaboration

Spokesperson: Jason Hogan

Mahiro Abe Philip Adamson Marcel Borcean Daniela Bortoletto **Kieran Bridges** Samuel Carman Swapan Chattopadhyay Jonathon Coleman Noah Curfman Joseph Lykken Kenneth DeRose Tejas Deshpande Savas Dimopoulos **Christopher Foot** Josef Frisch **Benjamin Garber** Steve Geer

Valerie Gibson Jonah Glick Peter Graham Steve Hahn Roni Harnik Leonie Hawkins Sam Hindley Jason Hogan Yijun Jiang James Santucci Mark Kasevich **Ronald Kellett** Mandy Kiburg Tim Kovachy John March-Russell Jeremiah Mitchell Martin Murphy

Megan Nantel Lucy Nobrega Robert Plunkett Surjeet Rajendran Roger Romani Jan Rudolph Natasha Sachdeva Murtaza Safdari Ariel Schwartzman Ian Shipsey Hunter Swan Linda Valerio Arvydas Vasonis Yiping Wang Thomas Wilkason









Fermilab







UNIVERSITY OF

OXFORD

SLAC





International Efforts in Gravitational Wave Detection with Atom Interferometry

Baseline Number of

Drojoct

Terrestrial under constr

Tamastrial Datastars	FIOJECU	Length	Baselines	Orientation	Atom	Atom Optics	Location	
refrestrial Detectors	MAGIS-100	100 m	1	Vertical	$\operatorname{Sr}_{\mathcal{G}}$	Clock AI, Bragg	USA	-
inder construction now.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{AION} \ [10] \\ \text{MIGA} \ [5] \end{array}$	$100 \mathrm{m}$ $200 \mathrm{m}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	Vertical Horizontal	$\frac{\mathrm{Sr}}{\mathrm{Rb}}$	Clock AI Bragg	UK France	
	ZAIGA [8]	300 m	3	Vertical	Rb, Sr	Raman, Bragg, OLC	China	-
MAGIS-100 (Fermilab)	MIGA (France)		1	AION (UK)		ZAIGA (China)
	Injection system			MAGIS		AION	An un using atom i • ZAI • ZAI • ZAI	derground facility for GR tests large scale nterferometers, clocks and gyros DA-GW (Gravitational wave detection) DA-EP (Equivalence principle test) DA-CE (Redshift measurement, GW prototype) GA-RM (Rotation measurement) A-CE (Redshift measurement) A-CE (Redshift measurement) A-CE (Redshift measurement) A-CE (Redshift measurement)
	10 ⁻¹⁴ 10 ⁻¹⁶ 10 ⁻¹⁶ 10 ⁻¹⁸ 10 ⁻²⁰ 10 ⁻²² 10 ⁻²⁴ 10 ⁻⁶ 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻² Frequence	AMEGA eLISA ive IA ive IA IA ive IA ive IA ive IA ive IA ive IA ive IA ive IA i	RUGO ET Internet My 10 ⁴	10^{-16} 10^{-17} 10^{-17} 10^{-18} 10^{-19} 10^{-21} $30M_{\odot}$ 10^{-21} 10^{-22} 10^{-23} 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-4}	10 ⁻² 10 ⁻¹ 10 Frequency	AION $z = 0.1$ z = 0.6 z = 5.0 $0^{0} M_{\odot}$ $0^{0} 10^{1} 10^{2} 10^{3}$ r [Hz]	10^{-17} 10^{-10} 10^{-10} 10^{-10} 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10^{-2}	aLIGO 01 Sensivity

Orientation Atom

Atom Option

Location

Can do ultralight dark matter detection as well Demonstrators for ~km scale terrestrial detectors (and satellite detectors in farther future) rest of talk I'll focus on science reach, use MAGIS as example

Atom Interferometry for Gravitational Waves

Future detectors (terrestrial + satellite) could access mid-frequency band:



Atom Interferometry for Gravitational Waves

Future detectors (terrestrial + satellite) could access mid-frequency band:







Angular Localization



Standard Sirens

Schutz (1986)

luminosity distance measured from GW waveform, need redshift from EM observations → can do cosmology

e.g. LIGO used NS-NS merger

GW170817 \rightarrow measure H_0

current tension in Hubble measurements



independent measurement, no distance ladder needed, ultimately limited only by measurement precision not source uncertainties but need EM redshift

Dark Sirens

If use black hole binaries, get higher redshifts, more sirens but how measure redshift without identified EM counterpart?



statistically match to known galaxies within error box set by GW observations

with enough events can measure distance-redshift relation precisely

attempted but challenging in LIGO's band

Chen & Holz (2016)

good angular resolution of mid-band greatly improves the measurement (combined with LIGO) BH's become precision dark sirens, e.g. may allow measurement of dark energy equation of state w (in progress) e.g. Yang et al (2110.09967)

Neutron Star Mergers



would allow EM telescopes to observe merger as it happens

e.g. learn more about NS mergers, kilonovae, origin of r-process elements, etc.



