# PROTON DECAY SYSTEMATICS IN LONG BASE-LINE EXPERIMENTS

### **JAREK NOWAK**

### LANCASTER UNIVERSITY NUINT15, OSAKA, JAPAN

### MOTIVATIONS

- Proton decay into particles with momenta similar to those produced in few GeV neutrino interactions.
- Most protons in the experiment are inside nuclei.
- Atmospheric neutrinos are the main background for underground detectors (SK/HK/DUNE).

- Here are my personal opinions and not official statements from any of the future long base-line experiments (although I am members of those experiments)
- Many thanks for materials to Ed Kearns, Jen Raff and John Urheim

### **PROTON DECAY**

- In the Standard Model Baryon Number is conserved → several reasons to belief that the SM is a "low energy" approximation of a larger symmetry group (Grand Unified Theories).
- One of the extension of the SM is to assume that SU(3)xSU2)xU(1) is a part of SU(5)
  - ⇒ Single coupling constant
  - ⇒ New gauge bosons (X, Y) with new interactions leading to proton decay
  - ⇒ However SU(5) is not a good candidate as it also predicts massless neutrinos, magnetic monopoles and values of the weak mixing angle ( but not consistent with experiments)



### **COUPLINGS UNIFICATION**



### SU(5) Model

#### **SUSY Models**



 $\tau(e^+\pi^0) = 4.5 \times 10^{29 \pm 1.7}$  years (predicted)  $\tau(e^+\pi^0) > 5.5 \times 10^{32}$  years (IMB/1990)  $\tau(e^+\pi^0) \approx 10^{35-38}$  years  $\tau(vK^+) \approx 10^{29-35}$  years

### **NUCLEON DECAY LIMITS**



### **PROTON DECAY CHANNELS**

- There are about 100 excusive nucleon day modes
  - B-L conserving, B+L conserving, 3-body final state, neutronantineutrino oscillation ( $\Delta B=2$ )
  - Beyond Standard Models predictions for proton decay channels can differ by a few orders of magnitude -> good way to test the models.
  - Some channels may not be allowed in given group of theories

6

Two benchmark modes

 $e^+\pi^0$  Gauge mediated

 $\overline{oldsymbol{
u}}K^+$  SUSY models

### **SUPER-KAMIOKANDE**

 If there is a limit for the given proton decay channel it belongs to S-K, but the most important is p->  $e^+\pi^0$ 



- Fully contained •
- Fiducial volume
- 2 or 3 rings •
- All rings are EM showers
- π<sup>0</sup> mass 85-185 MeV/c2
- No µ-decay electrons
- Mass range 800-1050 MeV/c2 •
- p<sub>net</sub>< 250 MeV/c
- tight cut: p<sub>net</sub> < 100 MeV/c





**Ed Kearns** 

1000

1500

### **NUCLEAR PHYSICS**





Hole	Residual	States	(k)	$E_{\gamma}$	$E_p$	$E_n$	$\boldsymbol{B}(k)$
$(p_{1/2})_p^{-1}$	g.s.	$\frac{1}{2}$ -	<sup>15</sup> N	0	0	0	0.25
$(p_{3/2})_p^{-1}$	6.32	$\frac{3}{2}$ -	<sup>15</sup> N	6.32	0	0	0.41
	9.93	$\frac{3}{2}$ -	<sup>15</sup> N	9.93	ogam	imas <sub>0</sub>	0.03
	10.70	$\frac{3}{2}$ -	<sup>15</sup> N	0	0.5	0	0.03
$(s_{1/2})_p^{-1}$	g.s.	1+	<sup>14</sup> N	0	0	~20	0.02
p	7.03	2+	<sup>14</sup> N	7.03	0	~13	0.02
	g.s.	$\frac{1}{2}$ -	<sup>13</sup> C	0	1.6	~11	0.01
	g.s.	Õ+	$^{14}C$	0	~21	0	0.02
	7.01	2+	<sup>14</sup> C	7.01	~14	0	0.02
	g.s.	$\frac{1}{2}$ -	<sup>13</sup> C	0	~11	~2	0.03
$(j)_{p}^{-1}$	others	-	many states	$\leq 3-4$			0.16

8

## **MAIN (EFFICIENCY) UNCERTAINTIES**

- Nuclear effects meson ( $\pi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\omega$ ) nuclear effects affect the detection efficiency. The biggest error source
- π nuclear effect the systematics obtained from comparison between two models (as there is no suitable data). Detection efficiency depends on the probability for the π to escape with out any scattering.
- Fraction of NN-corelated decays -10% of the decays are assumed to come from nucleon correlated to another nucleon (3 body decay). That leads to lower detection efficiency.
- Fermi motion modeling of the nucleon momenta

#### Uncertainties for detection efficiency Phys.RevD85,112001

Mode	Meson nuclear effect	Hadron propagation in water	N-N correlated decay	Fermi momentum	Detector performances	Total
$p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$	15%		7%	8%	4%	19%
$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^0$	15%		7%	8%	4%	19%
· · · ·			0			

### **BACKGROUND: ATMOSPHERIC NEUTRINOS**

- Modelling of the neutrino interactions and intranuclear pion scattering are crucial for background estimation.
- The background rate uncertainty typically 40%-60%, dominated by the hadronic interaction uncertainty.





