with Alexander Kusenko, Yifan Lu, and Stefano Profumo (UCSC)

Roadmap

Early structure formation in the dark sector

Fermi balls

Fermi ball collapse

Fermi ball interactions

Fermi balls in the late universe

Early structure formation in the dark sector

Dark matter model

- Two component model
- Heavy fermion and light scalar
- 3 free parameters: fermion mass, scalar mass, coupling *y*

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \left(i \partial \hspace{-0.25em}/ - (m_\psi - y \varphi) \right) \psi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_\varphi^2 \varphi^2 - V(\varphi).
$$

Mediates attractive Yukawa force ⇒ 'Yukawa length scale' is 1/scalar mass

$$
F = y^2 \frac{e^{-m_{\varphi}/r}}{r^2}
$$

Dark matter model

- Two component model
- Heavy fermion and light scalar
- 3 free parameters: fermion mass, scalar mass, coupling *y*

$$
\mathcal{L}=\bar{\psi}\left(i\partial\!\!\!/-\left(m_{\psi}-y\varphi\right)\right)\psi+\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2-\frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi}^2\varphi^2-V(\varphi).
$$

- Inspired by asymmetric dark sector
	- See Flores, Lu, Kusenko 23 for full worked model
- We choose bad 'standard asymmetric' parameters:

$$
\circ \quad m_{\psi} > \text{TeV}, \quad m_{\varphi} < \text{eV}
$$

Dark matter model

- Two component model
- Heavy fermion and light scalar
- 3 ~4 free parameters: fermion mass, scalar mass, coupling *y,* fermion asymmetry
	- \circ Fixed by f_{DM}

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \left(i \partial \hspace{-0.5em} / - (m_\psi - y \varphi) \right) \psi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_\varphi^2 \varphi^2 - V(\varphi).
$$

- Inspired by asymmetric dark sector
	- See Flores, Lu, Kusenko 23 for full worked model
- We choose bad 'standard asymmetric' parameters:

$$
\circ \quad m_{\psi} > \text{TeV}, \quad m_{\varphi} < eV
$$

- New medium-range force allows for early structure formation
	- Up to Yukawa length scales in early universe
	- Halo mass completely tunable

(need to account for fermion asymmetry)

Simulations: Domenech, Inman, Kusenko, Misao Sasaki (2023)

- Significant phenom:
	- 'Fireball' baryogenesis
		- (Flores, Kusenko, Pearce, White 2022)
	- Gravitational waves
		- (Flores, Kusenko, Sasaki 2022)

Simulations: Domenech, Inman, Kusenko, Misao Sasaki (2023)

- Significant phenom:
	- 'Fireball' baryogenesis
		- (Flores, Kusenko, Pearce, White 2022)
	- Gravitational waves
		- (Flores, Kusenko, Sasaki 2022)

- Form PBHs directly
	- Flores, Kusenko 2021
	- Flores, Lu, Kusenko 2023

Simulations: Domenech, Inman, Kusenko, Misao Sasaki (2023)

Fermi balls and PBHs

The fate of the halos

- Halos can cool via scalar radiation
	- Flores, Kusenko 21
- Stable, nontopological solitons can form — Fermi balls
	- Fermi degeneracy pressure

Scalar brehmsstrahlung cooling

Surface cooling

The fate of the halos

- Halos can cool via scalar radiation
	- Flores, Kusenko 21
- Stable, nontopological solitons can form — Fermi balls
	- Fermi degeneracy pressure
- If Fermi degeneracy is not strong enough, they collapse immediately to PBHs

Flores, Kusenko 2021

The fate of (these) Fermi balls

- \bullet Act ~like cold dark matter...
	- Still have Yukawa force!
- They *could* grow by mergers or accretion
	- Even today…

Could they collapse to a black hole?

eg chandrasekhar/Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) limits?

