Condensed matter and holography: seductivity and resistance

Koenraad Schalm

Institute Lorentz for Theoretical Physics, Leiden University





Tuesday, June 2, 15



$$J_{exp} = \sigma E_{theor}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \text{ seductivity} \\ \rho &: \text{ resistance} \end{aligned} \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, June 2, 15

Can holography explain experiment?

• Policastro, Son, Starinets (2001)

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

1

• Teaney (2003)



• Quark Matter 2006 (McLerran)

"AdS/CFT MUST be accountable to the same scientific standards as are other computations, or else it is not science."

• Quark Matter 2012 (Wiedemann)

"It is worth recalling that QCD does not fall into the class of field theories with known gravity dual....In the light of this caveat, it is truly remarkable to what extent the AdS/CFT correspondence has offered a framework for understanding central open questions in the phenomenology of heavy ion collisions."





CDW order in underdoped cuprates



"hard" pseudogap at T=0

$$\chi_{\rm osc} \sim \cos \frac{A_F}{B} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-c_n \frac{TA_F}{\mu B}}$$

• Sebastian



• Holography

Hartnoll, Hofman

$$\chi_{\rm osc} \sim \cos \frac{A_F}{B} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-c_n \frac{TA_F}{\mu B} \left(\frac{T}{\mu}\right)^{2\nu - 1}}$$
$$G_F \sim \frac{1}{\omega - v_F k + \omega^{2\nu}}$$

No evidence, so far

Why we can reasonably hope that AdS/CFT is relevant.

AdS/CFT: new insight into strongly coupled systems at finite density:





Quantum Condensed Matter Physics - Lecture Notes

Chetan Nayak

Ι	Preliminaries	1	
Π	Basic Formalism	17	
III ing	Goldstone Modes and Spontaneous Symmetry Break	- 107	
IV	Critical Fluctuations and Phase Transitions	145	
	12 Interacting Neutral Fermions: Fermi Liquid Theory		205
V	Symmetry-Breaking In Fermion Systems	289	
	 17 Superconductivity 17.1 Instabilities of the Fermi Liquid	· · · · ·	303 303 304 306



• AdS/CFT is very non-generic

Quantum Condensed Matter Physics - Lecture Notes

Chetan Nayak

Ι	Preliminaries	1	
Π	Basic Formalism	17	
III ing	Goldstone Modes and Spontaneous Symmetry Break- 10	07	Holographic Superconductor Hartnoll, Herzog, Horowitz
IV	Critical Fluctuations and Phase Transitions 1	145	
	12 Interacting Neutral Fermions: Fermi Liquid Theory		205 <mark>(Quantum) Electron Star</mark> Hartnoll, Tavanfar
V	Symmetry-Breaking In Fermion Systems 2	289	
VI tei	I Localized and Extended Excitations in Dirty Sys- ms	399	Kachru, Karch, Yaida Erdmenger et.al
23	Impurities in Solids 4 23.1 Impurity States 4	401 401	

• Erdmenger: Kondo effect in Holography

Kondo models from gauge/gravity duality

J.E., Hoyos, O'Bannon, Wu 1310.3271, JHEP 1312 (2013) 086

Top-down brane realization

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<i>N</i> D3	Х	Х	Х	Х						
N ₇ D7	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
N_5 D5	Х				Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	

- 3-7 strings: Chiral fermions ψ in 1+1 dimensions
- 3-5 strings: Slave fermions χ in 0+1 dimensions
- 5-7 strings: Scalar (tachyon)



Divergence of Kondo coupling determines Kondo temperature T_K Transition temperature to phase with condensed scalar: T_c $T_c < T_K$



- A Holographic superconductor is novel
 - Non-canonical scaling dimension
 - Emergence from criticality

$$\Delta = \frac{d}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} + m^2 L^2}$$

- Experimental: Order parameter susceptibility
 - Non-canonical scaling dimension
 - Emergence from criticality

$$\chi(\omega) = \mathrm{Im}G_R(\omega) = \langle \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(\omega)\mathcal{O}(0) \rangle$$



 $\Delta = \frac{d}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{\Lambda} + m^2 L^2}$

Why we can reasonably hope that AdS/CFT is relevant.

