

# A variety of partially solvable models: From closed spin chains to open spin chains

[Phys. Rev. B 109, 104307 (2024), arXiv:2409.03208, Work in progress with KPP]

Focus Week on Non-equilibrium Quantum Dynamics @IPMU, Sep. 30 – Oct. 04

Chihiro Matsui (The University of Tokyo)

## **Collaborators**









Hosho Katsura (The University of Tokyo)

Chiara Paletta (Ljubljana University)

Balazs Pozsgay (Eötvös Loránd University)

Naoto Tsuji (The University of Tokyo)

# **Outline**

- What is "partial solvability"?
	- **Definition of partial solvability**
	- Thermalization & quantum many-body scars (QMBS)
- Closed partially solvable models
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- **Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)**
- **Open partially solvable models** 
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- **Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)**
- Concluding remarks

# **Outline**

- What is "partial solvability"?
	- **Definition of partial solvability**
	- Thermalization & quantum many-body scars (QMBS)
- Closed partially solvable models
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- **Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)**
- **Open partially solvable models** 
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)
- Concluding remarks

# Integrability

- (Quantum) Integrable systems
	- No clear definition.
	- Often said to be "integrable" if the Yang-Baxter structure exists.

 $R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) R_{23}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) R_{13}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) = R_{13}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) R_{23}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  $R_{12}, R_{23}, R_{13} \in \text{End}(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)$ 



Decomposing a many-body scattering into a sequence of two-body scatterings does not depend on the way of decomposition.

# Integrability

- (Quantum) Integrable systems
	- **No clear definition.**
	- Often said to be "integrable" if the Yang-Baxter structure exists.  $R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) R_{23}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) R_{13}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) = R_{13}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) R_{23}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  $R_{12}, R_{23}, R_{13} \in \text{End}(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)$
	- **Never thermalize.** 
		- ⇒ Violation of (strong) eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) = Typicality

[Biroli et al. (2010), Iyoda et al. (2017)]  $\lim_{N\to\infty}\langle E_a|X_{\rm macro}|E_a\rangle=\langle X_{\rm macro}\rangle_{\rm MC},~~~\forall E_a\in(E-\delta E,E]$  [Deutsch (1991), Srednicki (1994),...]

# Partial Solvability

- **Partially solvable systems** 
	- Hamiltonians with some solvable energy eigenstates (not all).
	- $\blacksquare$  Hamiltonians with the block diagonal structure.

 $\mathcal{H} \simeq W \oplus W^\perp$ 

### Solvable (invariant) subspace

- $\Rightarrow$  Solvability does not necessarily come from integrability.
	- e.g. Projector embeddings [Shiraishi et al. (2017)]

Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA) [Moudgalya et al. (2018)]

 Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF) [Pai et al. (2019), Sala et al. (2020), Khemani et al. (2020)] [Vefek et al. (2017), Moudgalya et al. (2020)]





# Partial Solvability

- **Partially solvable systems** 
	- Hamiltonians with some solvable energy eigenstates (not all).
	- $\blacksquare$  Hamiltonians with the block diagonal structure.

Solvable (invariant) subspace

 $\mathcal{H} \simeq W \oplus W^{\perp}$ 

■ Partially non-thermalize. "Quantum many-body scars (QMBS)" ⇒ Weakly violate ergodicity in Hilbert space. ≒ Principle of equal probability

[Serbyn et al. (2021)] e.g. Scar in stadium billiard







b. starting from near unstable periodic trajectory





# Thermalization & QMBS

### **QMBS** exhibit

- Persistent oscillation in local observables.
- Relatively small entanglement entropy  $\sim o(V)$ compared to those of thermal states  $\sim O(V)$ .
- Matrix product states
	- Have entanglement entropy estimated by their bond dimensions  $x$  from above.

 $S_{\text{EE}} := -\text{tr}(\rho' \log \rho') < \log \chi$ 

■ With a finite bond dimension is a good benchmark for finding QMBS. [Bernien et al. (2017); Nature 551, 579 (Fig. 6b)]



Domain-wall density after the quench from  $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle=|\bullet\circ\bullet\circ\cdots\rangle$  on the Rydberg atom chain.

(  $\bullet$  : Excited state;  $\bigcirc$ : Ground state)



# Thermalization & QMBS

### **QMBS** exhibit

- Persistent oscillation in local observables.
- Relatively small entanglement entropy  $\sim o(V)$ compared to those of thermal states  $\sim O(V)$ .
- Matrix product states
	- Have entanglement entropy estimated by their bond dimensions  $x$  from above.

 $S_{\text{EE}} := -\text{tr}(\rho' \log \rho') < \log \chi$ 

■ With a finite bond dimension is a good benchmark for finding QMBS. [Chandran et al. (2003); Ann. Rev. 14, 443 (Fig. 1c)]



Half-chain entanglement entropy of the spin-1 XY model in zero-magnetization sector.