### **MAIN (BACKGROUND) UNCERTAINTIES**

• The background comes from atmospheric neutrinos interactions.

#### Uncertainties for neutrino interaction background

Mode	Neutrino flux	Neutrino cross section	Pion nuclear effect	Hadron propagation in water	Detector performances	Total
$p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$	8%	8%	8%	36%	22%	44%
$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^0$	8%	8%			43%	58%

#### **Background events**

Mode	$p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$	$N \rightarrow l^+ \pi$	$p \rightarrow l^+ \eta$	$p \rightarrow l^+ \omega$	$N \rightarrow l^+ \rho$
CCQE	28%	21%	5%	4%	9%
CC 1- $\pi$	32%	51%	20%	25%	45%
CC multi- $\pi$	19%	14%	24%	29%	14%
CC others	2%	6%	13%	7%	4%
NC	19%	9%	37%	35%	28%

#### Phys.RevD85,112001

ATMOSPHERIC BACKGROUND MC  $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$ SIGNAL MC



Signal Efficiency (%)	SK-I	SK-II	SK-III	SK-IV w. n cap.
$100 < p_{net} < 200 \text{ MeV}/c$	$20.4 \pm 3.1$	$20.2 \pm 3.1$	$20.5 \pm 3.2$	$19.4 \pm 1.2$
$p_{net} < 100 \text{ MeV}/c$	$18.8\pm0.9$	$18.3 \pm 1.0$	$19.6 \pm 1.3$	$18.7 \pm 1.2$
Background (evts)	SK-I	SK-II	SK-III	SK-IV w. n cap.
Background (evts) 100 < p <sub>net</sub> < 200 MeV/c	SK-I $0.22 \pm 0.06$	$\frac{\text{SK-II}}{0.12 \pm 0.04}$	$\frac{\text{SK-III}}{0.06 \pm 0.02}$	SK-IV w. n cap. $0.15 \pm 0.05$

Monte Carlo estimates. Background rate also measured using K2K 1KT near detector.

Ed Kearns, DPF2015

 $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$ 

### SUPER-K Data 306 kt y

#### Zero candidate events







Kaon is below Cherenkov threshold. Search for Kaon decay at rest.





γ-tag plus $\pi^+\pi^0$	SK1	(20% coverage) SK2	SK3	(new electronics) SK4 $\rightarrow$ w. n-cap
Efficiency	15.7 %	13.0 %	15.6 %	<del>18.9 %</del> → 17.5 %
Background rate (ev/100 kty)	0.28	0.63	0.38	<del>0.4</del> → 0.19 %

No candidates, 306 kton yr (SK 1+2+3+4 w. n-cap):

SK preliminary

### $p \rightarrow \overline{\nu}K^+$

### A GOLDEN CHANNEL FOR LAr DETECTORS

- Two-body decay: for free protons, K<sup>+</sup> momentum 340 MeV
- Below inelastic collision threshold → no absorption of K<sup>+</sup> within Argon nucleus → K<sup>+</sup> emerges intact in ~97% of p → K<sup>+</sup>v decays.
- However, all decays are inside the nucleus. No free protons as in the case od SK.



Fig. 1. Momentum distribution of kaons produced in the  $p \rightarrow \bar{\nu}K^+$  decay inside the argon nucleus predicted by different approaches. Left: Calculations for the spectral function of argon (hatched) compared to the local Fermi gas model from GEANT4 without the intranuclear cascade (plain histogram). Right: GEANT4 with (hatched) and without (plain histogram) the cascade.

#### from Stefans & Ankowski, ArXiv:0811.1892 [nucl-th], 2009

- K<sup>+</sup> momentum 340 MeV  $\rightarrow$  range ~14cm
  - distinctive dE/dx signatures already seen in **ICARUS** and ArgoNeuT
  - Will also be studied in detail with planned LArTPC R&D efforts (protoDUNE, WA105)

of hit

2



0

0

#### From LArSoft Simulation: K/p dE/dx for muons in ArgoNeuT Data separation histogram2 Likelihood Plot for Protons vs Kaons dEdx Coll (MeV/cm) dEdx Coll 768435 Entries 8536 Entries -10.37 2.232 900F Mean Mean 2.853 RMS 40000 RMS 0.6793 $\gamma^2$ / ndf Underflow 1985 / 64 800 Weight Overflow 35000 0.07164 ± 0.00038 MPV $1.939 \pm 0.001$ 700 3.88e+04 ± 4.57e+01 Area 30000 $0.2838 \pm 0.0006$ sigma 600 25000 <dE/dx>=2.2 MeV/cm 500 20000 dE/dx<sub>m.p</sub>=1.9 MeV/cm 400 15000 (Landau-Gauss fit) 300 10000 hits due to $\delta$ rays not included 5000 200

dE/dx Collection(MeV/cm)