AdS/CFT: new insight into strongly coupled systems at finite density:



• Emergent scale invariant hyperscaling violating theories

$$ds^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{r^{2}} \left[r^{2\theta/(d-\theta)} dr^{2} - r^{-2d(z-1)/(d-\theta)} dt^{2} + dx^{2} \right] \qquad A_{t} = Q r^{\zeta - z}$$

$$s \sim T^{(d-\theta)/z}$$

Supported by an ordered state

• Experimental signature: Thermoelectric response

$$\sigma = \sigma_{ccs} + \sigma_{relax}$$



Inverse Matthiessen law: two independent sectors

• Hall angle in cuprates

• Theory (e.g. Drude, memory matrix)

$$\sigma \sim au$$
 $heta_H \sim au$

• Holography





 σ_{css} does not contribute to σ_{xy}

$$\sigma = \sigma_{ccs} + \sigma_{relax}$$

$$\sigma_{css} \sim \frac{1}{T}, \ \sigma_{relax} \sim \frac{1}{T^2}$$







Van der Marel et al

- Phillips
 - Mott insulator and doping



ay, May 26, 15

Anomalous conductivity requires anomalous physics

QFT Ward-Identity ${
m Re}\sigma \sim L^{d-2}$

$$\sigma(\omega) \propto K \left(\delta(\omega) - \frac{1}{i\omega^{\alpha}} \right)$$

From metals to insulators

 $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_{DC}}{1 - i\omega\tau}$ Optical conductivity in a holographic CDW: MIT $z^{3-\Delta}\phi(z)$ Ling $\frac{ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}}}{\left[-(1-z)P(z)U(z)dt^{2} + \frac{1}{P(z)(1-z)U(z)}dz^{2} + V_{1}(z)dx^{2} + \frac{1}{P(z)(1-z)U(z)}dz^{2} + V_{1}(z)dx^{2} + \frac{1}{P(z)(1-z)U(z)}dz^{2} + \frac$ 1.2 1.0 8.0 () 8.0 () 8.0 () $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma)$ -0.5 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.2 ω/μ (T,MIT in a holographic Lattice $\Phi = e^{ikx} z^{3-\Delta} \phi(z)$ $S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + 6 - \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \left| \partial \Phi \right|^2 - m^2 \left| \Phi \right|^2 \right]$ $\phi(0) = \lambda$ ar field $T/\mu = 0.001$ $\partial_T \sigma_C^2(\underline{k}, \underline{\lambda}) = 0 (1 - 1.5 \text{ Meta})^2$ Φ: Complex scalar field $\frac{\frac{1T/\mu}{z}}{z(1-z)U(z)}dz^{2}\lambda^{4}/\mu^{3-\Delta}_{1}(z)dx^{2} + V_{2}(z)dy^{4}$ $= 3/2 \pm (9/4 + m^{2})^{1/2} \qquad P(z) = 1 + z + z^{2} - \frac{\mu^{2}z^{3}}{2}$ $G_{ab} = R_{ab} + 3g_{ab} - \dots = 0$ $\sigma_{DC} \overline{\nabla} \lim_{\omega \neq 0} \sigma_{A} = \psi = \mu (1 - Z) \psi^{(1-1)}$ Insulating Phase 0.5 $(\Box - m^2)\Phi = 0$ $m^2 =$ (T,λ, k) 0.0 1 2 3 4 5 $\sigma_{DC} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{V_2}{V_1}} + \frac{\mu^2 a^2 \sqrt{V_1 V_2}}{k^2 \phi^2}\right)$