# **Outline**

- What is "partial solvability"?
	- **Definition of partial solvability**
	- Thermalization & quantum many-body scars (QMBS)
- Closed partially solvable models
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- **Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)**
- **Open partially solvable models** 
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)
- Concluding remarks



- Restricted spectrum-generating algebra (rSGA) [Arno et al. (1988), Yang (1989)] [Moudgalya et al. (2018)]
	- **Partial dynamical symmetry**

 $\exists Q, \quad \text{s.t.} \left[H, Q\right] - \mathcal{E}Q\big|_W = 0, \quad W \subset \mathcal{H}, \ \ Q$ : Local operator

The solvable subspace is systematically constructed if  $|\psi_0\rangle$  is an energy eigenstate:

$$
H|\psi_0\rangle = \mathcal{E}_0|\psi_0\rangle \Rightarrow HQ^n|\psi_0\rangle = (\mathcal{E}_0 + n\mathcal{E})|\psi_0\rangle
$$
  

$$
|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_n c_n Q^n |\psi_0\rangle, \quad c_n \in \mathbb{R}
$$
  

$$
\langle \psi(t)|\mathcal{O}_{\text{local}}|\psi(t)\rangle
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{m,n} c_m c_n e^{i\mathcal{E}(m-n)t} \langle \psi(0)|\mathcal{O}_{\text{local}}|\psi(0)\rangle
$$

 $0.2$  $\langle \psi(\nu) | \mathcal{U}$  local  $|\psi(\nu)/\rangle$  $0.0$ Strong revivals observed in dynamics of Loschmidt echo 5

for the spin-1 XY from each initial state. [Chandran et al. (2023); Ann. Rev. 14, 443 (Fig. 1d)]





**Simple example: free fermion model** 

$$
H = \sum_{k} \Lambda_k \eta_k^{\dagger} \eta_k
$$
  

$$
\{\eta_k, \eta_\ell^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{k,\ell}, \quad \{\eta_k, \eta_\ell\} = \{\eta_k^{\dagger}, \eta_\ell^{\dagger}\} = 0
$$

- "Spectrum generating algebra" (SGA)  $[H, \eta_k^{\dagger}] = \Lambda_k \eta_k^{\dagger} \Rightarrow$  Provides the spectrum for a tower of states  $\{ |vac\rangle, \eta_{k_1}^{\dagger} |vac\rangle, \eta_{k_2}^{\dagger} \eta_{k_1}^{\dagger} |vac\rangle, \dots \}$ **Energy eigenstates** 
	- $H\eta_{k_1}^{\dagger} \cdots \eta_{k_n}^{\dagger} |\text{vac}\rangle = (\Lambda_{k_1} + \cdots + \Lambda_{k_n})\eta_{k_1}^{\dagger} \cdots \eta_{k_n}^{\dagger} |\text{vac}\rangle$



- Example of rSGA: perturbed spin-1 XY model [Schecter et al. (2019)]  $H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{h}{x, x+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \in \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^{3})^{N}), \quad \mathbb{C}^{3} = \text{span}\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$  $h = \frac{J}{2}(S^x \otimes S^x + S^y \otimes S^y) + \frac{m}{2}(S^z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes S^z)$ 
	- Spin-1 operators

$$
S^x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

■ Non-integrable spin-1 chain. (Not all energy eigenstates are solvable.)



- Example of rSGA: perturbed spin-1 XY model
	- **Trivial energy eigenstate**  $H|\Omega\rangle = -hN|\Omega\rangle, \quad |\Omega\rangle = |22...2\rangle$
	- Subspace of quasiparticle (bimagnon) excitations  $W = \text{span}\{(Q^{\dagger})^n | \Omega \rangle\}_n, \quad Q^{\dagger} = \sum_{r=1}^N (-1)^r (S_r^+)^2$

is the solvable subspace due to the spectrum generating algebra

$$
[H, Q^{\dagger}] - 2mQ^{\dagger}\Big|_{W} = 0.
$$

0.8  $\frac{a}{2}$  0.6 [Schecter et al. (2019)]- Nematic Néel Nematic ferro  $0.2$  $0.0$  $10$ ht [Chandran et al. (2023); Ann. Rev. 14, 443 (Fig. 1d)] Energy  $H(Q^{\dagger})^3|\Omega\rangle = (6m - hN)(Q^{\dagger})^3|\Omega\rangle$  $H(Q^{\dagger})^2|\Omega\rangle = (4m - hN)(Q^{\dagger})^2|\Omega\rangle$  $HQ^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle = (2m - hN)Q^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle$  $H|\Omega\rangle = -hN|\Omega\rangle$ 



- Example of rSGA: AKLT-type model [Moudgalya et al. (2018), CM (2024)]  $H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{h}{x, x+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \in \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^{3})^{N}), \quad \mathbb{C}^{3} = \text{span}\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$  $h = \alpha(|00\rangle\langle00| + |22\rangle\langle22|) + \beta|11\rangle\langle11|$  $+\frac{\alpha}{2}\sum (\gamma|a1\rangle\langle a1|+|a1\rangle\langle 1a|+|1a\rangle\langle a1|+\gamma|1a\rangle\langle 1a|)$  $a \in \{0,2\}$  $+\omega^2\beta(|02\rangle\langle02|+|02\rangle\langle20|+|20\rangle\langle02|+|20\rangle\langle20|)$  $-\omega\beta(|02\rangle\langle 11|+|11\rangle\langle 02|+|11\rangle\langle 20|+|20\rangle\langle 11|)$  : ALKT at  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha}=\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\gamma=1$ ,  $\omega=-\frac{1}{2}$ . ■ Non-integrable spin-1 chain. (Not all energy eigenstates are solvable.)
	- The ground state and some excitation states were known to be solvable for AKLT. [Affleck et al. (1987), Arovas (1989)]



- Example of rSGA: AKLT-type model [Moudgalya et al. (2018), CM (2024)]
	- The exact zero-energy state is written by the matrix product state:

$$
|\psi_A\rangle = \sum_{\{m_1,\dots,m_N\} \in \{0,1,2\}^N} \text{tr}_a(K_a A_{m_1} A_{m_2} \cdots A_{m_N}) |m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N\rangle \in (\mathbb{C}^3)^N
$$
  
= tr<sub>a</sub>(K<sub>a</sub>  $\vec{A} \otimes_p \vec{A} \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \vec{A})$   

$$
\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \sigma^+ \\ a_1 \sigma^z \\ a_2 \sigma^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_1^2/a_0 a_2 = \omega, a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma^+, \sigma^z, \sigma^- \text{ : Pauli matrices}
$$

