



Axion Detection Experiments Meet the Majoron

Presentation for Workshop on Cosmic Indicators of Dark Matter 2024 2406.19083, 2408.12146 Q.Liang, R.Okabe, X-P. Diaz, T.Yanagida Qiuyue Liang 梁秋月 Kavli IPMU (WPI), University of Tokyo 10/17/2024



Content

- Introduction of axion physics include the experiment constraints
- Majoron as a dark matter candidate that can be detected through axion experiments
- Discussion

Three-zero texture quark mass matrix to solve strong CP problem

Introduction to strong CP and axion

Strong CP problem in SM

$${\cal L} = -rac{1}{4}F_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u} + heta rac{g^2}{32\pi^2}F_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{\mu
u} + ar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - me^{i heta'\gamma_5})\psi.$$

- The theory is CP invariant only when $\theta = -\theta'$.
- down quark mass matrix.
- Neutron electric dipole moment is proportional to physical CP violating angle $d_N = (5.2 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{cm}) \bar{\theta}$.
- Experiments put a tight constraint on $\ ar{ heta} < 10^{-10}$

• Physical CP violating angle: $\bar{\theta} = \theta_0 + \operatorname{Arg}[\det(M_d)\det(M_u)]$ Mu and Md are up/

Introduction to strong CP and axion

• QCD axion is the solution that the angle θ is dynamical and can Peccei-Quinn theory is $V_{\text{eff}} \sim \cos\left(\theta + \xi \frac{\langle a \rangle}{f_a}\right)$



change, and its expectation value is zero. The effective potential in



1812.02669.

Introduction to strong CP and axion

- QCD axion: prompt the theta angle to a field whose vacuum expectation value is zero. The effective potential in Peccei-Quinn theory is $V_{\rm eff} \sim \cos\left(\theta + \xi \frac{\langle a \rangle}{f_a}\right)$
- QCD axion is also a popular dark matter candidate. Constraints through their anomalous coupling to electromagnetic
- Can arise from string theory. hep-th/0605206.



Axion

- However, axion has not been identified by experiments.

- centered at zero.
- This also seems incompatible with cosmology:

 Moreover, it has been claimed that axion generally has the quality problem. (Quantum correction will generally lead to a non-zero vev)

• 1. From Effective filed theory point of view, without symmetry, axion should not have the desired coupling: $\mathcal{L} \supset \left(\frac{a}{f_a} + \theta\right) \frac{1}{32\pi^2} G\tilde{G}$

 Quantum gravity should break all symmetries that are not gauged. Gravitational effects will induce additional mass terms that are not

> 2301.00549 [hep-th] 2312.07650 [hep-ph]. Phys. Rev. D 32 (1985) 3178.

problem (2408.12146, Q.Liang, R.Okabe, T.Yanagida)!

 We need alternatives to axion that can be dark matter candidate (2406.19083, Q.Liang, X.P.Diaz, T.Yanagida) and solve strong CP

is essential for seesaw mechanism and leptogenesis.

Standard model neutrino

 $\sum m_{\nu} \lesssim 0.1 \mathrm{eV}$

 Majorons are pseudo-goldstone boson of U(1)L symmetry breaking, aiming to give heavy Majorana mass to right handed neutrino, which



is essential for seesaw mechanism and leptogenesis.

Standard model neutrino

 $\sum m_{\nu} \lesssim 0.1 \mathrm{eV}$

 Majorons are pseudo-goldstone boson of U(1)L symmetry breaking, aiming to give heavy Majorana mass to right handed neutrino, which

> Neutrino decay generate lepton. Since B-L is conserved, baryon is generated Heavy right-handed neutrino $M_N \approx 10^{14} \mathrm{GeV}$



- is essential for seesaw mechanism and leptogenesis.
- are related to neutrino experiments.
- How about lighter mass region?

 Majorons are pseudo-goldstone boson of U(1)L symmetry breaking, aiming to give heavy Majorana mass to right handed neutrino, which

• $\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{\ell}_L Y_e e_R H + \bar{\ell}_L Y_D N_R \widetilde{H} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}_R^c Y_N N_R \phi^* \quad \cup(1) \text{L number:} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{X}_N = +1/2 & \mathcal{X}_e = 1/2 \\ \mathcal{X}_\phi = \bar{1} & \mathcal{X}_\ell = 1/2 \end{array}$ Usually the interest focus on the MeV region, and direct detections

- where two Higgs carry different charges: $\chi_2 \chi_1 = \chi_{\phi} = 1$.
- We are able to assign different lepton number to right-handed electron and left handed lepton $\mathcal{X}_e = -1/2$, $\mathcal{X}_\ell = 1/2$.

 $\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{\ell}_L Y_e e_R H + \bar{\ell}_L Y_D N_R \tilde{H} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}_R^c Y_N N_R \phi^* \quad \bigcup(1) \text{L number:} \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{X}_N = +1/2 \\ \mathcal{X}_\phi = \bar{1} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{X}_e = 1/2 \\ \mathcal{X}_\phi = \bar{1} \end{array}$ Since right-handed electron and left handed lepton have the same lepton number, the coupling is anomaly free in electromagnetic sector.

