Spectrum Degeneracies in models with O(N) symmetry from Quantum Evanescence

Weiguang Cao

Kavli IPMU, the University of Tokyo

Collaborated with Xiaochuan Lu and Tom Melia, 2312.10139



date: 2024/03/11

Outline

- 1. Motivation: Spectrum degeneracies in O(N) model
- 2. Specialization rule for character of O(N) irrep
- 3. Applications to continued partition function
- 4. Summary and Outlook

Critical O(N) model

O(N) symmetry is ubiquitous in physics

- Example: O(N) conformal field theory (CFT)

N = 1: Critical Ising model N = 2: Critical XY model N = 3: Heisenberg model Physical application at d = 3

Liquid-gas transition in water and carbon dioxide The λ -line in helium and phase transition in XY ferromagnets Isotropic magnets

$$S = \int d^d x \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi^i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^i \phi_i + \frac{\lambda}{24} (\phi^i \phi_i)^2 \right)$$
$$\bigvee$$
$$O(N) \text{ CFT}$$

For d < 4, the interaction is relevant

Assumption of Spectrum continuity

Spectrum continuity:

O(N) CFT is assumed to be defined even for non-integer values of N and d. The whole set of CFT data (the *spectrum* of operator and all their correlators) varies continuously with N and d.

- This assumption is observed (at least for low lying operators) by numerical simulations of the O(N) lattice models at various N.

e.g. the critical exponents vary continuously with N. Hasenbusch, 2112.03783

- How to make sense of the O(N) symmetry for non-integer N? Binder & Rychkov, 1911.07895 Deligne Category $\overline{Rep}(O(N))$ "Categorical symmetry"

We will focus on *invalid* O(N) irreps at integer N

O(N) irreducible representation (irrep)

The conformal primary operators $\begin{cases} O(N) \text{ irrep } \lambda \\ \text{Lorentz } SO(d) \text{ irrep } L \\ \text{Scaling dimension } \Delta(N, d) \text{ (spectrum)} \end{cases}$

- A valid O(N) irreps are labelled by partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ with length l not exceeding the rank of the group r = |N/2|(): scalar irrep, (1): vector irrep, (2): symmetric traceless irrep, (1,1) antisymmetric irrep

Character: $\chi_{\lambda}^{O(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda} g$, where $g \in O(N)$ Partition function: $Z^{(N)} = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathscr{H}} g e^{-\beta H} = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\lambda)} \sum_{j=1}^{n(\lambda)} q^{\Delta_{\lambda,i}(N)} \chi_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$ $\lambda, l(\lambda) \leq r i = 1$

Spectrum degeneracies at Wilson-Fisher fixed point

Examples in the region $d = 4 - \varepsilon, \varepsilon \ll 1$

where the spectrum can be calculated perturbatively in power series of arepsilon



(2,2) irrep is invalid for N = 1,2. How to make sense of the degeneracies?

Will the degeneracies still exist for higher orders?

Are there other degeneracies of this type? How to find them?

Evanescent operators

These degeneracies are related to *evanescent operators*

In literature, the evanescent operators are operators that appear away from d = 4 but vanish at d = 4

- Four fermion evanescent operators, vanishing in d = 4 for $n \ge 5$

$$ar{\psi} \gamma^{[\mu_1} \dots \gamma^{\mu_n]} \psi' \; ar{\psi} \gamma_{[\mu_1} \dots \gamma_{\mu_n]} \psi'$$

Dugan & Grinstein, Phys.Lett.B 256 (1991) 239-244

-Evanescent operators in scalar CFT and EFT $\delta^{\mu_{1}[\nu_{1}}\delta^{|\mu_{2}|\nu_{2}}\delta^{|\mu_{3}|\nu_{3}}\delta^{|\mu_{4}|\nu_{4}}\delta^{|\mu_{5}|\nu_{5}]}\left(\partial_{\mu_{1}}\partial_{\nu_{1}}\phi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu_{2}}\partial_{\nu_{2}}\phi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu_{3}}\partial_{\nu_{3}}\phi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu_{4}}\partial_{\nu_{4}}\phi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu_{5}}\partial_{\nu_{5}}\phi\right)$

> Hogervorst, Rychkov & van Rees, 1512.00013 Cao, Herzog, Melia & Roosmale Nepveu, 2105.12742

- Gluonic evanescent operators

$$\delta^{\mu_{1}[\nu_{1}}\delta^{|\mu_{2}|\nu_{2}}\delta^{|\mu_{3}|\nu_{3}}\delta^{|\mu_{4}|\nu_{4}}\delta^{|\mu_{5}|\nu_{5}]} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F_{\mu_{1}\nu_{1}}F_{\mu_{2}\nu_{2}}F_{\mu_{3}\nu_{3}}F_{\mu_{4}\nu_{4}}F_{\mu_{5}\nu_{5}}\right)$$