 $\blacksquare$   $K_a \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is determined by the boundary condition. ( $K_a = \mathbf{1}_a$  for the periodic boundary; rank  $K_a = 1$  for an open boundary)



- Example of rSGA: AKLT-type model [Moudgalya et al. (2018), CM (2024)]
	- The exact zero-energy state is written by the matrix product state:

$$
|\psi_A\rangle = \operatorname{tr}_a(\vec{A} \otimes_p \vec{A} \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \vec{A})
$$

- $\phi \Leftrightarrow h(\vec{A} \otimes_{p} \vec{A}) = \vec{A}' \otimes_{p} \vec{A} \vec{A} \otimes_{p} \vec{A}'$  : Local divergence condition/ Frustration-free condition for  $\vec{A}'=0$
- Quasiparticle-picture for the excitation states:

$$
|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle = (Q^{\dagger})^n |\psi_A\rangle, \quad Q^{\dagger} := \sum_{x=1}^N e^{i\pi x} (S_x^+)^2 \quad : \text{Creates a quasiparticle with momentum } \pi \, .
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n} e^{i\pi \sum_{j=1}^n x_j} \text{tr}_a (\vec{A} \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \vec{B} \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \vec{B} \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \vec{A}), \quad \vec{B} := (S^+)^2 \vec{A}
$$
\n
$$
\Leftarrow h(\vec{B} \otimes_p \vec{A} + e^{i\pi} \vec{A} \otimes_p \vec{B}) = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} (\vec{B} \otimes_p \vec{A} + e^{i\pi} \vec{A} \otimes_p \vec{B}) - (\vec{B} \otimes \vec{A}' - e^{i\pi} \vec{A}' \otimes_p \vec{B})
$$
\n
$$
\vec{B} \otimes_p \vec{B} = 0, \quad (S^+)^2 \vec{B} = 0 \quad : \text{No double/adjacent occasions are allowed.}
$$



- Example of rSGA: AKLT-type model [Moudgalya et al. (2018), CM (2024)]
	- Restricted spectrum-generating algebra:

$$
[H, Q^{\dagger}] - \mathcal{E}Q^{\dagger}|_W = 0
$$
  
W = span  $\{ |\psi_A\rangle, Q^{\dagger}|\psi_A\rangle, (Q^{\dagger})^2|\psi_A\rangle, \dots, (Q^{\dagger})^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}|\psi_A\rangle \}$ 

**Embedded equally-spaced energy spectrum**  $H|\psi_A\rangle=0$  $HQ^{\dagger}|\psi_A\rangle = \mathcal{E}Q^{\dagger}|\psi_A\rangle$ : Embedded equally-spaced spectrum ⇒ Identical & non-interacting quasiparticles

 $H(Q^{\dagger})^n|\psi_A\rangle = n\mathcal{E}(Q^{\dagger})^n|\psi_A\rangle$ 







- Generalization of the AKLT-type model [CM (2024)]
	- **Quasiparticle-excitation states**

$$
(Q^{\dagger})^n |\psi_A\rangle, \quad Q^{\dagger} := \sum_{x=1}^N e^{i\pi x} (S_x^+)^2 \qquad : \text{Carrying momentum } \pi
$$

$$
Q^{\dagger}(k) := \sum_{x=1}^N e^{ikx} (S_x^+)^2 \quad : \text{Carrying momentum } k
$$

**Repulsive property is lost.** 

$$
\vec{B}\otimes_p\vec{B}=0,\quad (S^+)^2\vec{B}\neq 0
$$

### **Perturbed XXC model [CM (2024)]**

$$
H = \sum_{x=1}^{N} 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sum_{x,x+1}^{h} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1
$$
  

$$
h = \sum_{a \in \{0,2\}} (|a1\rangle\langle a1| + |1a\rangle\langle 1a| + |a1\rangle\langle 1a| + |1a\rangle\langle a1|) + \alpha(|00\rangle\langle 00| + |22\rangle\langle 22|)
$$
  
**XXC model (integrable)**

 $W = \text{span} \{ |\psi_{A,B^n} \rangle \}_n$  is solvable subspace of H.

$$
|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle = \sum_{\substack{1 \le x_1 < \dots < x_n \le N}} \sum_{P \in \mathfrak{S}_n} A_n(P) e^{i \sum_{j=1}^n k_{P(j)} x_j} \operatorname{tr}_a(\vec{A} \otimes_p \dots \otimes_p \vec{B} \otimes_p \dots \otimes_p \vec{B} \otimes_p \dots \otimes_p \vec{A})
$$
  
\n
$$
\ne \prod_{j=1}^n Q^{\dagger}(k_j) |\psi_A\rangle \iff \vec{B} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{A}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{B} \ne 0
$$

### **Perturbed XXC model [CM (2024)]**

$$
H = \sum_{x=1}^{N} 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{x,x+1}{\sum_{x=1}^{N}} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1
$$
  
\n
$$
h = \sum_{a \in \{0,2\}} \frac{(|a1\rangle\langle a1| + |1a\rangle\langle 1a| + |a1\rangle\langle 1a| + |1a\rangle\langle a1|)}{\operatorname{XXC model (integrable)}}
$$
  
\n
$$
W = \operatorname{span} \{ |\psi_{A,B^n} \rangle \}_n \text{ is solvable subspace of } H. \quad |\uparrow\rangle \qquad |\downarrow\rangle \qquad |\downarrow\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
|\psi_{A,B^n} \rangle = \sum_{1 \le x_1 < \cdots < x_n \le N} \sum_{P \in \mathfrak{S}_n} A_n(P) e^{i \sum_{j=1}^n k_{P(j)} x_j} \operatorname{tr}_a(\vec{A} \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \vec{B} \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \vec{A})
$$
  
