Matter bounce scenario in f(R, T) gravity

(Journal Ref: P. Sarkar and P. K. Das, Phys. of the Dark Univ, 39, 101143 (2023))

Payel Sarkar and Prasanta Kumar Das BITS Pilani, K K Birla Goa Campus

Kashiwa-no-ha Symposium-2024, Kavli-IPMU

Abstract

Analysis ...continue

We investigate the cosmic bounce in $f(R,T)(=R+2\lambda T)$ gravity theory. Cosmic bounce requires the scale factor • The density(ρ), pressure(p) and EoS(ω) are defined as $a(t) \neq 0$ at time t = 0 (the point of bounce) which eliminate the initial singularity problem. We show how the Hubble parameter H, the deceleration parameter q varies with time in f(R,T) gravity for different scale factor a(t). The energy conditions in these bouncing models are found to be *violated*. The models are highly unstable at the point of bounce, but become stable at later time.

Introduction

- Plank2015[1] findings: $r < 0.1 \rightarrow$ eliminates conventional inflationary models[2].
- Response to Planck2015 \rightarrow *Cosmic bounce*[3] in which the initial singularity

 $t = 0, a(t) = 0 \rightarrow R(t) \sim 1/a(t) \rightarrow \infty$

$\rho = \frac{4t^2(3+8\lambda) + 4(t^2-\beta)\lambda ln(t^2+\beta)}{(t^2+\beta)^2(1+6\lambda+8\lambda^2)ln(t^2+\beta)^2},$

$$p = \frac{-4t^2 + 4(t^2 - \beta)(1 + 3\lambda)ln(t^2 + \beta)}{(t^2 + \beta)^2(1 + 6\lambda + 8\lambda^2)ln(t^2 + \beta)^2}$$

and $\omega = p/\rho$.

• Below $\rho(t)$, p(t) and $\omega(t)$ are plotted for $\beta = 2$, 1.5 with $\lambda = 0.01$.



is replaced by a big non-singular bounce.

Bouncing cosmology :

- Starting from an initial contracting phase ($\dot{a} < 0$), a bouncing universe goes to non-vanishing minimum radius $(a(t=0) \neq 0)$ and then evolves to an expanding phase (a > 0).
- At the bouncing point (t = 0), $\dot{a} = 0$ i.e. H = 0 and $\ddot{a} > 0$.
- A successful bounce for a flat universe ($p = \omega \rho$) requires,

- A cosmic bounce requires a transition of the EOS from $\omega < -1$ to $\omega > -1 \rightarrow$ a transition from $\rho + p < 0$ to $\rho + p > 0.$
- Energy condition(NEC)[4]: $\rho + 3p \ge 0, \rho + p \ge 0$ are *violated* a necessary prerequisite for any scale factor a(t) that may exhibit bouncing.

Field Equations in Modified Gravity

The Einstein-Hilbert action in f(R, T)[5] gravity is

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R,T) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_m$$

Here $f(R,T) = R + 2\lambda T$. The modified Einstein's equations:

$$f_R(R,T)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(R,T)g_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\Box - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu})f_R(R,T) = T_{\mu\nu} - f_T(R,T)T_{\mu\nu} - f_T(R,T)\theta_{\mu\nu}$$

Here $f_R(R,T) = \frac{\partial f(R,T)}{\partial R}$, $f_T(R,T) = \frac{\partial f(R,T)}{\partial T}$ and $\theta_{\mu\nu} = g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial T_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial q^{\mu\nu}}$. After simplification, the modified Einstein's equation become

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = T_{\mu\nu} + \lambda(2T_{\mu\nu} + 2pg_{\mu\nu} + Tg_{\mu\nu})$$

• At the bounce (t = 0), $\rho = 0 \rightarrow H = 0$.

• Also at t = 0, we see $p < 0 \rightarrow \dot{H}(= -(\lambda + 1/2)(p + \rho))$. This means $\ddot{a} > 0$ iff $\lambda > -1/2$: a necessary condition for bounce!

