2 TeV Walking Technirho at LHC?

Hidenori S. Fukano (KMI, Nagoya)

Based on

arXiv:1506.03751, H.S.F, M.Kurachi, S.Matsuzaki, K.Terashi and K.Yamawaki arXiv:1507.03428, H.S.F, S.Matsuzaki and K.Yamawaki

@IPMU/Durham/KIAS workshop "New Particle Searches Confronting the first LHC Run-2 Data"

08.Sep.2015

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

<u>1. Background and Introduction</u> 8-pages

2. Conformal Barrier 2-pages

3. Phenomenologies 7-pages

<u>4.</u>	Summar	/ 1	-pag	<u>e</u>

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

IPMU LHC workshop

<u>1. Background and Introduction (1/8) : Diboson-excess @ ATLAS</u>

The ATLAS collaboration has reported an excess in full hadronic channel.

Narrow resonance decaying into WW/WZ/ZZ

 $M \simeq 2 \,\mathrm{TeV}\,, \quad \Gamma \lesssim 100 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

10' 10⁴ Events / 100 GeV Events / 100 GeV Events / 100 GeV - Data ATLAS - Data ATLAS ATLAS Data Background model 10³ -√s = 8 TeV, 20.3 fb⁻¹ Background model √s = 8 TeV, 20.3 fb⁻¹ <u>-</u>√s = 8 TeV, 20.3 fb⁻¹ Background model 10³ 10³ 1.5 TeV EGM W', c = 1 ----- 1.5 TeV Bulk G_{BS} , k/ \overline{M}_{Pl} = 1 ----- 1.5 TeV Bulk G_{BS} , k/ \overline{M}_{PI} = 1 2.0 TeV EGM W', c = 1 2.0 TeV Bulk G_{BS}, k/M_{PI} = 1 2.0 TeV Bulk G_{RS} , k/ \overline{M}_{PI} = 1 10² 2.5 TeV EGM W', c = 1 Significance (stat) Significance (stat) Significance (stat) 10² Significance (stat + syst) Significance (stat + syst) Significance (stat + syst) 10 WW Selection WZ Selection **ZZ** Selection 10⊨ 10-10 10^{-2} 10-2 10⁻¹ 10⁻³ 10 Significance Significance 3 Significance 3 2 -1 -2 2.5 2.5 2.5 3.5 3.5 1.5 3 3 3.5 1.5 2 3 1.5 2 m_{ii} [TeV] m_{ii} [TeV] m_{jj} [TeV]

~3 sigma excesses

2TeV TCRho

IPMU LHC workshop

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

arXiv: 1506.00962

<u>1. Background and Introduction (2/8) : Diboson results (hadronic)</u>

Diboson resonance in full hadronic channel has been searched at the CMS.

However

"No significant excess has been observed "



H.S.Fukano(KMI)

IPMU LHC workshop

1. Background and Introduction (3/8) : Diboson results

No significant excess has been observed

in leptonic channel.



<u>1. Background and Introduction (4/8) : Can we explain it?</u>

Q:

Can we explain ATLAS diboson excess without conflicting with other diboson results?

A: YES we can do it.

I) Spin-0 massive resonance

arXiv: 1507.02483[Chiang et.al.], 1507.03098 [Cacciapaglia et.al.],

My talk

II) Spin-1 massive resonance

Many papers including our works arXiv:1506.03751,1507.03428

III) Spin-2 massive resonance

arXiv: 1507.06312[Kim et.al.],

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

IPMU LHC workshop

<u>1. Background and Introduction (5/8) :Spin-1 candidates</u>

In the market,

a high mass spin-1 resonance is described

I) based on an elementary scenario e.g. SU(2)L x SU(2)R x U(1) model

1506.06736 [Dobrescu et.al.], 1507.01185 [Abe et.al.], 1507.01681 [Abe et.al.], ...

II) based on a composite scenario

My talk

- I. Walking Technicolor 1506.03751 [Fukano et.al.], 1507.03428 [Fukano et.al.]
- II. SU(2) triplet model

1506.04392 [Franzosi et.al.], 1506.08688 [Thamm et.al.]