 $\phi(0) = \lambda$

Tuesday, June 2, 15

Erdmenger, Herwerth, Klug, Meyer, Schalm

Homes' relation in holographic superconductors



$$\omega_1 = dx_1, \qquad \omega_2 + i\omega_3 = e^{ipx_1}(dx_2 + idx_3).$$

$$A^{(0)} = \mu \, dt \,, \qquad B^{(0)} = \lambda \, \omega_2 \,.$$



period p; strength λ



• The ground state is a quantum smectic

$$\sigma_{DC,xx} = 0 , \quad \sigma_{DC,yy} = \infty$$

• An analog of

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

• Homes' relation

 $\rho_s(0) = C\sigma_{DC}(T_c)T_c$





• Homes' relation

 $\rho_s(0) = C\sigma_{DC}(T_c)T_c$

• Naively $ho_s(0) = \sigma_{DC} \cdot \Delta_{gap}$ $\Delta_{gap} = T_c$



Homes' relation

 $\rho_s(0) = C\sigma_{DC}(T_c)T_c$

Standard laws

$$ho_s \sim \omega_{p,s}^2$$
 $\sigma_{DC} \sim \omega_{p,n}^2 \eta$

0

Tanner's law

$$\omega_{p,s}^2\sim\omega_{p,n}^2$$

 $au \sim rac{\hbar}{kT}$ gives $C \sim 1$ Critical scaling

(empirical)

Observed

 $C_{exp} \sim 4.4$

Homes et al

Zaanen

- Canonical holographic superconductor: Two problems
 - Translation invariance



Scale invariant hyperscaling violating sector

not all charge carriers are condensed at finite T

Goldstone $\sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ Geometry

Needed: insulator with broken translation invariance

 $\sim \mathcal{O}(N^2)$

• Donos Hartnoll plus charged scalar

Erdmenger, Herwerth, Klug, Meyer, Schalm

$$S = \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + 12 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} - \frac{m^2}{2} B_{\mu} B^{\mu} \right) - \frac{\kappa}{2} \int B \wedge F \wedge W - |(\partial_{\mu} - 2iqA_{\mu})\eta)|^2$$





 $\kappa = 1/\sqrt{2} \ \& \ q = 10$





Tuesday, June 2, 15

- Testing Homes' relation
 - Careful: never works with weak momentum relaxation

 $\rho_s(0) = C\sigma_{DC}(T_c)T_c$

There is another scale; nothing universal

 $\sigma_{DC} \sim \tau_{relax}(\lambda, p)$

Careful: Bianchi VII model has some peculiarities



• For a certain parameter regime Homes' relation holds





 $\rho_s(0) = C\sigma_{DC}(T_c)T_c$ $C \sim 6.2$

Homes' relation in holographic superconductors



Tuesday, June 2, 15

Conclusion

Homes' relation appears to hold in the regime of strong momentum relaxation (with some caveats)

Holographic evidence for universal physics?

• Increasing E_{theor}

$$J_{exp} = \sigma E_{theor}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: \ \text{seductivity} \\ \rho: \ \text{resistance} \end{aligned} \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

• Finite density holographic matter: Generalization of a Fermi liquid

 $\partial_{\mu}F \sim \mu^{\alpha}$ Compressible $G \sim \frac{1}{\omega - v_F k + \omega^{2\nu}}$ **Exhibits Fermi Surfaces**

Holographic Charge Oscillations

Blake, Donos, Tong



- Finite density holographic matter: Generalization of a Fermi liquid
 - Long range entangled

Huijse, Sachdev, Swingle

$S_{EE} \sim (Lk_F)^{d-1} \ln(Lk_F)$

Regular Fermi Liquid

 $S = Q^{\frac{d-1}{d}} A \ln(Q^{\frac{d-1}{d}} A)$

Holography with
$$heta=d-1$$

- Finite density holographic matter: Generalization of a Fermi liquid
 - Long range entangled

Huijse, Sachdev, Swingle

$$S_{EE} \sim (Lk_F)^{d-1} \ln(Lk_F)$$

Regular Fermi Liquid

 $S = Q^{\frac{d-1}{d}} A \ln(Q^{\frac{d-1}{d}} A)$

Holography with
$$heta=d-1$$



• Ooguri

Radon Transform:

Entropy Inequalities in CFT ⇒ Energy Conditions in Gravity



$$\int_{\underline{\Gamma}} \varepsilon \sqrt{g_{\underline{\Gamma}}} \Rightarrow S(g_1|g_0)$$



Inverse Radon transform:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} - \frac{1}{R^2} \right) S(P_1 | f_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$$

- ☆ Bulk stress tensor near boundary can be diagnosed by boundary entanglement entropy.
- ☆ Entanglement inequalities on the boundary are (integrated) positive energy conditions in the bulk.

