# **Galactic Probes of Dark-Sector Physics**

Mariangela Lisanti

**Princeton University** 



# Theory of Dark Sectors



# Theory of Dark Sectors



#### Portals are restricted by Standard Model symmetries

### Some Important Portals

"Vector"

 $\epsilon F_{Y}^{\mu
u}\overline{F_{\mu
u}^{\prime}}$ 

dark photon

"Axion"

 $\frac{1}{f_{a}}\epsilon F^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}a$ 

axions & axionlike particles (ALPs)

"Higgs"

 $\lambda H^2 S^2 + \mu H^2 S$ 

dark Higgs

"Neutrino"

 $\kappa(HL)N$ 

sterile neutrinos

# Theory of Dark Sectors



New dark forces? Multiple dark matter states?

- affects dark matter production in early Universe
- leads to self interactions and/or dissipative interactions
- affects possible discovery channels

### A Toy Model

Dark sectors can lead to a spectacular range of signals



#### How do dark matter particles interact with each other?



Galactic observables play an integral role in answering this fundamental question about dark sectors

## Self Interactions in a Galaxy



Over the age of the Universe, ~one self-interaction near galactic center if



Spergel and Steinhardt [astro-ph/9909386]

This is a typical cross section for dark sectors with light mediators e.g., ~10 GeV dark matter with ~10 MeV mediator ( $\alpha_D \sim 0.01$ )

### Benchmark SIDM Model

Dark matter particles interact via a light mediator



#### Self scattering described by Yukawa potential in non-relativistic limit

Feng et al. [0905.3039]; Loeb and Weiner [1011.6374]; Kaplinghat, Tulin and Yu [1508.03339]

## Benchmark SIDM Model



Anisotropic, velocity-dependent self scattering

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} = \frac{\sigma_0 \sin \theta}{2 \left[1 + \frac{v^2}{\omega^2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right]^2}$$

Two free parameters  $\sigma_0 \equiv 4\pi \alpha_D^2 m_\chi^2 / m_\phi^4$   $\omega \equiv m_\phi / m_\chi$ 

see Tulin and Yu [1705.02358] for review

### Parameter Space



# Constraints from Clusters & Groups

Groups and clusters place strong constraints for velocities ~1000-2000 km/s



Relaxed groups:  $\sigma/m_{\gamma} \lesssim 0.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ Relaxed clusters:  $\sigma/m_{\gamma} \lesssim 0.19 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ Sagunski et al. [2006.12515] BCG oscillations:  $\sigma/m_{\gamma} \lesssim 0.39 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ Harvey et al. [1812.06981] Merging clusters:  $\sigma/m_{\gamma} \lesssim 2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ Wittman et al. [1701.05877]

# Heat Transfer in an SIDM Galaxy



**Stage 1: Core Formation** 

Self interactions transfer heat inwards

→ Formation of isothermal core

Vogelsberger et al. [1201.5892] Zavala et al. [1211.6426] Robles et al. [1903.01469] Zavala et al. [1904.09998]

### **Core Formation**

#### SIDM responds to gravitational potential of baryons in galaxy

Kaplinghat et al. [1311.6524]; Sameie et al. [1801.09682]



Dark-matter dominated systems: cored profile

#### Baryon-dominated systems: approx. cuspy profile

Robles et al. [1903.01469]

SIDM yields wide variation of halo distributions, depending on galaxy mass Can explain observed diversity of rotation curves



Baryonic feedback can also result in coring of CDM halos, depending on the mass of the system

#### Lazar et al. [2004.10817]



NFW

We use rotation curves of 90 SPARC galaxies to compare SIDM, NFW, and CDM with baryonic feedback (DC14)



Dandavate, ML, Slone, and Zentner [in prep]

NFW model disfavored for low surface brightness galaxies No strong preference for SIDM or feedback-affected CDM models



Dandavate, ML, Slone, and Zentner [in prep]

# Heat Transfer in an SIDM Galaxy



**Stage 1: Core Formation** 

Self interactions transfer heat inwards

→ Formation of isothermal core

#### Stage 2: Core Collapse

Self interactions transfer heat outwards

- → Core heats up and shrinks
- $\rightarrow$  Tidal stripping reduces collapse time

Dark Matter Halo

Balberg et al. [astro-ph/0110561]; Koda and Shapiro [1101.3097]; Elbert et al. [1412.1477]; Essig et al. [1809.01144]; Nishikawa et al. [1901.0049]; Kahlhoefer et al. [1904.10539]; Turner et al. [2010.02924]

## Gravothermal Core Collapse

Gravothermal collapse can potentially explain the range of observed central densities for Milky Way dwarf galaxies



### Draco Isothermal Constraint

Heating of isothermal core should not reduce Draco's present-day central density more than  $2\sigma$  below measured value



F. Jiang, M. Kaplinghat, ML, O. Slone [2108.03243]



 $\sigma_{0m} = \sigma_0 / m_{\gamma}$ 

# **Orbital Evolution**

#### SIDM can significantly affect the orbits of dwarf galaxies

F. Jiang, M. Kaplinghat, **ML**, O. Slone [2108.03243]

Dooley et al. [1603.08919]; Kummer et al. [1706.04794]; Nadler et al. [2001.08754]; Correa [2007.02958]



#### **Tidal Stripping**

- Dark matter in dwarf galaxy can interact with dark matter from host
- Mass-loss more pronounced for cored density profile

# **Orbital Evolution**

#### SIDM can significantly affect the orbits of dwarf galaxies

F. Jiang, M. Kaplinghat, **ML**, O. Slone [2108.03243]

Dooley et al. [1603.08919]; Kummer et al. [1706.04794]; Nadler et al. [2001.08754]; Correa [2007.02958]



#### **Ram-Pressure Evaporation**

- Mass loss from scattering between dark matter in dwarf and host
- Efficient mass removal over entire extent of dwarf

# **Orbital Evolution**



#### **Evolution about Milky-Way like Host**

F. Jiang, M. Kaplinghat, ML, O. Slone [2108.03243]

# The mass-loss mechanism that dominates depends on SIDM parameters





### Draco Ram-Pressure Constraint

Ram-pressure evaporation should not remove so much mass from the central regions of Draco to be inconsistent with observations



conservatively assumes initially fully gravothermally collapsed halo

## Next Steps

Velocity-dependent cross sections that lead to gravothermal collapse are favored within SIDM framework



To Target Remaining Parameter Space:

- Carefully analyze properties of individual Milky Way dwarf galaxies
- Study population statistics of satellites around Milky-Way like hosts
- Understand implications for lenses in galaxy clusters

works in progress with D. Folsom, F. Jiang, M. Kaplinghat, C. Leinz, O. Slone, ...

# The Local Group

Observational data rapidly becoming available on abundance of dwarf galaxies around Milky Way-like galaxies



Carlsten et al. [2006.02444]

see also SAGA survey, Mao et al. [2008.12783]

# Gravitational Lenses about Clusters

Cluster substructures lens more efficiently than expected for CDM

#### Observed

#### **CDM Simulation**



Meneghetti et al. [2009.04471]

see Yang and Yu [2102.02375] for possible theory interpretation

## Next Steps

Velocity-dependent cross sections that lead to gravothermal collapse are favored within SIDM framework



To Target Remaining Parameter Space:

- Carefully analyze properties of individual Milky Way dwarf galaxies
- Study population statistics of satellites around Milky-Way like hosts
- Understand implications for lenses in galaxy clusters

works in progress with D. Folsom, F. Jiang, M. Kaplinghat, C. Leinz, O. Slone, ...