Fermi balls ~dark equivalent of neutron stars/white dwarfs

Fermi balls ~dark equivalent of neutron stars/white dwarfs

• Old idea: other ways to form

- Nugget synthesis (eg nuclear synthesis)
- Phase transition (eg quark nuggets)

• (some) relevant papers:

- Lee, Pang 1987, 1992
- Grosso, Franciolini, Pani, Urbano 2023
- Xie 2024
- Gresham, Lou, Zurek 2017
	- Use mean field theory to study the exact Fermi ball solution
	- \blacksquare Analytically + numerically compute equations of state

Fermi ball stages Mass and Radius Long range forces Collapse? 'Nugget' $R \sim N^{-1/3}$ $M \sim N$ \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright \blacksquare 'Sub-saturation' $R \sim N^{2/3}$ $M \sim N^{2/3}$ ↑ Protein Protei $'$ Saturation' $R \sim N^{1/3}$ $M \sim N$ \Box

Fermi ball stages Mass and Radius Long range forces Collapse? 'Nugget' $R \sim N^{-1/3}$ $M \sim N$ \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright \blacksquare 'Sub-saturation' $R \sim N^{2/3}$ $M \sim N^{2/3}$ ↑ Protein Protei $'$ Saturation' $R \sim N^{1/3}$ $M \sim N$ \Box Either it begins as a black hole, or not \mathbb{R}

Re-examine the dark matter model

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \left(i \partial - (m_{\psi} - y \varphi) \right) \psi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 - V(\varphi).
$$

Potential term:

• Scalar field needs additional potential to be renormalizable:

$$
V\left(\varphi \right) =\lambda \phi ^{4}
$$

 \Rightarrow new repulsive force when we have: (\Rightarrow new free parameter λ ...)

$$
\left|1\gtrsim\lambda\gg (m_\varphi/ym_\psi)^2\right|
$$

New Fermi ball equations of state

Drastic effect:

Repulsive force can 'kick in' sooner than degeneracy pressure

New Fermi ball equations of state

Drastic effect:

Repulsive force can 'kick in' sooner than degeneracy pressure

Valiantly rederived (analytically & numerically) by Yifan Lu:

• Radius $\propto N^{1/3}$

Mass \propto N

- (more technically…they reach 'saturation' almost immediately)
- Adding more fermions increases mass more quickly than radius

⇒ By adding more fermions, you *can* cause it to collapse to a black hole

~New primordial black hole formation mechanism

 $(y=5e-2, \lambda=1e-2,$ asymmetry \rightarrow all DM)

New primordial black hole formation mechanism

Paper is finished √

Black Holes from Fermi Ball Collapse

Yifan Lu.^{1,*} Zachary S. C. Picker.^{1,†} Stefano Profumo.^{2,3,‡} and Alexander Kusenko^{1,4, §} ¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, 90095-1547, USA ²Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, 1156 High St., Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA ³Department of Physics, 1156 High St., University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA ⁴Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), UTIAS The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

Non-topological solitons—referred to as 'Fermi balls'—can naturally form in the early universe containing a dark sector with heavy fermions and an attractive interaction mediated by a light scalar field. Here, we compute the Fermi ball mass and radius scaling relations when the potential of the scalar field φ has a non-negligible quartic coupling $\lambda \varphi^4$. The resulting Fermi balls reach 'saturation' very rapidly, even when their radius is much smaller than the effective Yukawa force range. These objects can therefore grow by mergers or by accretion of ambient dark fermions, until they become so dense that they fall within their Schwarzschild radius and collapse to black holes. This setup, therefore, provides a rather natural scenario for the formation of primordial black holes with a very economical dark sector.

 $(y=5e-2, \lambda=1e-2,$ asymmetry \rightarrow all DM) 24

New primordial black hole formation mechanism

What happens to these guys over cosmological times?