 Introduce the second Higgs, we can introduce an anomalous coupling in EM: $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\ell}_L Y_e e_R H_2 + \bar{\ell}_L Y_D N_R \widetilde{H}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}_R^c Y_N N_R \phi^* + \mu_\phi H_2^\dagger H_1 \phi + V(H_1, H_2, \phi) + \text{h.c.}$



This gives the anomaly coupling as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{anom.}} = 3 \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{4\pi} \frac{J}{F_J} F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g_{J\gamma\gamma}}{4} J F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Omega_J h^2 \simeq 0.12 \left(\frac{m_J}{\mu \text{eV}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{F_J \theta_J^i}{1.9 \times 10^{13} \,\text{GeV}}\right)^2$$

$$m_J \simeq \left(\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_{\rm em}\theta_J^i} \frac{g_{J\gamma\gamma}}{1.9 \times 10^{-13} {\rm GeV}}\right)^4 \mu {\rm eV}$$

• We ``prompt'' majoron to an axion-like-particle candidate that can be produced through misalignment mechanism, which relates the DM abundance with mass, decay constant and initial angle of majoron:

We further obtain the relation between mass and anomalous coupling



https://arxiv.org/pdf/2406.19083



https://arxiv.org/pdf/2406.19083

What about the strong CP problem?

What about the strong CP problem?

• 2408.12146, Q.Liang, R.Okabe, T.Yanagida

Alternatives to strong CP problem Turn back to the original question about the strong CP.

- - $\bar{\theta} = \theta_0 + \operatorname{Arg}[\det(M_d)\det(M_u)] \qquad \quad \bar{\theta} < 10^{-10}$
- Spontaneous CP violation as an alternative: $\theta_0 = 0$
- For this type of solutions, one need to have real mass matrix determinant: $\operatorname{Arg}[\det(M_d)\det(M_u)] = 0$
- This is not easy to construct since quark mass matrix contains complex phases.

I hree-zero texture of quark mass matrix

٠

$$M_d = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & a & 0 \ a' & b e^{-i\phi} & c \ 0 & c' & d \end{array}
ight)$$

$a [{ m MeV}]$	a' [MeV]	$b [{ m MeV}]$	$c [{ m MeV}]$	$c' \; [\text{GeV}]$	$d [{ m GeV}]$	ϕ [o]
16 - 17.5	10-15	92 - 104	78 - 95	1.65 - 2.0	2.0-2.3	37 - 48

M. Tanimoto and T. T. Yanagida, "Occam's Razor in Quark Mass Matrices," PTEP 2016 no. 4, (2016) 043B03, arXiv:1601.04459 [hep-ph].

 It has been proposed that 7 three-zero textures of quark mass matrix can fit data well. One such example of the down quark mass matrix is

Three-zero texture of quark mass matrix

$$M_d = \left(egin{array}{cccc} 0 & a & 0 \ a' & b e^{-i\phi} & c \ 0 & c' & d \end{array}
ight)$$

|a| [MeV 16 - 17.

- More interestingly, the determinant of this matrix is real even it contains complex elements!
- have to be exact zero? Is there any symmetry protecting them?

 It has been proposed that 7 three-zero textures of quark mass matrix can fit data well. One such example of the down quark mass matrix is

7]	$a' \; [MeV]$	$b [{ m MeV}]$	$c [{\rm MeV}]$	$c' \; [\text{GeV}]$	$d \; [\text{GeV}]$	ϕ [o]
5	10 - 15	92 - 104	78-95	1.65 - 2.0	2.0 - 2.3	37 - 48

M. Tanimoto and T. T. Yanagida, "Occam's Razor in Quark Mass Matrices," PTEP 2016 no. 4, (2016) 043B03, arXiv:1601.04459 [hep-ph].

This looks like a good way to solve strong CP. But why these elements

We first want to show that 4D ordinary symmetry does not work



 $10_1H\overline{5}_2$ Neutral

$$\mathbf{10}_i = (q_L, \bar{u}_R)_i$$
$$\bar{\mathbf{5}}_i = (\bar{d}_R)_i$$

• We first want to show that 4D ordinary symmetry does not work



 $10_2H\overline{5}_2\eta_{1.2}$

Neutral

 $\mathbf{10}_{1}H\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{2}$

Md11 should have opposite charge of Md 22, no reason to be zero! С $\mathbf{10}_i = (q_L, \bar{u}_R)_i$ $\bar{\mathbf{5}}_i = (\bar{d}_R)_i$



• We first want to show that 4D ordinary symmetry does not work



Maybe generalized symmetry? •

Neutral

Md11 should have opposite charge of Md 22, no reason to be zero! С $\mathbf{10}_i = (q_L, \bar{u}_R)_i$ $\bar{\mathbf{5}}_i = (\bar{d}_R)_i$

 $10_2H\overline{5}_2\eta_{1,2}$

 $\mathbf{10}_{1}H\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{2}$



We go to higher dimension T^2/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold with fixed points. •



	$ 10_1 $	10_2	10 ₃	$ar{f 5}_1$	$ar{f 5}_2$	$ar{f 5}_3$	H	η	δ
\mathbb{Z}_2	_	+	+	+		+	+		

TABLE II. \mathbb{Z}_2 charge for each particle.

Loop corrections are also manageable!

Conclusion and Discussion

- It is worth thinking about the alternative theories to axion.
- Majoron as a DM candidate that gives the correct neutrino mass through seesaw mechanism, leptogenesis, and DM.
- CP problem
- To explain the origin of the three- zero texture, we study the higher dimension compactification orbifold.

• We can use the three-zero texture of the quark matrix to solve strong

Thanks for your attention!

Misalignment mechanism

 \bullet



1910.14152