III. Composite Higgs model

1507.01914 [Carmona et.al.], 1507.07557 [Low et.al.]

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

IPMU LHC workshop

<u>1. Background and Introduction (6/8) : Vector meson in my talk</u>

1506.03751 and 1507.03428

"2 TeV diboson resonance" in my talk

= Vector meson generated not by technicolor(=QCD-like dynamics) but by

Walking technicolor (=near conformal dynamics)

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

<u>1. Background and Introduction (7/8) : walking technicolor</u>

Walking Technicolor (WTC) Bando et.al. (1986);Akiba et.al.(1986); Appelquist et.al.(1986)

(i) gives dynamical explanation for origin of mass and EWSB by technifermion condensation $\langle\bar{F}F\rangle\neq 0$

(ii) is based on the almost scale invariant (="walking")

(iii) is realized by SU(4) gauge theory with 8 flavors

Matsuzaki et.al. (2012,2013)

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

1. Background and Introduction (8/8) : Walking TC hadrons

2. Conformal Barrier (1/2) : TC dilaton + vector mesons

The low-lying spectra are described by scale invariant Hidden Local Symmetry (sHLS). arXiv:1506.03751,1507.03428

After integrating out heavy Techni-Pions and taking Unitary gauge, the sHLS Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{sHLS} = \mathcal{L}_{kin}(V_{\mu}^{a}, W_{\mu}^{a}) + F_{\pi}^{2}\left(1 + \frac{2\phi}{F_{\phi}}\right) \left[(W_{\mu}^{a})^{2} + a \cdot (W_{\mu}^{a} - V_{\mu}^{a})^{2}\right] + \cdots$$
scale symmetry is
realized nonlinearly
mass diagonalization

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm sHLS} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}(\tilde{V}^a_{\mu}, \tilde{W}^a_{\mu}) + F^2_{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{2\phi}{F_{\phi}}\right) \left[(\tilde{W}^a_{\mu})^2 + a \cdot (\tilde{V}^a_{\mu})^2 \right] + \cdots$$

No off-diagonal coupling to dilaton !!

arXiv:1507.03428

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

2. Conformal Barrier (2/2): Features of walking TC-rho

The vector meson (= walking TC-rho) has a distinct nature:

No off-diagonal coupling to dilation (=Higgs) due to scale/conformal invariance $g[\tilde{\rho}^{\mp}_{\Pi} - \tilde{W}^{\pm} - \phi] = 0, \quad g[\tilde{\rho}^{0}_{\Pi} - \tilde{Z} - \phi] = 0$

"Conformal Barrier"

"Conformal Barrier" means

 $\operatorname{Br}(\rho^{\pm} \to W^{\pm}Z) \simeq 100\%, \quad \operatorname{Br}(\rho^{0} \to W^{\pm}W^{\mp}) \simeq 100\%$

in sharp contrast to other vector resonance model where $Br(W' \to W^{\pm}Z) \simeq Br(W' \to Wh) \simeq 50\%$

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

3. Phenomenologies (1/7): Possible decay mode of TC-rho

Thanks to "Conformal Barrier",

decay channels of TC-rho meson are divided into two types:

3. Phenomenologies (2/7): Total width of TC-rho

ATLAS results imply narrow width resonance with $~\Gamma \lesssim 100 \, {
m GeV}$

Width is suppressed in **1FMWTC** compared with scale-up QCD:

<u>3. Phenomenologies (3/7): Diboson channel @ 8TeV</u>

Cross section: $\sigma_{\rm DY}(pp \to \tilde{\rho} \to VV)$ @ 8TeV for $g_{\rho\pi\pi} = 4$:

Thanks to "Conformal Barrier",

 $\sigma(pp \to \tilde{\rho} \to VV) \simeq 10 - 20 [\text{fb}] \text{ for } M_{\tilde{\rho}^0} = M_{\tilde{\rho}^{\pm}} = 2 \,\text{TeV}$