White Dwarf Mergers



What do we learn?

- What does a WD-WD collision look like? (Some of) Type Ia SN?
- measure rate, double degenerate vs single degenerate model of type Ia
- improve calibration of standard candles?

Mid-band GW Science

complementary to LIGO (and future LISA), combining gives much more information

Observing with MAGIS in the mid-band may allow:

- excellent angular resolution
- identify upcoming NS (and BH) mergers allowing EM telescopes to observe event
- standard siren measurements for cosmology: measure Hubble, dark energy EOS...
- study WD mergers, type Ia supernovae, double degenerate vs single degenerate, etc.
- measure BH spins and orbital eccentricities, learn about formation, heavier BH's?
- possibly early universe sources of GW's (inflation/reheating, cosmic strings, etc.)
- ... likely more we haven't thought of yet!

Gravitational waves will be major part of future of astrophysics and cosmology must observe in all possible bands

Atomic Clocks and Gravitational Waves at ~ 1-10 µHz (PRELIMINARY)

with Michael Fedderke Surjeet Rajendran

to appear soon

Gravitational Spectrum

Important to consider all possible detection techniques to cover the entire spectrum



Why the "µHz Gap"?

Why doesn't LISA reach lower frequencies?



Astrophysical Proof Masses

Why doesn't Pulsar Timing reach higher frequencies?

Pulsars very heavy so excellent inertial proof masses (and clocks)



baseline is "too long" or really insufficient timing of pulses for higher frequency band

want: shorter baseline for good SNR of pulses, human-made clock + pulses

Lunar laser ranging uses Earth-Moon system

but Earth has atmosphere + seismic noise (plate tectonics...)

what can we use?

Bayosian Numerical Sensitiv

So what can we use?

Want much bigger than a satellite so small forces don't disturb

Want smaller than the Earth so no atmosphere or plate tectonics:

can we use asteroids?

Will evaluate asteroids as inertial proof masses

for gravitational wave detection

in particular will evaluate acceleration noise for asteroids

will argue it can naturally be much lower than human-made proof masses in this frequency band

toy concept for a full GW experiment (others possible too):



433 Eros

focus on ~ 10 km asteroids orbiting ~ 2 AU with baseline ~ AU

Some Example Asteroids

from NASA asteroid database:

results								
full_name	a (AU)	е	per_y n_dop_obs_used		Н	diameter (km)	albedo	rot_per
433 Eros (A898 PA)	1.458045729	0.222951265	1.760617117	2	10.4	16.84	0.25	5.27
1627 Ivar (1929 SH)	1.863272945	0.396783058	2.543448329	1	12.7	9.12	0.15	4.795
2064 Thomsen (1942 RQ)	2.178626927	0.329840411	3.215751662		12.6	13.61	0.0549	4.233
3353 Jarvis (1981 YC)	1.863022742	0.084636421	2.54293604		13.7	10.528	0.049	202
6618 Jimsimons (1936 SO)	1.874978569	0.044348412	2.56745396		13.4	11.506	0.07	4.142

Human Exploration of Asteroids

Have landed on asteroids many times:

Body ÷	Mission +	Country/Agency +	Date of landing/impact +		
Eros	NEAR Shoemaker	USA USA	12 February 2001		
Itokawa	Hayabusa	Japan	19 November 2005		
			25 November 2005		
Ryugu Hayabusa2		 Japan 	21 September 2018		
		France /	3 October 2018		
		Japan	21 February 2019		
			5 April 2019		
			April 2019		
			11 July 2019		
			October 2019		
Bennu	OSIRIS-REx	USA	20 October 2020		

Wikipedia

even "driven" rovers, collected samples...