Jin, Ren, Yang & Yu, 2208.08976

We now relax the definition of evanescent operator as operators that vanish at certain integer values of parameter $N = \delta^{a_1[b_1}\delta^{|a_2|b_2]}\phi^{a_1}\phi^{b_1}(\partial^{\mu}\phi^{a_2})(\partial_{\mu}\phi^{b_2})$

Violation of unitarity

Evanescent operators contribute to the violation of unitarity at non-integer dimensions

At some integer values of N and d, the O(N) CFT is tested to be unitary e.g. the existence of unitary islands of the critical O(N) model in d = 3 dimensions with N = 1,2,3 has been confirmed in a bootstrap approach El-Showk, Paulos, Poland, Rychkov, Simmons-Duffin & Vichi, 1203.6064

Kos, Poland, Rychkov, Simmons-Duffin & Vichi, 1603.04436

At non-integer dimension, evanescent operators can have *negative norms* and lead to complex anomalous dimensions at WF fixed point. Hogervorst, Rychkov & van Rees, 1512.00013

We find that some evanescent operators gives *negative contributions* to the partition functions at some integer N

And the cancellation of these negative contributions leads to the degeneracies

Other Motivations for non-integer dimension

There are other reasons to consider non-integer dimension even if we are interested only in physics of integer N

 $- \ln 1/N \exp(2 \pi N)$

Treat the variable of gauge group as a parameter

- Dimensional regularization

Treat the spacetime dimension as a parameter

Outline

1. Motivation: Spectrum degeneracies in O(N) model

- 2. Specialization rule for character of O(N) irrep
- 3. Applications to continued partition function
- 4. Summary and Outlook

A proper definition of analytic continuation of O(N) representation theory

(1) at the level of character theory and partition function

(2) the continuation will recover the ordinary partition function at every integer N

 \rightarrow preserve the algebras of characters at each N

Decomposition of product of irreps: $\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2 = \bigoplus_{\lambda} m_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\lambda}(N) \lambda$

Algebras of characters:

$$\chi_{\lambda_1}^{O(N)}\chi_{\lambda_2}^{O(N)} = \sum_{\lambda,l(\lambda) \le r} m_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda}(N) \ \chi_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$$

Newell-Littlewood numbers

 $\bar{m}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda}(N) = \lim_{N \to \infty} m_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda}$

Example:
$$N \ge 4$$
, $(1) \times (1) = (2) + (1,1) + ()$
 $N = 3$, $(1) \times (1) = (2) + (1) + ()$
 $N = 2$, $(1) \times (1) = (2) + 2$ ()

A proper definition of the continued character $\bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$ should (1) satisfy the algebra in the large *N* asymptotic limit (2) and recover the algebra at every finite *N*

Continued character $\bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$

(1)
$$\bar{\chi}_{\lambda_1}^{O(N)} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda_2}^{O(N)} = \sum_{\substack{all \ \lambda}} \bar{m}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\lambda} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$$

(2) Define a map from the continued character $\bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$ to the valid character at given value of *N*. (Specialization rule)

K. Koike and I. Terada, Journal of Algebra 107, 466 (1987).

Specialization rule at $N = N_0$:

If λ is a valid irrep at N_0 , $l(\lambda) \leq r$, $\bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N_0)} = \chi_{\lambda}^{O(N_0)}$

If λ is a invalid irrep at N_0 , $l(\lambda) > r$, $\bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N_0)} = 0, \pm \chi_{\lambda'}^{O(N_0)}$

Where λ' is a valid irrep at N_0

 λ (1,1) λ ()

$$N \ge 4, \quad (1) \times (1) = (2) + (1,1) + () \qquad \qquad \bar{\chi}_{(1,1)}^{O(N \ge 4)} = \chi_{(1,1)}^{O(N \ge 4)}$$
$$N = 3, \quad (1) \times (1) = (2) + (1) + () \qquad \qquad \bar{\chi}_{(1,1)}^{O(3)} = \chi_{(1)}^{O(3)}$$
$$N = 2, \quad (1) \times (1) = (2) + 2() \qquad \qquad \bar{\chi}_{(1,1)}^{O(2)} = \chi_{(1)}^{O(2)}$$

I will give a practical way to compute the specialization of a character

Characters of vector irrep:
$$\chi_{(1)}^{O(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \frac{1 - (-1)^N}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i + x_i^{-1})$$

$$\bar{\chi}_{(1)}^{O(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \chi_{(1)}^{O(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_r), \quad \forall \text{integer } N \ge 1$$