Smooth Holographic Dual ⇒ Entropy Inequalities in CFT

19/42 42/42

42/42



For any k and l such that
$$m \ge 2k+l$$

 $\stackrel{m}{\underset{i=1}{\sum}} S(A_i \cdots A_{i+k+l-1}) \ge \stackrel{m}{\underset{i=1}{\sum}} S(A_{i+l} \cdots A_{i+k+l-1})$
 $+ S(A_1 \cdots A_n)$

- Ryu
 - Entanglement is a measure of a SPT



Entanglement spectrum

$$C_{IJ} = \langle \Psi_G | \psi_I^{\dagger} \psi_J | \Psi_G \rangle$$

$$\rho_L = \exp(-H_e) \qquad H_e = \sum_{IJ \in L} \psi_I^{\dagger} K_{IJ} \psi_J$$

$$K = \ln[(1 - C_L)/C_L]$$

$$K = \ln[(1 - C_L)/C_L]$$

$$R_{IJ} = \frac{\langle \Psi_G | \psi_I^{\dagger} \psi_J | \Psi_G \rangle}{\langle H_E | \Psi_E | \Psi_E$$

- Ryu
 - Entanglement is a measure of a SPT



Entanglement spectrum and hidden susy

$$\rho_L = \exp(-H_e) \qquad H_e = \sum_{IJ \in L} \psi_I^{\dagger} K_{IJ} \psi_J$$
$$K = \ln[(1 - C_L)/C_L]$$

 $C_{IJ} = \langle \Psi_G | \psi_I^{\dagger} \psi_J | \Psi_G \rangle$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \hline & C^2 = C & C_L^2 - C_L = -C_{LR}C_{RL}, & & & & & & & \\ & Q_L C_{LR} = -C_{LR}Q_R, & & & & & & \\ & Q_L C_{LR} = -Q_R C_{RL}, & & & & & & \\ & C_{RL}Q_L = -Q_R C_{RL}, & & & & & & \\ & C_R^2 - C_R = -C_{RL}C_{LR}, & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

- Introduce

$$\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - Q_L^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - Q_R^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2C_{LR} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2C_{RL} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- SUSY algebra:

$$\begin{split} [\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q}] &= [\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}] = 0, \\ \{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}\} &= \mathcal{S}, \quad \{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}\} = \{\mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}, \mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}\} = 0. \end{split}$$

• Fang

Weyl semimetal



Tuesday, June 2, 15

- Ryu
 - Entanglement is a measure of a SPT



Entanglement spectrum and hidden susy

$$C_{IJ} = \langle \Psi_{G} | \psi_{I}^{\dagger} \psi_{J} | \Psi_{G} \rangle$$

$$\rho_{L} = \exp(-H_{e}) \qquad H_{e} = \sum_{IJ \in L} \psi_{I}^{\dagger} K_{IJ} \psi_{J}$$

$$K = \ln[(1 - C_{L})/C_{L}]$$

$$Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$(Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress with Gil Young Cho and Andreas Ludwig)$$

$$= (Work in progress$$

• Takayanagi

$$|\Phi(\Sigma)\rangle \in H_M$$

A pure state



122/. unchangiou state in icai space

$$\rightarrow S_A = 0$$
 for any A.

• Takayanagi
$$|\Psi_{\alpha}(\rho,\phi)\rangle_{CFT}$$
 $|\Psi_{m}(t=0)\rangle = |\Omega\rangle = |B\rangle.$
 $|\Psi_{m}(t=0)\rangle = |\Omega\rangle = |B\rangle.$
 $|\Psi_{m}(t=0)\rangle = |\Omega\rangle = |B\rangle.$
 $|\Psi_{m}(t=0)\rangle = |\Omega\rangle = |B\rangle.$
State at scale u $|\Psi_{\alpha}(\rho,\phi)\rangle_{CFT} \approx g(\rho,\psi)$ at $e^{\frac{\pi}{2}i(L\sum_{u}\tilde{L}_{0})} \Rightarrow |Q\rangle_{u} \approx e^{-H/m} \cdot |B\rangle$.
State at scale u $|\Psi_{\alpha}(\rho,\phi)\rangle_{CFT} \approx g(\rho,\psi)$ at $e^{\frac{\pi}{2}i(L\sum_{u}\tilde{L}_{0})} \Rightarrow |Q\rangle_{u} \Rightarrow |Q\rangle_{u} \Rightarrow |Q\rangle_{u}$.