\n
$$
e^{ik_j N} = (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{l=1, l \ne j}^{n} \frac{e^{i(k_j + k_l)} + 1 - 2e^{ik_j}}{e^{i(k_j + k_l)} + 1 - 2e^{ik_l}}, \quad \forall j = 1, \ldots, n \text{ : Bethe-ansatz equations for s=1/2 XXX} \qquad \text{for s=1/2 XXX}
$$

### **Perturbed XXC model [CM (2024)]**

$$
H=\sum_{x=1}^N \mathbf{1}\otimes \cdots \otimes \mathop{h}\limits_{x,x+1}\otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}
$$

$$
h = \sum_{a \in \{0,2\}} (|a1\rangle\langle a1| + |1a\rangle\langle 1a| + |a1\rangle\langle 1a| + |1a\rangle\langle a1|) + \alpha(|00\rangle\langle 00| + |22\rangle\langle 22|)
$$
  
**XXC model (integrable)**

■ 
$$
W = \text{span}\{|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle\}_n
$$
 is solvable subspace of  $H$ .  
\n
$$
H|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle = \mathcal{E}_n(\{k_j\})|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle, \qquad \mathcal{E}_n(\{k_j\}) = \left(2\sum_{j=1}^n \cos k_j - \frac{n}{2}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\Leftarrow h\vec{A}\otimes_p \vec{A} = h\vec{B}\otimes_p \vec{B} = 0 \qquad \text{Embedded s=1/2 XXX spectrum}
$$
\n
$$
h\vec{A}\otimes_p \vec{B} = -\vec{A}\otimes_p \vec{B} + \vec{B}\otimes_p \vec{A} \qquad \Rightarrow \text{Interacting quasiparticles}
$$
\n
$$
h\vec{B}\otimes_p \vec{A} = \vec{A}\otimes\vec{B} - \vec{B}\otimes_p \vec{A}
$$

Embedded s=1/2 XXX spectrum (not equally-spaced) ⇒ Interacting quasiparticles

### **Perturbed XXC model [CM (2024)]**

$$
H=\sum_{x=1}^N \mathbf{1}\otimes \cdots \otimes \mathop{h}\limits_{x,x+1}\otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}
$$

$$
h = \sum_{a \in \{0,2\}} (|a1\rangle\langle a1| + |1a\rangle\langle 1a| + |a1\rangle\langle 1a| + |1a\rangle\langle a1|) + \alpha(|00\rangle\langle 00| + |22\rangle\langle 22|)
$$
  
XXC model (integrable)

■  $W = \text{span}\{|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle\}_n$  is solvable subspace of  $H$ .<br>  $H|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle = \mathcal{E}_n(\{k_j\})|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle,$   $\mathcal{E}_n(\{k_j\}) = \left(2\sum_{j=1}^n \cos k_j - \frac{n}{2}\right)$ 

$$
H|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle = \mathcal{E}_n(\lbrace k_j\rbrace)|\psi_{A,B^n}\rangle,
$$

$$
\Leftarrow h\vec{A}\otimes_p \vec{A} = h\vec{B}\otimes_p \vec{B} = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
h\vec{A}\otimes_p \vec{B} = -\vec{A}\otimes_p \vec{B} + \vec{B}\otimes_p \vec{A}
$$
  
\n
$$
h\vec{B}\otimes_p \vec{A} = \vec{A}\otimes \vec{B} - \vec{B}\otimes_p \vec{A}
$$

Embedded s=1/2 XXX spectrum (not equally-spaced) ⇒ Interacting quasiparticles

Why?  $\Rightarrow$  Hilbert-space fragmentation



$$
\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^r W_{\alpha}, \quad W_{\alpha} = \text{span}\, \{H^{n_{\alpha}}|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle\}_{n_{\alpha}}
$$

- Exponentially-many block diagonal structure.
- Fragmented subspaces are not distinguished by obvious local symmetries of  $H$ .
- Solvable subspaces are sometimes embedded (not always).



[Retort et al. (2003), Pai et al. (2019)]





■ Simple example: Sato's model [Sato (1995)]

$$
H = \sum_{x=1}^{N} \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{x,x+1}{\sum_{x=1}^{2s} \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{x,x+1}{\sum_{x,y+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}
$$
  

$$
h = \sum_{\substack{a,b=0 \\ a \neq b}}^{2s} f(a,b) |ab\rangle \langle ba| + \sum_{r=0}^{2s} c(r) |rr\rangle \langle rr| + \sum_{\substack{a,b=0 \\ a \neq b}}^{2s} g(a,b) |ab\rangle \langle ab|
$$

- Non-integrable arbitrary spin-s chain.
- The interactions only exchange the neighboring configurations.

$$
H: |a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\rangle \mapsto f(a_1, a_2)|a_2, a_1, a_3, a_4\rangle + f(a_2, a_3)|a_1, a_3, a_2, a_4\rangle + f(a_3, a_4)|a_1, a_2, a_4, a_3\rangle + f(a_4, a_1)|a_4, a_2, a_3, a_1\rangle + (g(a_1, a_2) + g(a_2, a_3) + g(a_3, a_4) + g(a_4, a_1))|a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\rangle
$$



### ■ Simple example: Sato's model [Sato (1995)]

$$
H = \sum_{x=1}^{N} 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{x,x+1}{h} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1
$$
  