The continued characters $ar{\chi}^{O(N)}_{\lambda}$ is a functional of $ar{\chi}^{O(N)}_{(1)}$

$$\bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)} = \det[p_{\lambda_i - i + j} - p_{\lambda_i - i - j}]$$

K. Koike and I. Terada, Journal of Algebra 107, 466 (1987).

where
$$p_n$$
 is the n th symmetric product of $\bar{\chi}^{O(N)}_{(1)}$ for $n\geq 0$ and $p_{n<0}=0$

 p_n is defined by the plethystic exponential

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n p_n = \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k} \bar{\chi}_V(x^k)\right], \quad \bar{\chi}_V \equiv \bar{\chi}_{(1)}^{O(N)}$$

$$p_{0} = 1$$

$$p_{1} = \bar{\chi}_{V}$$

$$p_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\chi}_{V}(x)^{2} + \bar{\chi}_{V}(x^{2}) \right)$$

$$p_{3} = \frac{1}{6} \left(\bar{\chi}_{V}(x)^{3} + 3\bar{\chi}_{V}(x)\bar{\chi}_{V}(x^{2}) + 2\bar{\chi}_{V}(x^{3}) \right)$$

.....

2. Specialization rule for character of O(N) irrep $\chi_{(1)}^{O(N)}(x_1,...,x_r) = \frac{1-(-1)^N}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i + x_i^{-1})$

For example
$$\bar{\chi}_{(1,1)}^{O(N)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\chi_{(1)}^{O(N)}(x) \right]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \chi_{(1)}^{O(N)}(x^2)$$

For
$$N \ge 4$$
, $\bar{\chi}_{(1,1)}^{O(N \ge 4)}(x) = \chi_{(1,1)}^{O(N \ge 4)}(x)$

For
$$N = 3$$
, $\bar{\chi}_{(1,1)}^{O(3)} = 1 + x_1 + x_1^{-1} = \chi_{(1)}^{O(3)}(x)$

For
$$N = 2$$
, $\bar{\chi}_{(1,1)}^{O(2)} = 1 = \chi_{()}^{O(2)}(x)$

$\overline{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$	N=2	N = 3	N = 4	N = 5	N = 6
(1, 1)	$\chi^{O(N)}_{()}$	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(1)}$	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(1,1)} \rightarrow$		

More examples:

$\overline{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$	N=2	N = 3	N = 4	N = 5	N = 6
(1, 1)	$\chi^{O(N)}_{()}$	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(1)}$	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(1,1)} \rightarrow$		
(2,2)	$-\chi^{O(N)}_{(2)}$	0	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(2,2)} \to$		
(2, 1, 1)	$-\chi^{O(N)}_{()}$	0	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(2)}$	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(2,1)}$	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(2,1,1)} \rightarrow$
(2,2,1)	$-\chi^{O(N)}_{(1)}$	$-\chi^{O(N)}_{(2)}$	0	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(2,2)}$	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(2,2,1)} \rightarrow$
(2,2,2)	$-\chi^{O(N)}_{()}$	$-\chi^{O(N)}_{(2)}$	$-\chi^{O(N)}_{(2,2)}$	0	$\chi^{O(N)}_{(2,2,2)} \rightarrow$

The negative sign lead to the degeneracies

$$\overline{\chi}_{\lambda=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_l)}^{SU(N)} = \begin{cases} \chi_{\lambda}^{SU(N)} & N > l \\ \\ \chi_{(\lambda_1-\lambda_l,\cdots,\lambda_{l-1}-\lambda_l)}^{SU(N)} & N = l \\ 0 & N < l \end{cases}$$

At
$$N = 3$$
, $\bar{\chi}_{(3,3,2)}^{SU(3)} = \chi_{(1,1)}^{SU(3)}$

Clipping rule:

- 1. Identify the West Coast;
- 2. Determine whether to clip;
- 3. Clip and repeat the steps.

$\overline{\chi}^{SU(N)}_{\lambda}$	N=2	N = 3	N = 4	N = 5
(1, 1)	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{()}$	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(1,1)} \rightarrow$		
(2,1)	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(1)}$	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(2,1)} \rightarrow$		
(3,1)	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(2)}$	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(3,1)} \rightarrow$		
(2,2)	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{()}$	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(2,2)} \rightarrow$		
(1, 1, 1)	0	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{()}$	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(1,1,1)} \rightarrow$	
(2, 1, 1)	0	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(1)}$	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(2,1,1)} \rightarrow$	
(1, 1, 1, 1)	0	0	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{()}$	$\chi^{SU(N)}_{(1,1,1,1)} \rightarrow$