Stability analysis under the linear perturbation

- Stability test of a(t) against the linear perturbation.
- Linear perturbation: $H(t) = H_n(t)(1 + \delta(t)), \ \rho(t) = \rho_n(t)(1 + \delta_n(t)).$
- The Friedmann equation in f(R,T) can be written as

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3}(\rho + 2\lambda(\rho + p) + f(T))$$

• The Eqn. of motion for linear perturbation gives

$$\dot{\delta_n} + \frac{T(1+3\lambda)}{2H_n}\delta_n(t) = 0$$

• Integrating, we get

$$\delta_n(t) = C Exp\left(-\frac{1+3\lambda}{2} \int \frac{T}{H_h} dt\right)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} - pg_{\mu\nu}$. The Friedmann equations are

$$3H^2 = \rho(1+3\lambda) - \lambda p, \ 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -p(1+3\lambda) + \lambda\rho$$

The density(ρ) and pressure(p) are calculated as

$$\rho = \frac{3H^2(1+2\lambda) - 2\lambda\dot{H}}{(1+3\lambda)^2 - \lambda^2}, \ p = -\frac{3H^2(1+2\lambda) + 2\dot{H}(1+3\lambda)}{(1+3\lambda)^2 - \lambda^2}$$

The EoS parameter $\omega (= p/\rho)$ is

$$\omega = -\frac{3H^2(1+2\lambda)+2\dot{H}(1+3\lambda)}{3H^2(1+2\lambda)-2\lambda\dot{H}}$$

Analyzing bouncing with $a(t) = ln(t^2 + \beta)$

- The scale factor $a(t) = ln(t^2 + \beta)$, β is a parameter.
- The Hubble parameter H, H are defined as [6]

$$H = \frac{2t}{(t^2 + \beta)\ln(t^2 + \beta)} \to 0 \text{ at } t = t_b(=0)$$
$$\dot{H} = \frac{-4t^2 - 2(t^2 - \beta)\ln(t^2 + \beta)}{(t^2 + \beta)^2\ln(t^2 + \beta)^2} \to \frac{2}{\beta\ln(\beta)} > 0 \text{ for } \beta > 1 \text{ at } t = t_b(=0)$$

• The deceleration parameter q is defined as

$$q = -\frac{a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^2} = \frac{(t^2 - \beta)\ln(t^2 + \beta)}{2t^2}$$

Below, the variation of a(t), H(t) and q(t) with $\beta = 2$, 1.5 are shown.

• Substituting δ_n into the eqn. of H^2 , we get

$$\delta(t) = \frac{T(1+3\lambda)}{6H_h^2} CExp\left(-\frac{1+3\lambda}{2}\int \frac{T}{H_h}dt\right)$$

• Plot showing the evolution of $\delta_n(t)$ (left one) and $\delta(t)$ as a function of time(Gyr) for fixed $\beta = 1.5$.



Conclusion

- The bouncing cosmology with the scale factor $a(t) = ln(t^2 + \beta)$ in $f(R, T) = R + \lambda T$ is studied. ¹
- The universe pass from a contracting phase $(H < 0, \omega < -1)$ to an expanding phase $(H > 0, \omega > -1)$ through a bounce.
- Highly unstable during bounce, but the perturbation decays very rapidly away from bounce making the universe stable at late time.



- At the bounce (t = 0), we see $a \neq 0$ and H = 0.
- At the bounce, we also see q < 0 i.e. the Universe is accelerating.

References

[1] P. A. R. Ade, et al., Astronomy & Astrophysics, 594, A13 (2016).

[2] A. H. Guth, *Phys. Rev. D*, **23**, 347, (1981).

[3] Y. F. Cai, *et al.*,

[4] S. Capozziello, et al., Phys. Lett. B 781, 89 (2018).

[5] T. Harko, et al. Phys. Rev. D 84, 024020 (2011).

• The Universe is passing from a contracting phase (H < 0) to an expanding phase (H > 0) through a bounce (H = [6] P. Sarkar and P. K. Das, Phys. of the Dark Univ, 39, 101143 (2023), Ashmita, P. Sarkar, P. K. Das, IJMPD, 0) (Figure 1b). **2250120** (2022).