180° Electron Scattering at the S-DALINAC



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Peter von Neumann-Cosel Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt

• The S-DALINAC

- Transverse response with a 180° scattering system
- Examples of neutrino-related research
 - neutral current reactions in supernovae
 - quenching of spin-isospin matrix elements
- Future plans

Inelastic Electron Scattering



Form factors

$$= \left(rac{d\sigma}{d\omega}
ight)_L + \left(rac{d\sigma}{d\omega}
ight)_T$$

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega}\right)_L \propto V_L \times |F_L(\vec{q})|^2 \qquad \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega}\right)_T \propto V_T \times |F_T(\vec{q})|^2$$

- momentum transfer dependence \rightarrow crucial test of models
- spin-isospin response $\rightarrow F_T$
- Experiments
 - S-DALINAC: only accelerator world-wide focused on nuclear structure
 - transverse part can be enhanced \rightarrow 180° scattering
 - close relation between (e,e') and (v,v') cross sections



The S-DALINAC

S-DALINAC







Experiments at the S-DALINAC





Status

- Nuclear resonance fluorescence
 - (e,e') and 180° experiments
 - High-resolution (e,e´) experiments

SFB

- A
- Polarized electron source
- 14 MeV bremsstrahlung
- 100 MeV bremsstrahlung for polarizability of the nucleon



Photon tagger



Why 180° scattering?





Transverse response enhanced by 3 orders of magnitude!



²H(e,e') Reaction at 180°





N. Ryezayeva et al., PRL 100, 172501 (2008)

Relevance for Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis





BBN relevant energy window

Precision test of modern theoretical models (potential model, EFT)



Neutral current reactions in supernovae

M1 Resonance in N = 28 Isotones and Neutrino-Nucleus Cross Sections

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

K. Langanke, G. Martínez-Pinedo, PvNC, A. Richter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 202501 (2004)



Impact on Supernova Neutrino Spectra



K. Langanke et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 011101 (2008)





Quenching of the spinisospin response

Comparison M1/GT Operator



$$T(M1)_{iv} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \sum_{i} \left[\vec{l}_i \vec{t}_{zi} + (g_s^p - g_s^n) \vec{s}_i \vec{t}_{zi} \right] \mu_N$$
$$\mathbf{I}$$
$$T(GT_0) = 2\sum_{i} \left[\vec{s}_i \vec{t}_{zi} \right]$$

- spin part dominates because of anomalous magnetic moments
- isoscalar part weak

Spinflip M1 Transition in ⁴⁸Ca





- Spinflip transition
- Very strong: $B(M1)\uparrow \approx 4 \mu_N^2$
- Test case for quenching

Momentum Transfer Dependence of GT Quenching



J. Menéndez, D. Gazit, A. Schwenk, Phys. Rev. Lett 107, 062501 (2011) 1.21.1 $GT(g_A+2b)/g_A$ 0.9 0.8 0.7150350 50 100200250300 400 p [MeV]

- Difficult (if not impossible) to test with hadronic probes
- Test of selected M1 cases with electron scattering
- Two-body currents differ (vector vs. axialvector coupling)

Impact on 0ββ Decay







M2 Resonance in 180° Electron Scattering



PvNC et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1105 (1999)

TECHNISCHE

UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

M2 Resonance in 180° Electron Scattering





Strong spin/orbit interference

 Quantitative description possible with quenching comparable to M1



Future plans

S-DALINAC in 2016





Study of energy recovery concept

Relation between Spin-M2 and First-Forbidden Matrix Elements



C. Rangacharyulu et al., Phys. Lett. B 135, 29 (1984)



Orbital matrix elements are zero within error bars

180° Experiments



- Systematic study of analog transitions to forbidden decay in light nuclei
 - M2 (first forbidden): ¹⁶O, ^{42,44}Ca
 - M3 (second forbidden): ¹⁰B, ²²Ne
 - M4 (third forbidden): ⁴⁰Ar, ⁴⁰Ca
- Momentum transfer dependence of quenching: ^{40,48}Ca
- I²C (cluster structure), ¹⁴C



Thank you for your attention!

LaBr₃ Ball for (e,e'γ) Experiments





Comparison to Potential Model and TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT **EFT Calculations** DARMSTADT 25 80 ²H(e,e') ²H(e,e') d²ơ / dΩdE [nb / (sr MeV)] d²o / dΩdE [nb / (sr MeV)] 20 E₀ = 27.8 MeV E₀ = 74 MeV 60 $\Theta = 180^{\circ}$ $\Theta = 180^{\circ}$ $= 0.28 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ $= 0.73 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ a a 15 40 10 20 5 Potential model Potential model EFT EFT 0 0 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 3 5 4 6 2 Excitation Energy (MeV) Excitation Energy (MeV)

- Excellent agreement with potential model (H. Arenhövel)
- Deviations to pionless EFT (H. Griesshammer) at higher momentum transfer
- Extrapolation to photon point \rightarrow equivalent ($\gamma d \rightarrow np$) cross sections

Primordial Nucleosynthesis







- Spin dipole: ΔL = 1, ΔS = 1, ΔT =1, J^π = 0⁻, 1⁻, 2⁻
- 0^- states cannot be excited in (e,e') \rightarrow M0 transition
- 1⁻ states \rightarrow transverse E1 strength
- 2^- states \rightarrow M2 strength
- Relation spin M2 / unique first-forbidden operator similar to M1 / GT

M2 Strength: Running sums





Quenching comparable to M1

Peter von Neumann-Cosel | NuInt15 Workshop Osaka, Japan | November 19, 2015