$$|\Omega\rangle$$
: unentangled st $1 - |\langle \Phi(u) | \Phi(u + du) \rangle| = (du)^2 \cdot G_{uu}^{(B)}$

 $\Rightarrow S_A = 0 \text{ for any } A.$ Applied to AdS/CFT $|\Phi(u)\rangle$

$$ds^{2} = \frac{c}{\varepsilon^{3}} (d\rho^{2} + \sinh^{2} \rho d\phi^{2}).$$

$$\approx c^{2} \quad (as in AdS/CFT) \text{ if } \varepsilon \approx c^{-1/3}$$

• Takayanagi

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{m}(t=0)\rangle &= |\Omega\rangle = |B\rangle.\\ |\Psi_{m}(t=0)\rangle &= |\Omega\rangle = |B\rangle.\\ \\ |\Psi_{m}(t=0)\rangle &= |D\rangle = |D\rangle.\\ \\ |\Psi_{m}(t=0)\rangle &= |D\rangle.$$

$$|\Omega\rangle$$
: unentangled st $1 - |\langle \Phi(u) | \Phi(u + du) \rangle| = (du)^2 \cdot G_{uu}^{(B)}$
 $\rightarrow S_A = 0$ for any A. $|\Phi(u)\rangle$

Quantum entanglement represents a geometry of quantum state in many-body system $\left|\partial_u H(u) | 0 \right|^2$ $1 - \left| \left\langle \Phi(u) | \Phi(u+du) \right\rangle \right| = (du)^2 \cdot \sum_k \frac{\left|\partial_u H(u) | 0 \right\rangle|^2}{\left(\Delta E_k\right)^2}.$

• This gravity/entanglement duality looks more general than AdS/CFT and even than holography.





• Trivedi

$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hilbert space of states does not} \\ \mbox{admit a tensor product} \\ \mbox{decomposition between} \quad \mathcal{H}_{in}, \mathcal{H}_{out} \end{array}$



$$\rho = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \rho^{\mathbf{k}}$$
$$\rho^{\mathbf{k}} = Tr_{\mathcal{H}_{ginv,out}}^{\mathbf{k}} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$$

$$S_{EE} = -\sum_{\mathbf{k}} Tr_{\mathcal{H}_{ginv,in}^{\mathbf{k}}} \rho^{\mathbf{k}} \log(\rho^{\mathbf{k}})$$

k sums all possible flux configurations across the surface

Can be readily extended to (non)-Abelian gauge theories

• Visser



Key point:

- Event horizons are not physically observable...
- Apparent/trapping horizons are physically observable...

• More seduction

$$J_{exp} = \sigma E_{theor}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: \ \text{seductivity} \\ \rho: \ \text{resistance} \end{aligned} \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

Holography for non-equilibrium physics

AdS/CFT has a unique ability to compute real-time physics (at finite temperature/density)

- Real-time dynamics
- Full non-equilibrium and transition to hydro
- Strongly coupled systems, especially critical theories

New organizing principles out of equilibrium

Tuesday, June 2, 15

- Takahashi
 - Superfluid-Insulator transition





Bloch et al



Schmiedmayer et al

1111111111





• Phase quenches in a BEC

Schmiedmayer et al









Schmiedmayer et al







• Das

- slow (Kibble-Zurek) vs instantaneous (Calabrese Cardy) quench
- fast quench has universal scaling

$$\frac{\delta t \ll \lambda_{o}^{-\frac{1}{d-\Delta}}}{\delta t}$$

$$\langle O_{s} \rangle_{ren} \sim (8t)^{d-2\Delta}$$

 $\langle E \rangle_{ren} \sim (8t)^{d-2\Delta}$

First obtained in holography, now proven for general theories

$$\Lambda_{uv}^{-l} \ll \delta t \ll (\delta \Lambda)^{-d-\Lambda}$$

Universal scaling regime should be detectable in correlation functions

- Craps
 - BH instabilities in AdS



For initial conditions $\phi, \dot{\phi} \sim \epsilon$, time scale for collapse $\sim 1/\epsilon^2$

- Secular terms invalidate perturbation expansion
- Resummation leads to a controlled analytic framework
- Answer the question of BH formation (or not!)