\n
$$
h = \sum_{\substack{a,b=0 \\ a \neq b}}^{2s} f(a,b)|ab\rangle\langle ba| + \sum_{r=0}^{2s} c(r)|rr\rangle\langle rr| + \sum_{\substack{a,b=0 \\ a \neq b}}^{2s} g(a,b)|ab\rangle\langle ab|
$$

- Non-integrable arbitrary spin-s chain.
- The entries in each configuration never change by the interactions ⇒ Hilbert-space fragmentation (according to multisets of configuration entries).  $\ket{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_N}$

 $|m_2,m_1,\ldots,m_N\rangle$ : All in the same invariant subspace. $|\sigma(m_1), \dot{\sigma}(m_2), \dots, \sigma(m_N)\rangle, \quad \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ 



■ Simple example: Sato's model [Sato (1995)]

$$
H = \sum_{x=1}^{N} \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{x,x+1}{\sum_{x=1}^{2s} \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{x,x+1}{\sum_{x,y+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}
$$
  

$$
h = \sum_{\substack{a,b=0 \\ a \neq b}}^{2s} f(a,b) |ab\rangle \langle ba| + \sum_{r=0}^{2s} c(r) |rr\rangle \langle rr| + \sum_{\substack{a,b=0 \\ a \neq b}}^{2s} g(a,b) |ab\rangle \langle ab|
$$

- Non-integrable arbitrary spin-s chain.
- $\blacksquare$  The model is integrable in the subspaces given by

$$
V^{\sigma}=(\text{span}\left\{|0\rangle,|\sigma\rangle\right\})^{\otimes N},\ \ \, \sigma=1,\ldots,2s.
$$

 $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |\uparrow\rangle:$  Vacuum<br> $|\sigma\rangle \leftrightarrow |\downarrow\rangle:$  Particles  $\Rightarrow$   $H|_W \sim H_{\text{XXZ}}$  with  $\Delta_{\sigma,0} = (g(0,\sigma) + g(\sigma,0) - c(\sigma))/f(\sigma,0)$ Anisotropy depending on  $\sigma$ .

■ Example: XXC model [Maassarani (1997, 1999), de Leeuw et al. (2023)]

$$
H_{XXC} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{j,j+1}^{XXC} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}
$$
  

$$
h^{XXC} = \cosh \eta \left( \sum_{s,s' \in \{0,2\}} |ss'\rangle\langle ss'| + |11\rangle\langle11| \right) + \sum_{s \in \{0,2\}} (|s1\rangle\langle1s| + |1s\rangle\langle s1|)
$$

■ Integrable spin-1 chain. anisotropy parameter<br>  $R(\lambda) = \sum_{a,a'=0,2} \left\{ (|aa'\rangle\langle a'a| + |11\rangle\langle 11|) \sinh(\lambda + \eta) + (|a1\rangle\langle 1a| + |1a\rangle\langle a1|) \sinh \eta \right. \right. \newline + (x_a|a1\rangle\langle a1| + x_a^{-1}|1a\rangle\langle 1a|) \sinh \lambda \right\}$ anisotropy parameter  $(\lambda, x_a \in \mathbb{C})$  $H_{XXC} \xrightarrow[\mathcal{H}\setminus\{|0\rangle,|2\rangle\}^N} H_{XXZ}$  becomes XXZ model by identifying  $|0\rangle$  &  $|2\rangle$ .



■ Example: XXC model [Maassarani (1997, 1999), de Leeuw et al. (2023)]

$$
H_{XXC} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{j,j+1}^{XXC} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}
$$
  

$$
h^{XXC} = \cosh \eta \left( \sum_{s,s' \in \{0,2\}} |ss'\rangle\langle ss'| + |11\rangle\langle11| \right) + \sum_{s \in \{0,2\}} \frac{(|s1\rangle\langle1s| + |1s\rangle\langle s1|)}{\text{exchange terms}}
$$

■ The configuration of 0 & 2 never changes by the interactions.

"Irreducible string (IS)" [Dhar et al. (1993), Barma et al (1994), Menon et al. (1997), Dhar (1997)]

■ Hilbert-space fragmentation occurs according to the IS. **e.g.**  $H: |10211012\rangle \mapsto (h_{10}^{10} + h_{21}^{21} + h_{12}^{12} + h_{21}^{21} + h_{10}^{10})|10211012\rangle$  $h_{01}^{10}|01211012\rangle + h_{12}^{21}|10121012\rangle + h_{21}^{12}|10210112\rangle$  $($ IS = 0 2 0 2  $)$ +  $h_{12}^{21} |10211102\rangle + h_{01}^{10} |10211021\rangle$ 



■ Example: perturbed XXC model [CM (2024), in preparation with KPP]  $H_{XXC}^{\text{pol}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( h_{j,j+1}^{XXC} + h_{j,j+1}^{\text{pol}} \right)$  $P_{\rm pol}^{(0)}, P_{\rm pol}^{(2)}$  $h^{\text{pol}} = \alpha_{\text{d}1}|02\rangle\langle02| + \alpha_{\text{d}2}|20\rangle\langle20| + \alpha_{\text{o}1}|02\rangle\langle20| + \alpha_{\text{o}2}|20\rangle\langle02| \longrightarrow 0$  : vanishing terms  $h_{j,j+1}^{XXC}+h_{j,j+1}^{\text{pol}}\underset{P_{\text{pol}}\mathcal{H}\backslash\{0\}\backslash\{2\}\backslash N}{\longmapsto}\sigma_j^+\sigma_{j+1}^-\sigma_j^-\sigma_{j+1}^++\frac{1}{2}(\cosh\eta)\left(\sigma_j^z\sigma_{j+1}^z\right)+\frac{1}{2}\cosh\eta$ s=1/2 XXZ model!

