# Motion of S2 and bounds on scalar clouds around SgrA\*

Arianna Foschi, Paulo Garcia, Vitor Cardoso & the GRAVITY collaboration

### Very Light Dark Matter workshop @ Chino, Nagano (Online talk) 28 March 2023





grit gravitation in técnico



## Motivation

Motivation: Ultralight bosons are possible candidates for **Dark** Matter (DM).

DM may cluster around supermassive BHs (Sadeghian *et al.* 2013).

Idea: Constrain an ultralight scalar field cloud around the supermassive Black Hole (BH), *Sagittarius A\**, at the center of the Milky Way using orbital motion of Sstars.

#### We will focus on star S2.

**Data:** We have **astrometry** (positions in the sky) and **spectroscopy** (radial velocity measurements).

Several works used S-stars to obtain upper bounds on the extended mass around Sgr A\*.



Credits to S. Gillessen, GRAVITY Coll., Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{R}{16\pi Gc^{-4}} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \Psi^* \partial_{\beta} \Psi^* - \frac{\mu}{2} \Psi \Psi^* \right) \qquad \text{Mass coupling: } \alpha = r_g \mu = \left[ \frac{GM_{\bullet}}{c^2} \right] \left[ \frac{m_s c}{\hbar} \right]$$

In the limit  $\alpha \ll 1$ , the fundamental mode of the field  $(\ell = m = 1)$  is given by (Brito *et al.* 2015)

$$\Psi = A_0 e^{-i(\omega_R t - \varphi)} r \, \alpha^2 e^{-r\alpha^2/2} \sin \theta \qquad \text{where} \qquad A_0^2 = \Lambda \frac{\alpha^4}{64 \, \pi} \qquad \left(\Lambda = \frac{M_{\text{cloud}}}{M_{\text{cloud}}}\right)$$



Credits for image to Ana Carvalho, from Brito et al. 2015

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{R}{16\pi G c^{-4}} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \Psi^* \partial_{\beta} \Psi^* - \frac{\mu}{2} \Psi \Psi^* \right)$$
 Mass coupling:  $\alpha = r_g \mu = 1$ 

In the limit  $\alpha \ll 1$ , the fundamental mode of the field  $(\ell = m = 1)$  is given by (Brito *et al.* 2015)

$$\Psi = A_0 e^{-i(\omega_R t - \varphi)} r \alpha^2 e^{-r\alpha^2/2} \sin \theta \qquad \text{where} \qquad A_0^2 = \Lambda \frac{\alpha^4}{64 \pi}$$

The energy density of the scalar field is:

$$\rho = \frac{m_s^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} |\Psi|^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(c^{-4}\right)$$

Solving  $\nabla^2 U_{\text{scalar}} = 4\pi\rho$  we obtain the scalar potential:

$$U_{\text{scalar}} = \sum_{\ell m} \frac{4\pi}{2\ell + 1} \left[ q_{\ell m}(r) \frac{Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi)}{r^{\ell + 1}} + p_{\ell m}(r) r^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi) \right] = \Lambda \left[ P_1(r, \alpha) + P_2(r, \alpha) \cos^2 \theta \right]$$

and the Lagrangian:

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi} \right) + \frac{M_{\bullet}}{r} + \Lambda \left( P_1(r, \alpha) + P_2(r, \alpha) \cos^2 \theta \right)$$



 $\left[\frac{GM_{\bullet}}{c^2}\right] \left[\frac{m_s c}{\hbar}\right]$ 

 $\Lambda = \frac{M_{\rm cloud}}{M_{\bullet}}$ 

Credits for image to Ana Carvalho, from Brito et al. 2015

**Corrections to the Newtonian model** 

(GRAVITY Coll. 2018, Alexander 2005)

- Newtonian effect: the Roemer delay due to finite value of c.
- **Relativistic effects**: the Doppler shift and the gravitational redshift.
- 1 Post Newtonian (PN) correction

Schwarzschild precession has been detected on S2 motion at  $8\sigma$  confidence level (GRAVITY Coll. 2020)

$$a_{1\text{PN}} = f_{\text{SP}} \frac{M_{\bullet}}{r^2} \left[ \left( \frac{4M_{\bullet}}{r} - v^2 \right) \frac{r}{r} + 4\dot{r}v \right]$$

where  $f_{\text{SP}} = 1, \mathbf{r} = r\hat{r}, \mathbf{v} = (\dot{r}\hat{r}, r\dot{\theta}\hat{\theta}, r\dot{\phi}\sin\theta\hat{\phi}), \mathbf{v} = |\mathbf{v}|$ 

## Method

#### **First step:** minimize the $\chi^2$

Effective peak position of  $\rho$ 

$$R_{\text{peak}} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \rho \bar{r} d\bar{r}}{\int_0^\infty \rho d\bar{r}} = \frac{3M_{\bullet}}{\alpha^2}$$