Bhaseen





 $\frac{d+1}{2}$

Universal non-equilibrium steady state

$$\langle T^{tx} \rangle = a_d \left(\frac{T_L^{d+1} - T_{d+1}^R}{u_L + u_R} \right) \qquad \chi = \left(\frac{T_L}{T_R} \right)$$

$$T_{ss} = \sqrt{T_L T_R} \qquad u_L = \frac{1}{d} \sqrt{\frac{\chi + d}{\chi + d^{-1}}} \qquad u_R = \sqrt{\frac{\chi + d^{-1}}{\chi + d}} \qquad \eta_{ss} = \frac{\chi - 1}{\sqrt{(\chi + d^{-1})(\chi + d)}}$$

• Separated by non-linear sound waves with speeds u_L , u_R

Bhaseen





Universal Fluctuations

$$\langle J^{n+1} \rangle = \left. \frac{d^n}{d\mu^n} \left. J(\beta_L - \mu, \beta_R + \mu) \right|_{\mu=0}$$

Universal results in far from equilibrium =
$$\frac{\bar{F}^z V}{k_{\rm B}T}$$

• Sasa



Application to Stokes I aw



Tuesday, June 2, 15

Witczak-Krempa

- * **Corner** entanglement is a useful measure of dof
- * In **smooth limit**, fixed by $T_{\mu\nu}$ central charge

$$a(\theta \to \pi) = \sigma \ (\theta - \pi)^2$$

$$\sigma = \frac{\pi^2}{24} C_T$$

 Does pure Einstein holography give a **lower bound** for $a(\theta)/C_T$?



FIG. 1: a) An entangling region V of size ℓ with a corner; b) The holographic entangling surface γ for a region on the boundary of AdS_4 with a corner.



0.5

1.0

-0.50.0

Re $\omega/2\pi T$

-1.0

- ✤ Quantum critical dynamics (CFTs) in 2+1D
- ✤ OPE to constrain *short time* physics
 - large ω conductivity $\sigma(i\omega_n) \stackrel{\omega_n \gg T}{=} \sigma_\infty + b_1 \left(\frac{T}{\omega_n}\right)^{\Delta} + b_2 \left(\frac{T}{\omega_n}\right)^3 + b_2 \left(\frac{T}{\omega_n}\right)^{\Delta} + b$
- Input OPE data of CFT into simple holographic ansatz
- Can match Monte Carlo data of O(2) CFT w/out unphysical tweaks

0.420.400.500.400.380.36

0.36

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.60\\ 0.55\\ 0.55\\ 0.40\\ 0.45\\ 0.35\\$

0.30Ŀ

ō

10

6

 $\omega/2\pi T$

 $\omega_n/2\pi T$

(a)

$$J_{exp} = \sigma E_{theor}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \text{ seductivity} \\ \rho &: \text{ resistance} \end{aligned} \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, June 2, 15

• Physics Today 2013 (Anderson)

"As a very general problem with the AdS/CFT approach in condensed-matter theory, we can point to those telltale initials "CFT"—conformal field theory. Condensed-matter problems are, in general, neither relativistic nor conformal. Near a quantum critical point, both time and space may be scaling, but even there we still have a preferred coordinate system and, usually, a lattice. There is some evidence of other linear-T phases to the left of the strange metal about which they are welcome to speculate, but again in this case the condensedmatter problem is overdetermined by experimental facts."

0. Linear-in-T resistivity

- I. Power law in AC conductivity
- 2. Hall angle vs conductivity scaling
- 3. Inverse Matthiessen law
- 4. Lots of power law scaling

• Physics Today 2013 (Anderson)

"[Where AdS/CFT fails]"

0. Linear-in-T resistivity

I. Power law in AC conductivity

2. Hall angle vs conductivity scaling

3. Inverse Matthiessen law

4. Lots of power law scaling

- IPMU 2015 (you)
 - 5. Holographic states as an extension of topological states: Strange metals, black holes, long range entanglement
 - 6. Holography: a unique window on non-equilibrium physics

 $\sigma = \sigma_{ccs} + \sigma_{relax}$

Thank you

and especially the organizers: Rene Meyer, Shin Nakamura, Hirosi Ooguri, Masaki Oshikawa, Masahito Yamazaki, Hongbao Zhang