Projector onto the fully-polarized  $15...0000...$  (resp.  $... 2222...$  )

$$
P_{\text{pol}}^{(0)} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{N} (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|)_j \qquad \text{(resp. } P_{\text{pol}}^{(2)} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{N} (|2\rangle\langle 2| + |1\rangle\langle 1|))_j \text{ )}
$$

- Example: perturbed XXC model [CM-Tsuji (2024), in preparation with KPP]  $H_{XXC}^{\text{alt}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( h_{j,j+1}^{XXC} + h_{j,j+1}^{\text{alt}} \right)$  $h^{\text{alt}} = \beta_{\text{d1}}|00\rangle\langle00| + \beta_{\text{d2}}|22\rangle\langle22| + \beta_{\text{o1}}|00\rangle\langle22| + \beta_{\text{o2}}|22\rangle\langle00|$   $\overset{P_{\text{alt}}}{\longmapsto} 0$  : vanishing terms  $h_{j,j+1}^{\text{XXC}}+h_{j,j+1}^{\text{alt}}\xrightarrow{P_{\text{alt}}\mathcal{H}\backslash\{0\},\{2\}\}^N}\sigma_j^+\sigma_{j+1}^--\sigma_j^+\sigma_{j+1}^++\frac{1}{2}\cosh\eta\left(\sigma_j^z\sigma_{j+1}^z\right)+\frac{1}{2}\cosh\eta$ s=1/2 XXZ model!
	- **Projector onto the alternating IS**  $\dots$  0202 $\dots$  $P_{\text{alt}} = \text{tr}_a \otimes_{j=1}^N \text{diag.}(\sigma_a^+, \mathbf{1}_a, \sigma_a^-)_j - \otimes_{j=1}^N (|1\rangle\langle 1|)_j$

### Partial solvability in open quantum systems?

# **Outline**

- What is "partial solvability"?
	- **Definition of partial solvability**
	- Thermalization & quantum many-body scars (QMBS)
- Closed partially solvable models
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- **Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)**
- Open partially solvable models
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- **Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)**
- Concluding remarks



## Beyond Isolated Quantum Systems



$$
\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = \mathcal{L}(\rho(t)), \quad \mathcal{L}(\rho) = -i[H, \rho] + \sum_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\rho)
$$
\nSteady state

\n
$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\rho) = 2A_{\mu}\rho A_{\mu}^{\dagger} - \{A_{\mu}^{\dagger} A_{\mu}, \rho\}:
$$
\nDisspation terms as the fixed point of  $\mathcal{L}$ 

■ Is the most general CPTP map under the assumptions: Markovian time evolution & Direct product initial state.



## Beyond Isolated Quantum Systems

### **Example 1 Lindblad master equation**

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = \mathcal{L}(\rho(t)), \quad \mathcal{L}(\rho) = -i[H, \rho] + \sum_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\rho)
$$
\nSteady state

\n
$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\rho) = 2A_{\mu}\rho A_{\mu}^{\dagger} - \{A_{\mu}^{\dagger} A_{\mu}, \rho\}:
$$
\nDisspation terms as the fixed point of  $\mathcal{L}$ 

Sometimes has the exactly solvable steady state.



■ Can partial solvability be robust against the boundary dissipators?



System coupled to boundary dissipators

 $\mathcal{L}(\rho) = -i[H, \rho] + \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\rho)$  $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\rho) = 2A_{\mu}\rho A_{\mu}^{\dagger} - \{A_{\mu}^{\dagger}A_{\mu}, \rho\}$ 

**Thermofield double vector expression** 

$$
\rho = \sum_{m,n} \rho_{m,n} |m\rangle \langle n| \mapsto \sum_{m,n} \rho_{m,n} |m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle = |\rho\rangle
$$

\n- ■ Evolution of the density matrix\n 
$$
\frac{d}{dt}|\rho(t)\rangle = -i\widetilde{H}|\rho(t)\rangle \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}^*
$$
\n
\n- • Find a certain subspace?
\n- • The equation 
$$
\widetilde{H} = H \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes {}^t H + i \sum_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} \left( (A_{\alpha} \otimes A_{\alpha}^*) - \frac{1}{2} (A_{\alpha}^{\dagger} A_{\alpha} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes {}^t A_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*) \right)
$$
\n
\n- • Non-Hermitian effective Hamiltonian effective Hamiltonian
\n



- Example: XXC Hamiltonian coupled to boundary dissipators [CM-Tsuji (2024)]
	- Spin-1 XXC Hamiltonian  $\Rightarrow$  HSF by config. of 0 & 2
	- Boundary dissipators  $\Rightarrow$  violate integrability  $A_{L,+} = (S_1^+)^2$ ,  $A_{L,-} = (S_1^-)^2$ ,  $A_{R,+} = (S_N^+)^2$ ,  $A_{R,-} = (S_N^-)^2$
	- Effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$
\widetilde{H}_{XXC} = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} h_{n,n+1}^{(XXC)} + h_{N,N+1}^{(\text{b,R})} - \sum_{n=N+1}^{2N} h_{n,n+1}^{(XXC)} + h_{2N,1}^{(\text{b,L})}
$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Two XXC chains coupled at the boundaries.