 $(y=5e-2, \lambda=1e-2,$ asymmetry \rightarrow all DM)

Fermi balls in late times

- Saturated Fermi ball size is *now* smaller than Yukawa length
	- Can have Fermi ball self interactions

Self interaction constraints:

 $\sigma/m < 1$ cm²/g

(Easily satisfied)

$$
-\frac{d^2\varphi}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d\varphi}{dr} - \lambda\varphi^3 = 0
$$

Unreliable numerically…

$$
-\frac{d^2\varphi}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d\varphi}{dr} - \lambda\varphi^3 = 0
$$

Needed for interaction energy: $E(r) = -\int y \varphi(r) \braket{\bar{\psi}\psi} d^3x$

Can only estimate upper and lower bounds:

$$
F_{\text{low}} \simeq \left(\frac{243}{16\pi^2}\right)^{1/3} \frac{y^{2/3}\lambda^{-1/6}}{r^2} \left(N_1^{2/3} + N_2^{2/3}\right)
$$

$$
F_{\text{high}} \simeq 3\frac{y}{r^2} \left(N_2^{1/3} N_1^{2/3} + N_1^{1/3} N_2^{2/3}\right) ,
$$

$$
+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d\varphi}{dr}-\lambda\varphi^3=0
$$

Needed for interaction energy: $E(r) = -\int y \varphi(r) \braket{\bar{\psi}\psi} d^3x$

 $d^2\varphi$

 $\overline{dr^2}$

Can only estimate upper and lower bounds:

$$
F_{\rm low} \simeq \left(\frac{243}{16\pi^2}\right)^{1/3} \frac{y^{2/3}\lambda^{-1/6}}{r^2} \left(N_1^{2/3} + N_2^{2/3}\right)
$$

$$
F_{\rm high} \simeq 3\frac{y}{r^2} \left(N_2^{1/3} N_1^{2/3} + N_1^{1/3} N_2^{2/3}\right) ,
$$

Fermi ball interactions

- Fermi balls still have 'short' range force between them
	- Binary is formed if they pass close enough (\sim cm - km usually)
	- Analogous to binary BH capture but with scalar radiation
	- Almost immediately merge
- **•** Inelastic mergers

S. Ossokine, A. Buonanno, T. Dietrich

'Runaway' process as merger cross-section increases Sink towards

galactic center as they grow

Fermi ball - black hole conversion

- Not too many mergers until a BH is formed
- Merger timescale is sufficiently small

All Fermi balls become BHs before today

Fermi ball - black hole conversion

- Not too many mergers until a BH is formed
- Merger timescale is sufficiently small

All Fermi balls become BHs before today

Rare black hole formation

Two futures

- Many mergers required
- Merger timescale is very long

Black holes form occasionally near galactic center

Late forming black holes - phenom

conversion

● Possible constraints from DM halo contraction

Late forming black holes - phenom

Fermi ball - black hole conversion

● Possible constraints from DM halo contraction

Rare black hole formation

Two futures

- Tiny black holes could be evaporating today
	- (Impossible otherwise)
- Solar system capture

Black holes evaporating today

Constraints on late forming, evaporating BHs

ZSCP, Kusenko 23

Particle excesses in the galactic center

• A small amount of evaporating black holes could explain the GeV excess ○ ZSCP, Kusenko 23

- \bullet ~1e13 g black holes
- \bullet ~1 explosion per second in galaxy

Particle excesses in the galactic center

• A small amount of evaporating black holes could explain the GeV excess ○ ZSCP, Kusenko 23

- \bullet ~1e13 g black holes
- \bullet ~1 explosion per second in galaxy

- Additional particle excesses
	- Potential anti-proton, anti-Helium events in AMS
	- Korwar, Profumo 24

 $K\left(\mathrm{GeV}/\mathrm{n}\right)$

Results under construction

- We can estimate the formation rate of late PBHs
	- Need b~km
	- $\circ \Rightarrow \sim 10-100$ in solar orbit
- Direct relation between initial parameters and late time phenomenology
	- Scalar mass, fermion mass, yukawa coupling (and lambda)

Conclusions

- Interacting dark sector can form structures early
- Structures collapse to Fermi balls or PBHs
	- Derived detailed Fermi ball behaviour
- Fermi balls may continue merging today

Explicit scenario for late forming, exploding black holes

Thanks!