Smaller uncertainties in  $\Lambda$  for

 $0.01 \leq \alpha \leq 0.03$ 

which (roughly) corresponds to

 $35 M_{\bullet} \leq R_{\text{peak}} \leq 30000 M_{\bullet}$  $(3000 M_{\bullet} \leq r_{S2} \leq 50000 M_{\bullet})$ 



## Method

Second step: applying Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method using emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) Python package

We need to sample  $P(\theta \mid D) \propto P(D \mid \theta)P(\theta)$  for different fixed values of  $\alpha$ 

D = data set



 $P(D \mid \theta)$  = Gaussian Likelihood

 $P(\theta) =$  Uniform priors for physical parameters, Gaussian priors for  $(x_0, y_0, v_{x0}, v_{y0}, v_{z0})$  (Plewa *et al.* 2015)

## **Results**

Second step: applying Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method

using emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) Python package

|         | $\hat{\Lambda} = \arg \max$ | $\mathbf{x} \mathscr{L}(\Lambda_{\alpha}$ |
|---------|-----------------------------|---|
|         | - Ve                        |   |
| α       | Â                           | $\log_{10} K$                             |
| 0.00065 | $\lesssim (0.470, 0.980)$   | 0.09                                      |
| 0.001   | $\lesssim (0.470, 0.980)$   | 0.08                                      |
| 0.002   | $\lesssim (0.440, 0.978)$   | -0.06                                     |
| 0.0035  | $\lesssim (0.140, 0.780)$   | -10.58                                    |
| 0.006   | $0.34671 \pm 0.13666$       | 1.44                                      |
| 0.01    | $0.00361 \pm 0.00147$       | 1.29                                      |
| 0.015   | $0.00101 \pm 0.00042$       | 1.24                                      |
| 0.02    | $0.00075 \pm 0.00030$       | 1.33                                      |
| 0.025   | $0.00068 \pm 0.00028$       | 1.35                                      |
| 0.03    | $0.00073 \pm 0.00029$       | 1.33                                      |
| 0.045   | $0.00328 \pm 0.00135$       | 1.27                                      |
| 0.075   | $\lesssim (0.0013, 0.0052)$ | 0.0001                                    |
|         |                             |   |



Bayes' factor 
$$K = \frac{\mathscr{L}(\hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha} | D)}{\mathscr{L}(\Lambda = 0 | D)}$$

D)

According to (Kass & Raftery 1995):

evidence is strong  $1 \le \log_{10} K \le 2$  $\log_{10} K > 2$ evidence is decisive

### **Conclusions**

#### **Cloud formation process**

Fluctuations of massive scalar fields can be exponentially amplified by **superradiance** (Brito *et al.* 2015). However, (Kodama & Yoshino 2012) show that for  $M_{\bullet} \sim 4 \cdot 10^6 M_{\odot}$ 

$$m_s \ge 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{eV}$$
 ( $\alpha = 0.045, \ m_s \simeq 3 \cdot 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{eV}$ )

However, we can assume DM existed by itself in the galaxy and the BH passes through it, leading to long-lived structures (Cardoso *et al.* 2022a, Cardoso *et al.* 2022b).

### Conclusions

#### **Cloud formation process**

Fluctuations of massive scalar fields can be exponentially amplified by **superradiance** (Brito *et al.* 2015). However, (Kodama & Yoshino 2012) show that for  $M_{\bullet} \sim 4 \cdot 10^6 M_{\odot}$ 

$$m_s \ge 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{eV}$$
 ( $\alpha = 0.045, \ m_s \simeq 3 \cdot 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{eV}$ )

However, we can assume DM existed by itself in the galaxy and the BH passes through it, leading to long-lived structures (Cardoso *et al.* 2022a, Cardoso *et al.* 2022b).

#### To summarize...

We used the astrometry and the radial velocity measurements of S2 to constrain the fractional mass  $\Lambda = M_{\rm cloud}/M$  of a scalar field cloud around Sgr A\*. Orbital range of S2 only allow us to constrain  $0.01 \leq \alpha \leq 0.045$  and we found  $\Lambda \leq 10^{-3}$  at  $3\sigma$  confidence level.

### Conclusions

#### **Cloud formation process**

Fluctuations of massive scalar fields can be exponentially amplified by **superradiance** (Brito *et al.* 2015). However, (Kodama & Yoshino 2012) show that for  $M_{\bullet} \sim 4 \cdot 10^6 M_{\odot}$ 

$$m_s \ge 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{eV}$$
 ( $\alpha = 0.045, \ m_s \simeq 3 \cdot 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{eV}$ )

However, we can assume DM existed by itself in the galaxy and the BH passes through it, leading to long-lived structures (Cardoso *et al.* 2022a, Cardoso *et al.* 2022b).

#### To summarize...

We used the astrometry and the radial velocity measurements of S2 to constrain the fractional mass  $\Lambda = M_{\rm cloud}/M$  of a scalar field cloud around Sgr A\*. Orbital range of S2 only allow us to constrain  $0.01 \leq \alpha \leq 0.045$  and we found  $\Lambda \leq 10^{-3}$  at  $3\sigma$  confidence level.