16

100

-30

-20

-10

10

0

20

30

#### K<sup>+</sup> decay at rest: Simple topologies !

- $\mu$ +  $\nu$  (63.6% BR): monochromatic  $\mu$ :  $p_{\mu}$  = 236 MeV
  - Minimum-ionizing track, momentum by range/multiple scattering/energy deposition
    - Note: muon from  $\pi$ + decay-at-rest has  $p_{\mu}$  = 30 MeV
  - Followed by decay electron.
- $\pi$ +  $\pi^0$  (20.7% BR): fully reconstructable final state
- $3\pi$  (7.4% BR): fully reconstructable final states
- $\pi^0 l^+ \nu$  (8.3% BR): kinematically constrained final states



### **PROTON DECAY CHANNELS WITH KAONS**







ICARUS T600 event from Antonello et al. Adv. High Energy Phys. (2013) 260820

### **BACKGROUND AND EFFICIENCIES**

		Super-K Water Ch.		LAr (generic)	
	Mode	Efficiency	BG Rate (/Mt y)	Efficiency	BG Rate (/Mt y)
- 1	<b>e</b> <sup>+</sup> π <sup>0</sup>	45%	2	45%	1
B-L	ν Κ+	16%	7	97%	1
	μ <b>+ Κ</b> ⁰	10%	5-10	47%	<2
R+I	μ <sup>-</sup> π+ K+	?	?	97%	1
	e⁻ K+	10%	3	96%	<2
∆B=2	n nbar	12%	260	?	?
		Rough and u SK efficiency	nofficial & BG - ETK	A. Buen hep-ph/	o et al. /0701101

Estimate for water Cherenkov: Kearns (Snowmass, 2013). For LAr: LBNE Collaboration, arXiv:1307.7335v3 based on Bueno et al. JHEP04 (2007) 041. Several decay modes with high efficiency and low background in LAr.

### **CURRENT RESULTS**



### FUTURE EXPERIMENT SENSITIVITIES



### CONCLUSIONS

• So far zero proton decay events!

• Better understanding of nuclear effect is necessary.

 Nucleon decay program of the future large underground detectors will make use of the developments in the nuclear effects presented and NuInt workshops.

### BACKGROUND TO $p \rightarrow K^+ \nu$ IN LAr:

"Nucleon decay searches with large liquid Argon TPC detectors at shallow depths: atmospheric neutrinos and cosmogenic backgrounds"

# Comprehensive study based on well tested event generators & parametrized detector response

Projected DUNE capabilities currently based on these results

	This pap	er (LAr TPC)	Super-Kamiokand	e results [5, 10]	
Decay	Efficiency	Atmospheric $\nu$	Efficiency	Atmospheric $\nu$	Published
mode	(%)	background	(%)	background	limit
		100 kton $\times$ year		92 kton×year	90% C.L.
(p1) $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$	45.3	0.1	40	0.2	$1.6 \times 10^{33}$
(p2) $p \rightarrow \pi^+ \bar{\nu}$	41.9	78.2			
(p3) $p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu}$	96.8	0.1	8.6 (prompt- $\gamma$ )	0.7	$2.3 \times 10^{33}$
			6.0 $(K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0)$	0.6	
(p4) $p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^0$	44.8	0.8	32	0.2	
(p5) $p \rightarrow \mu^+ K^0$	46.7	< 0.2	5.4 $(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0)$	0.4	
			7.0 $(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \text{ method } 1)$	3.2	$1.3 \times 10^{33}$
			2.8 $(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \text{ method } 2)$	0.3	
(p6) $p \rightarrow e^+ K^0$	47.0	< 0.2	9.2 $(K_S^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0)$	1.1	$1.0 \times 10^{33}$
			7.9 $(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ method } 1)$	3.6	
			1.3 $(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ method } 2)$	0.04	
(p7) $p \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$	98.0	< 0.2	73	0.1	
(p8) $p \rightarrow \mu^+ \gamma$	98.0	< 0.2	51	0.2	
(p9) $p \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ K^+$	97.6	0.1			
(p10) $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	18.6	2.5			
(n1) $n \rightarrow \pi^0 \bar{\nu}$	45.1	47.4			
(n2) $n \rightarrow e^- K^+$	96.0	< 0.2			
(n3) $n \rightarrow e^+ \pi^-$	44.4	0.8	Rueno et al IHF	P 04 (200	)7) 04 <sup>.</sup>
(n4) $n \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+$	44.8	2.6	Bueno et al., Jill		

the IMB experiment.					
Nuclear effect	Our MC	IMB			
No interaction	44%	54%			
Absorption	22%	22%			
Charge exchange	15%	10%			
Scattered	19%	14%			

TABLE VI. Fraction of the final states of  $\pi^0$  from the proton decay of  $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$  in <sup>16</sup>O compared with the simulation used in the IMB experiment.