162173 Ryugu

Much ongoing interest in landing on asteroids

I'll mainly focus on evaluating asteroids as proof masses, not on (challenging) engineering aspects of rest of mission

Unexplored GW Band



Solar Intensity Acceleration Noise

Gravitational perturbations from planets etc. are low frequency (and well-known) A major remaining, fluctuating, force is radiation pressure from sun.



diameters > 1 km give sufficient noise suppression

Solar Wind Acceleration Noise

Measured solar wind fluctuations, applied to example asteroid



Thermal Noise

Solar intensity fluctuations cause variable heating -> thermal expansion noise



Rotation Noise

Asteroid rotation periods generally ~ few hours



many other acceleration noise sources (e.g. collisions, tidal heating, seismic noise, etc) appear sufficiently small for asteroid diameters > 1 km

asteroid as inertial proof mass allows significant improvement at low frequencies

$|\pm 9/2\rangle$ Clock Noise $|\pm 9/2\rangle$

 ϵ_{698}

Asteroid is good inertial proof mass, quickly estimate other noise sources



existing (terrestrial) clocks already sufficient for great GW sensitivity! will assume this can be improved sufficiently that it is not illimiting $\pm 9/2\rangle$

Radio/Optical Link Noise

Estimate radar-ranging accuracy



possibly allows a link system with significantly reduced technical complications relative to optical interferometry

Asteroid Gravity Gradient Noise

predominantly around orbital period (of detector) ~ few years

Fedderke, PWG, Rajendran, PRD (2021)





dedicated simulation using NASA JPL asteroid catalog, supplemented with estimate for higher frequency "close pass" noise of unmodeled asteroids using e.g. lunar crater data cuts off any inner solar system experiment for GW's at frequencies < few × 10⁻⁷ Hz another "gap" in gravitational spectrum?

Full Sensitivity Curve

"just" placing atomic clock and laser (or radio) link on two asteroids will have sensitivity:



Asteroids as proof masses with atomic clocks appear capable of observing ~10⁻⁶ Hz - 10⁻⁴ Hz band hopefully encourages further study!

Summary

- Atom interferometer-based GW detectors could observe the "mid-band" ~ 1 Hz
- 100 m class demonstrators under construction now (e.g. MAGIS-100 at Fermilab)
- Science case for this band is broad (and expanding)

- Asteroids (with atomic clocks) may be good proof masses for GW's in μ Hz band
- \cdot no existing methods can access this band
- warrants further study

Backup Slides

Mid-band Atomic Gravitational wave Interferometric Sensor (MAGIS)



run as hybrid clock/accelerometer PWG, Hogan, Kasevich, Rajendran PRL **110** (2013)



atomic interferometer

atomic

interferometer

gravitational wave detection:

- based on atomic clock technology
- atoms measure light travel time
- accelerometer → can use atoms as good inertial proof masses
- differential measurement allows reduction of many noise sources
- •e.g. seismic noise removed →
 observe frequencies below LIGO

Angular Localization

			Satellite Detector		
Benchmark	distance D_L	lifetime	$\sqrt{\Omega_s} [\mathrm{deg}]$	SNR	
GW150914	410 Mpc	9.6 months	0.16	67	
NS-NS	140 Mpc	140 years	0.19	5.2	
140-140	410 Mpc	$25 \mathrm{~days}$	0.75	190	



localize within FOV of many EM telescopes,
predict mergers > 1 week advance warning
improves multi-messenger astronomy

changes observing strategy earth orbit optimal

space AI mission NS-NS 100 Sqrt[ΔΩ_s] [deg] GW151226 10 GW150914 1 140-140 0.1 100 150 50 200 250 300 350 0 measurement time [days]

2 optimal terrestrial detectors could localize observed NS-NS to $\sim 1^{\circ}$, predict ~ 1 day ahead

PWG + Sunghoon Jung PRD 97 (2018)

Dark Matter Detection with MAGIS

Arvanitaki, PWG, Hogan, Rajendran, Tilburg, PRD 97 (2018)

MAGIS could also detect ultralight dark matter (e.g. axions)

such DM acts like a field, can oscillate fundamental 'constants' e.g. electron mass





energy splitting will oscillate with fixed frequency

only observable if compare two clocks \rightarrow GW detector

not observable in laser interferometer GW detector





Dark Matter Detection with MAGIS

MAGIS can also detect ultralight dark matter (e.g. axions) with 3 complementary searches:

 single-baseline "gravitational wave" search Arvanitaki, PWG, Hogan, Rajendran, Tilburg, PRD 97 (2018)

2. equivalence principle violation search

PWG, Kaplan, Mardon, Rajendran, Terrano, PRD 93 (2016)

3. spin torque search

PWG, Kaplan, Mardon, Rajendran, Terrano, Trahms, Wilkason, PRD **97** (2018)