Clipping rule for character of O(N) irrep

The Clipping rule for O(N) is more complicated

- 1. Identify the *East Coast* labelled by i j
- 2. Identify the *clipping center*: $s = r = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$
- 3. Identify the *clipping patch* on the coast

 n_B : the number of boxes strictly below the center $n_A = n_B + \frac{1 + (-1)^N}{2}$

the number of boxes east or north from the center

4. Clip and repeat steps

$$\bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)} = (-1)^{n_{rows} + N} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda_{new}}^{O(N)}$$







Outline

- 1. Motivation: Spectrum degeneracies in O(N) model
- 2. Specialization rule for character of O(N) irrep
- 3. Applications to continued partition function
- 4. Summary and Outlook

Following the continuation of the characters, one get the continued partition function.

$$\bar{Z} = \sum_{\text{all }\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n(\lambda)} q^{\Delta_{\lambda,i}(N)} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{O(N)}$$

Specialize at give N_0 ,

$$\bar{Z}|_{N=N_0} = Z^{(N_0)}$$

 $\bar{\chi}^{O(N_0)}_{\lambda^{\pm}} = \pm \chi^{O(N_0)}_{\lambda'}$

$$\bar{Z}|_{N=(N_0)} = \sum_{\lambda,l(\lambda) \le r} \chi_{\lambda}^{O(N_0)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n'(\lambda)} q^{\Delta_{\lambda,i}(N_0)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n(\lambda^+)} q^{\Delta_{\lambda+i}(N_0)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n(\lambda^-)} q^{\Delta_{\lambda-i}(N_0)} \right)$$
Compared with
$$Z^{(N_0)} = \sum_{\lambda,l(\lambda) \le r} \sum_{i=1}^{n(\lambda)} q^{\Delta_{\lambda,i}(N_0)} \chi_{\lambda}^{O(N_0)}$$

1.Direct evanescent operators

Operators with irrep λ^0 Null at N_0 . No contribution to partition function.

2.Pair annihilation evanescent operators

Operators with irrep λ^- Negative contribution to the partition function.

Must be cancelled. $\Delta_{\lambda^-,i}(N_0) = \Delta_{\lambda^+,j}(N_0)$ or $\Delta_{\lambda,k}(N_0)$

 $\Delta_{\lambda^{-},i}(N_0) = \Delta_{\lambda,k}(N_0)$



and $f(N = 1) = \frac{14}{27} = \frac{14}{(3(N+8))}\Big|_{N=1}$ g(N = 1)

g(N) is given as the root of a cubic equation, with $g(N = 2) = 7/15 = 14/(3(N + 8))|_{N=2}$

One more example

Henriksson, 2201.09520

- degeneracy at N = 2
- $\frac{5}{2} = \frac{4}{N+8} = \frac{N+2}{N+8}$

$$\bar{\chi}_{(2,1,1)}^{O(2)} = -\chi_{()}^{O(2)}(x)$$
$$\bar{\chi}_{(1,1)}^{O(2)} = \chi_{()}^{O(2)}(x)$$

Lorentz irrep	$O(N)$ irrep λ	$\Delta(N)$
(1, 1)	(2, 1, 1)	$6-2\epsilon+rac{4}{N+8}\epsilon+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$
(1, 1)	(1, 1)	$6-2\epsilon+rac{N+2}{N+8}\epsilon+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$

$$\Delta_{\lambda^-,i}(N_0) = \Delta_{\lambda^+,j}(N_0)$$

- Some λ^+ operators will remain and contribute to the physics spectrum

 All our examples are leading order results.
 Interesting to check it to higher order or nonperturbatively.

Outline

- 1. Motivation: Spectrum degeneracies in O(N) model
- 2. Specialization rule for character of O(N) irrep
- 3. Applications to continued partition function
- 4. Summary and Outlook

Summary

– We identify new degeneracies in the energy spectrum $\{\Delta(N)\}$ of theories with a global O(N) symmetry

where N is treated as a continuous parameter, and assuming spectrum continuity

- We also show that these degeneracies originate from the evanescent states of a global O(N) that drops out of the spectrum in pairs

The contributions of two states to the partition function have to cancel, which in turn require to have equal energy

–We illustrate the findings in the O(N) CFT in $d = 4 - \varepsilon$ and work at leading perturbative order of ε , but we emphasize that the degeneracies in general hold at the non-perturbative level and conformal symmetry is not a requisite.

Outlook

- a full set of degeneracies in the spectra of the O(N) model
- verification of the degeneracies beyond leading order or non-perturbatively
- Will the degeneracies provide useful input/constraint to the bootstrap program?
- continuation of spinor irrep and degeneracies of fermionic theory
- study of other groups like sp(2N)
- Are there further hidden symmetry?
- study of O(N) symmetry at non-integer N

 study of spacetime evanescent operators under a continuation of the spacetime dimension

Thank you!