■ Example: XXC Hamiltonian coupled to boundary dissipators [CM-Tsuji (2024)]

Exercise non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

\n
$$
h_{1,2}^{(XXC)} h_{2,3}^{(XXC)} \cdots h_{N-1,N}^{(XXC)}
$$
\n
$$
h_{0,N}^{(b,\alpha)} = i\gamma_{\alpha,+} \left( |00\rangle\langle 22| - \frac{1}{2} (|2\rangle\langle 2| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2|) \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ i\gamma_{\alpha,-} \left( |22\rangle\langle 00| - \frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|) \right), \quad \alpha \in \{R, L\} \to h_{2N-1,2N}^{(XXC)} \to h_{2N-2,2N-1}^{(XXC)} \to -h_{N,N+1}^{(XXC)}
$$
\nTwo terms irrelevant in the subspace of alternating irreducible strings

\n
$$
\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} P_{\text{alt}} \text{ (projector onto)}
$$

Two terms irrelevant in the subspace of alternating irreducible strings.

 $\Rightarrow$  Two decoupled XXC chains

$$
\widetilde{H}_{XXC}\big|_{W_{\mathrm{alt}}}=H_{XXC}^{(+)}\otimes \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1}\otimes H_{XXC}^{(-)}
$$

The other terms work as the (imaginary) boundary magnetic fields.





- Example: XXC Hamiltonian coupled to boundary dissipators [CM-Tsuji (2024)]
	-

$$
\widetilde{H}_{XXC}\big|_{W_{\text{alt}}} = H_{XXC}^{(+)} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes H_{XXC}^{(-)}
$$

becomes integrable when

$$
\gamma_{L,+}=\gamma_{L,-},\quad \gamma_{R,+}=\gamma_{R,-}
$$

 $\blacksquare$  Mapped to s=1/2 XXZ spin chain with diagonal boundaries

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nH_{XXC}^{(\pm)}(\gamma_{\rm L},\gamma_{\rm R}) & \longrightarrow & H_{XXZ}^{(\pm)}(\gamma_{\rm L},\gamma_{\rm R}) \\
\text{Spectrum derived by Bethe ansatz for spin-1/2 XXZ}^{(\pm)}\n\end{array}
$$





- Example: XXC Hamiltonian coupled to boundary dissipators [CM-Tsuji (2024)]
	- **Eigenstates of effective Hamiltonian**

$$
\widetilde{H} \underset{P_{\text{alt}} \neq \pm \sqrt{\{0\},\{2\}\}}{\longrightarrow} H_{XXZ}^{(+)}(\gamma_{\text{L}},\gamma_{\text{R}}) \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes H_{XXZ}^{(-)}(\gamma_{\text{R}},\gamma_{\text{L}})
$$
\n
$$
H_{XXZ}^{(+)}(\gamma_{\text{L}},\gamma_{\text{R}}) = -\frac{i}{4}\gamma_{\text{L}}\sigma_{1}^{z} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(\sigma_{j}^{+}\sigma_{j+1}^{-} + \sigma_{j}^{-}\sigma_{j+1}^{+} + \frac{1}{2}\cosh\eta\sigma_{j}^{z}\sigma_{j+1}^{z}\right) - \frac{i}{4}\gamma_{\text{R}}\sigma_{N}^{z}
$$
\n
$$
H_{XXZ}^{(-)}(\gamma_{\text{R}},\gamma_{\text{L}}) = -\frac{i}{4}\gamma_{\text{R}}\sigma_{N+1}^{z} - \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N-1} \left(\sigma_{j}^{+}\sigma_{j+1}^{-} + \sigma_{j}^{-}\sigma_{j+1}^{+} + \frac{1}{2}\cosh\eta\sigma_{j}^{z}\sigma_{j+1}^{z}\right) - \frac{i}{4}\gamma_{\text{L}}\sigma_{2N}^{z}
$$
\n
$$
\overset{\text{N}}{\longrightarrow} H_{XXZ}^{(-)}(\gamma_{\text{R}},\gamma_{\text{L}}) = -\frac{i}{4}\gamma_{\text{R}}\sigma_{N+1}^{z} - \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} \left(\sigma_{j}^{+}\sigma_{j+1}^{-} + \sigma_{j}^{-}\sigma_{j+1}^{+} + \frac{1}{2}\cosh\eta\sigma_{j}^{z}\sigma_{j+1}^{z}\right) - \frac{i}{4}\gamma_{\text{L}}\sigma_{2N}^{z}
$$
\n
$$
\overset{\text{N}}{\longrightarrow} H
$$
\n
$$
\overset{\
$$



- System coupled to boundary dissipators
	- $\mathcal{L}(\rho) = -i[H, \rho] + \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\rho)$  $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\rho) = 2A_{\mu}\rho A_{\mu}^{\dagger} - \{A_{\mu}^{\dagger}A_{\mu}, \rho\}$

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\hline\nD_L\n\end{array}
$$

- System with rSGA  $[H, Q^{\dagger}] - \mathcal{E} Q^{\dagger}|_W = 0$ ,  $W = \text{span}\{(Q^{\dagger})^n | \psi_A \rangle\}_n$  $Q^{\dagger} = \sum_{x} e^{i\pi x} q^{\dagger}_x \longrightarrow$  Quasiparticle excitations carrying momentum  $\pi$
- **Quasiparticle baths at the edges**  $A_{\text{L}} = q_1^{\dagger}$ ,  $A_{\text{R}} = q_N^{\dagger}$   $\Rightarrow$  Doping quasiparticles at the boundaries Fully occupied steady state?





- **Example: s=1 spin chains with rSGA + spin-2 magnon baths** 
	- s=1 spin chain with rSGA (e.g. AKLT model)  $[H, Q^{\dagger}] - \mathcal{E} Q^{\dagger}|_{W^{(v_R, v_L)}} = 0, \quad Q^{\dagger} := \sum_{x=1}^{N} e^{i\pi x} (S_x^+)^2$  $W^{(v_{\rm L}, v_{\rm R})} =$ span $\{(Q^{\dagger})^n | \psi_A^{(v_{\rm L}, v_{\rm R})} \rangle \}_n$  $|\psi_A^{(v_{\rm L}, v_{\rm R})}\rangle = \langle v_{\rm L} | \vec{A} \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \vec{A} | v_{\rm R} \rangle, \qquad \vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \sigma^+ \ a_1 \sigma^z \ a_2 \sigma^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ <br>Boundary vectors<br> $\in V_a = \text{span}\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$

⇒ Four degenerate zero-energy states.