Charged TC-rho

Neutral TC-rho

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

3. Phenomenologies (4/7): Other vector particle search results

$$\begin{split} & \sigma_{WZ(3l\nu)}^{\text{ATLAS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 22 , \qquad \sigma_{WZ(3l\nu)}^{\text{CMS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 19 , \\ & \sigma_{WZ(2lJ)}^{\text{ATLAS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 20 , \qquad \sigma_{WZ(2lJ)}^{\text{CMS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 27 , \\ & \sigma_{WZ(l\nu J)}^{\text{ATLAS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 9.5 , \qquad \sigma_{WZ(l\nu J)}^{\text{CMS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 13 \\ & \text{Most stringent diboson-constraint} \qquad \sigma_{WZ(JJ)}^{\text{CMS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 12 , \\ & \sigma_{l\nu}^{\text{ATLAS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 0.41 , \qquad \sigma_{l\nu}^{\text{CMS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 0.42 , \\ & \sigma_{2l}^{\text{ATLAS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 0.24 , \qquad \sigma_{2l}^{\text{CMS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 0.25 , \\ & \sigma_{2j}^{\text{ATLAS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 130 , \qquad \sigma_{2j(qq)}^{\text{CMS}}[\text{fb}] \leq 58 \end{split}$$

Most stringent difermion-constraints

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

3. Phenomenologies (5/7):Coupling constraints from other results

3. Phenomenologies (6/7): Collider simulation

Signal event generation

arXiv:1506.03751

for $pp \to \tilde{\rho} \to VV \to JJ$ (J=CA12 jet):

- FeynRules2 + Madgraph5
- * Pythia8
- * FastJet3
- * smearing for jet momentum/energy/mass to account for migration due to ATLAS detector resolution

Event selection following ATLAS analysis:

Cut 1: $p_{T_{1,2}} \ge 540 \text{ GeV}, |\eta_{1,2}| \le 2 \text{ and } |y_1 - y_2| \le 1.2,$

Cut 2: $(p_{T_1} - p_{T_2})/(p_{T_1} + p_{T_2}) \le 0.15$,

Cut 3: $\sqrt{y} \ge 0.45$, $n_{trk} < 30$, $|m_j - m_V| \le 13.0 \text{ GeV}$ where $m_W = 82.4 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_Z = 92.8 \text{ GeV}$,

arXiv:1506.03751

GOOD EXPLANATION !!

#@peak	TC-rho	ATLAS
WZ	~6	~3-8
ww	~5	~3-6
ZZ	~3	~2-3

3. Phenomenologies (7/7): Results

IPMU LHC workshop

2TeV TCRho

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

<u>4.Summary</u>

- Section ATLAS diboson excess may be a hint for BSM
- ATLAS excess around 2TeV in full hadronic channel
 - = Vector meson based on 1-Family Walking technicolor

 $N_{f} = 8$

Narrow width is realized thanks to **1FMWTC**

$\Gamma \lesssim 100 \, {\rm GeV}$

Large cross section is realized thanks to Conformal Barrier (no decay into H + W/Z)

 $\sigma(pp \to \tilde{\rho} \to VV) \simeq 10 - 20 [\text{fb}]$

More studies on LHC signatures of TC-rhos are on-going
Thank you very much

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

21 /20

Backup slides

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

Symmetry breaking in sHLS

The sHLS are nonlinear realization for

arXiv:1506.03751,1507.03428

- I. scale invariance
- II. chiral symmetry

Techni-dilaton, Techni-pion and Techni-vector mesons

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho

TC-rhos from 1FMWTC

		SU(3) color	SU(2) EW
ATLAS diboson	$ ho^i$	singlet	triplet
No couple to W/Z	$ ho_P^i$	singlet	triplet
Weak couple to W/Z	$ ho_P^0$	singlet	singlet
No couple to	$\overline{ ho^i_{ heta_a}}$	octet	triplet
W/Z	$\rho^0_{\theta_a}$	octet	singlet
No couple to	$ ho_{T_c}^i, ar{ ho}_{T_c}^i$	triplet	triplet
W/Z	$\rho^0_{T_c}, \bar{\rho}^0_{T_c}$	triplet	singlet

$$M_{\rho} = 1 - 4 \,\mathrm{TeV}$$

H.S.Fukano(KMI)

2TeV TCRho