### Thank you for your attention!

### Back-up slides

#### **Corrections to the Newtonian model**

(GRAVITY 2018, Alexander 2005)

• Newtonian effect: the Roemer delay due to finite value of *c* 

Roemer equation:
$$t_{obs} - t_{em} + \frac{z_{obs}(t_{em})}{c} = 0$$
1st order expansion around  $t_{obs}$ : $t_{em} \simeq t_{obs} + \frac{z_{obs}(t_{obs})}{c - v_{z_{obs}}(t_{obs})}$ On average on S2 orbit $\Delta t = t_{em} - t_{obs} \approx 8$  days

**Corrections to the Newtonian model** 

(GRAVITY 2018, Alexander 2005)

- **Newtonian effect**: the Roemer delay due to finite value of *c*
- **Relativistic effects**: the Doppler shift and the gravitational redshift (G = c = 1) must be included when S2 reaches periastron with total space velocity  $\beta \sim 10^{-2}$ .

**Doppler:** 

$$z_D = \frac{1 + \beta \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} - 1$$

**Gravitational redshift:** 

$$z_{\rm grav} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2M_{\bullet}/r_{\rm em}}} - 1$$

**Corrections to the Newtonian model** 

(GRAVITY Coll. 2018, Alexander 2005)

- **Newtonian effect**: the Roemer delay due to finite value of *c*
- **Relativistic effects**: the Doppler shift and the gravitational redshift (G = c = 1)
- 1 Post Newtonian (PN) correction

Schwarzschild precession has been detected on S2 motion at  $8\sigma$  confidence level (GRAVITY Coll. 2020)

$$a_{1\text{PN}} = f_{\text{SP}} \frac{M_{\bullet}}{r^2} \left[ \left( \frac{4M_{\bullet}}{r} - v^2 \right) \frac{r}{r} + 4\dot{r}v \right]$$

where  $f_{\rm SP} = 1, \mathbf{r} = r\hat{r}, \mathbf{v} = (\dot{r}\hat{r}, r\dot{\theta}\hat{\theta}, r\dot{\phi}\sin\theta\hat{\phi}), v = |\mathbf{v}|$ 

#### The equations of motion

From Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial q} = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 - r\sin^2\theta\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} - \Lambda\left(P_1'(r) + P_2'(r)\cos 2\theta\right) = 0\\ 2r\dot{r}\sin^2\theta\dot{\phi} + 2r^2\cos\theta\sin\theta\dot{\phi}\dot{\phi} + r^2\sin^2\theta\ddot{\phi} = 0\\ 2r\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r^2\ddot{\theta} - r^2\cos 2\theta\dot{\theta}\dot{\phi}^2 + 2\Lambda P_2(r)\sin 2\theta\dot{\theta} = 0 \end{cases}$$

That we numerically integrate using an adaptive Runge-Kutta of order 4(5) and initial conditions given by the solution of Kepler's two body problem.

$$r(t_{0}) = \frac{1 - e^{2}}{1 + e \cos(\phi(t_{0}))}$$

$$\phi(t_{0}) = 2 \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1 + e}{1 - e}} \tan\frac{\mathscr{E}(t_{0})}{2}\right) \qquad \text{with}$$

$$\dot{r}(t_{0}) = \frac{2\pi e \sin(\mathscr{E}(t_{0}))}{1 - e \cos(\mathscr{E}(t_{0}))}$$

$$\dot{\phi}(t_{0}) = \frac{2\pi (1 - e)}{(e \cos(\mathscr{E}(t_{0})) - 1)^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + e}{1 - e}}$$

Kepler's equation

$$\mathscr{E} - e\sin\mathscr{E} - \mathscr{M} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{2\pi}{P} \left( t_0 - t_p \right)$$

- Step 1. It generates K walkers around any initial value of the parameters  $\theta_i^0$  from  $\mathcal{N}(\theta_i^0, \sigma)$  ( $\sigma = 10^{-5}$ );
- Step 2. To update the position of a walker at  $X_k(t)$ , a walker  $X_j$  is randomly extracted from the complementary ensemble  $S_{[k]} = \{X_j, \forall j \neq k\}$  and the new position is generated as  $Y = X_j + Z \left[X_k(t) X_j\right]$ , where Z is drawn from g(Z = z) defined as:

$$g(z) \propto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} & \text{if } z \in \left[\frac{1}{a}, a\right] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Step 3. It computes  $q = \min\left(1, Z^{N-1} \frac{p(Y)}{p(X_k(t))}\right)$ , where *N* is the number of parameters, for each walker.

• Step 4. It randomly extracts a variable  $r \sim U[0, 1]$ . If  $r \leq q$  then the move is *accepted* and  $X_k(t+1) \rightarrow Y$ . If r > q the move is *rejected* and  $X_k(t+1) \rightarrow X_k(t)$ .