Spin-2 magnon baths at the edges  $A_{\text{L}} = (S_1^+)^2$ ,  $A_{\text{R}} = (S_N^+)^2$   $\Rightarrow$  Doping spin-2 magnons at the boundaries



- Example: s=1 spin chains with rSGA + spin-2 magnon baths [CM-Tsuji (2024)]
	- The subspace  $W^{(0,1)}$  consists of the dark states.

 $[H, (Q^{\dagger})^n | \psi_{A}^{(0,1)} \rangle \langle \psi_{A}^{(0,1)} | Q^n ] = 0$ 

- ⇒ Eigenstates of the Hamiltonian
- $\mathcal{D}_{(S_1^+)^2} \big( (Q^\dagger)^n | \psi_A^{(0,1)} \rangle \langle \psi_A^{(0,1)} | Q^n \big) = 0 \ .$  ${\cal D}_{(S_N^+)^2} \big( (Q^\dagger)^n | \psi_A^{(0,1)} \rangle \langle \psi_A^{(0,1)} | Q^n \big) = 0 \, .$
- ⇒ Dissipators are irrelevant.
	- (Robust eigenstates against boundary dissipators)
- Any density matrix diagonal in  $W^{(0,1)}$  becomes the steady states.

$$
\mathcal{L}\Big(\sum_{n}p_{nn}(Q^{\dagger})^{n}|\psi_{A}^{(0,1)}\rangle\langle\psi_{A}^{(0,1)}|Q^{n}\Big)=0, \quad \sum_{n}p_{nn}=1, \quad p_{nn}>0, \forall n
$$

### $\blacksquare$  The ratio of the number of trajectories for each  $S^z$ .



(Left) The initial state does not overlap with solvable states  $\Rightarrow$  Dominated by states with large  $S^z$ . (Right) The initial state does overlap with solvable states  $\Rightarrow$  States with small  $S^z$  survive!



- Example: s=1 spin chains with rSGA + spin-2 magnon baths [CM-Tsuji (2024)]
	- Other solvable eigenmodes in  $W^{(0,1)} \otimes (W^{(0,1)})^*$  $\langle H, (Q^{\dagger})^m | \psi_A^{(0,1)} \rangle \langle \psi_A^{(0,1)} | Q^n ] = \sum (m-n) \mathcal{E}(Q^{\dagger})^m | \psi_A^{(0,1)} \rangle \langle \psi_A^{(0,1)} | Q^n \Rightarrow$  Eigenstates of the Hamiltonian  ${\mathcal{D}}_{(S^+_2)^2}((Q^{\dagger})^m|\psi_A^{(0,1)}\rangle\langle\psi_A^{(0,1)}|Q^n\rangle=0 \Rightarrow$  Dissipators are irrelevant.  ${\cal D}_{(S_N^+)^2} \big( (Q^{\dagger})^m | \psi_A^{(0,1)} \rangle \langle \psi_A^{(0,1)} | Q^n \big) = 0$ (Robust eigenstates against boundary dissipators)
	- **Persistent oscillation emerges.**

$$
|\Psi(t=0)\rangle = \sum_{n \to \infty} a_n (Q^{\dagger})^n |\psi_A^{(0,1)}\rangle \in W^{(0,1)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to \infty} \rho(t) = e^{2\pi i \rho(t)} \rho(0) = \sum_{n,m} e^{-i(m-n)\mathcal{E}t} (Q^{\dagger})^m |\psi_A^{(0,1)}\rangle \langle \psi_A^{(0,1)}| Q^n
$$
  
\n
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \langle O(t) \rangle = \sum_{n \le m} 2 \cos((m-n)\mathcal{E}t) a_m a_n \operatorname{Re} O_{nm}
$$

■ Time evolution of the local magnetization starting from a nearly Neel state at  $\gamma_{\rm L}=\gamma_{\rm R}=1$ .



(Left) The initial state does not overlap with solvable states  $\Rightarrow$  No oscillations (Right) The initial state does overlap with solvable states  $\Rightarrow$  Long-lived oscillations emerge!

# **Outline**

- What is "partial solvability"?
	- **Definition of partial solvability**
	- Thermalization & quantum many-body scars (QMBS)
- Closed partially solvable models
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- **Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)**
- **Open partially solvable models** 
	- Restricted spectrum generating algebra (rSGA)
	- Hilbert space fragmentation (HSF)
- Concluding remarks



# Concluding remarks



- can have solvable eigenmodes inherited from the partially solvable system Hamiltonians.
- reach a non-trivial steady state or never reach a steady state by exhibiting longlived oscillations.





## Future works



- $\blacksquare$  From the phenomenological viewpoints,
	- Can we observe the KPZ universality class in the integrable subspace?  $\Rightarrow$  Robustness of the KPZ universality class against boundary conditions.
	- Overlap between the initial state & solvable eigenmodes?  $\Rightarrow$  Needs determinant formula for boundary cases.
- $\blacksquare$  From the mathphys aspects,
	- What is the algebraic structure behind the XXC & related models? [de Leeuw et al. (2023)]
	- What are the conserved quantities for partially solvable models?
	- Is it possible to extend the notion of partial integrability to QFT models? Thank you for listening!