# Water Cherenkov detector and Neutrino Physics

Neutrino detection
Neutrino experiment
Solar neutrinos
Supernova neutrinos
Atmospheric neutrinos
Future

Yusuke Koshio Okayama University NuSTEC school, 10th Nov., 2015

# Supernova neutrino

# **SN1987A**

#### at 50kpc, $\nu$ 's seen ~2.5 hours before first light

#### Large Magellanic Cloud SN1987A





at 50kpc,  $\nu$ 's seen ~2.5 hours before first light



#### Kamiokande (1983-1995)

#### kamioka mine (2700mwe)



3000トン水タンク、約1000本の光電子増倍管





8-14, Nov. 2015

NuSTEC school

 $\overline{\nu}_{e} + p \rightarrow e^{+} + n$  (CC)



**Realtime detector** 

- •Date : 23 Feb. 1987
- •Time: 07:35:35 (UT)
- •11 events in 13 sec.

Energy is determined by the number of hit PMTs for which the residual time (T-Tof) is ± 15nsec

Trigger if 20 hits within 100 nsec ~ 7.5 MeV (@50% eff.)



NuSTEC school

#### IMB (Irvine-Michigan-Brookhaven)





		Smith		Sinclair Learned	Einstein	LoSeco	
			Wuest Si				Cortez
8-14, Nov. 2015	Bratton	Sobel	Vander Velde	Goldhaber	Reines	Sulak	

#### IMB (1979-1989)

#### Morton salt mine in Mentor, Ohio, USA (1570mwe)

(close to the Lake Erie)

#### 8 kton water (3.3kton F.V.) with 2048 8' PMTs





#### SN1987A in IMB



#### **Realtime detector**

- •Date : 23 Feb. 1987
- •Time : 07:35:41 (UT) •8 events in 6 sec.

Energy is determined by the number of hit PMTs for which the residual time is within 50nsec

Trigger if 25 hits within 50 nsec ~ 35 MeV (@50% eff.)

#### Baksan underground scintillator telescope

#### (2700mwe)



サマラ Самара

Baksan underground scintillator telescope (1978~)





3156 tanks filled with liquid scintillator and one 15cm PMT Total target mass : 330 ton Detection eff. 10MeV @ 50%

Baksan underground scintillator telescope (1978~)





Realtime detector

- •Date : 23 Feb. 1987
- •Time: 07:36:11 (UT)
- •5 events in 9 sec.

8-14, Nov. 2015

NuSTEC school

# Soviet-Italian LSD (Liquid Scintillation Detector) under Mont Blanc (5200mwq, 1985~1999)

72 scintillation counters (1.5m<sup>3</sup>, 1.2ton) with three PMTs



Total target mass : 90 tons

Energy threshold > 5MeV

Realtime detector

- •Date : 23 Feb. 1987
- •Time : 02:52:32 (UT)
- •5 events in 7 sec.

(7~11MeV, one has delayed coincidence)

#### LSD vs Others ?

Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 1989, 27 : 629-700

Our reasons for this belief are as follows: (a) No neutrino events (which were clearly different from background) were observed in the much larger Kamiokande II and IMB detectors at the earlier time reported by the scintillator detectors [(55, 179) and especially (180)]. The number of free protons in the Mont Blanc telescope  $(0.08 \times 10^{32})$  is more than an order of magnitude less than in the Kamiokande II detector ( $1.4 \times 10^{32}$  protons) and the IMB detector  $(4.5 \times 10^{32} \text{ protons})$ . (b) The expected number of events in the Mont Blanc detector for a standard stellar collapse (see Table 3) is only  $\sim 1$  event, assuming a 100% detection efficiency (40). The satisfactory agreement between the a priori model predictions and the observations made with the Kamiokande II and IMB detectors strengthens this argument. (c) The reported events have energies that are close to the threshold energy for the detection, which is between 5 and 7 MeV [depending upon which counters were excited; see (2)]. The measured energies are (in MeV) 7, 8, 11, 7, and 9. Theoretically, one expects a greater spread in energy, since the absorption cross section increases with the square of the neutrino energy for charged-current absorption, and the numerical models predict an average antineutrino energy of more than 10 MeV. (d) No plausible astrophysical scenario has been suggested for two distinct neutrino bursts [cf. (126)]. (e) It is difficult to obtain a satisfactory light curve for the visual supernova if the earlier time indicated by the scintillation experiments is adopted as the time at which the star collapsed [cf. (22-25,353) and Figure 1].

NuSTEC school



# Angular distribution $\nu_{e}$ event ?



NuSTEC school

### Neutrinos from supernova burst



#### <u>What we can learn</u>

- ✓ Core collapse physics
  - explosion mechanism
  - proto-neutron star cooling
  - black hole formation
  - etc..
- ✓Neutrino physics
  - neutrino oscillation
  - etc..

#### Measurements of neutrino flavor, energy, time profile are the key points

### Neutrinos from supernova burst

#### What we want for a detector

- $\checkmark$  Massive target
  - Now : O(kton), sensitive for galactic center
  - Future : O(Mton), sensitive for ~Mpc(?)
- ✓ Low background rate ~MeV energy region
  - Easy for underground detector
- $\checkmark$  Precise timing measurement
- $\checkmark$  Good energy resolution
  - Energy spectrum measurement is crucial for all the physics
- $\checkmark$  Measurable for direction, if possible
- ✓ Neutrino flavor sensitivity
  - Use specific neutrino interactions

### Underground facilities for SN $\boldsymbol{\nu}$



# Neutrino interaction for supernova neutrino detection



#### **Inverse beta decay**

 $\overline{\nu}_{e} + p \rightarrow e^{+} + n$  (Charged Current interaction)

- $\checkmark$  Dominates for detectors with lots of free proton
  - Detect positron signal in water, scintillator, etc.
- $\checkmark \overline{\nu_e}$  sensitive
- $\checkmark$  Obtain the neutrino energy from the positron energy
  - $E_e \sim E_v (m_n m_p), E_v > 1.86 MeV$
- $\checkmark$  Well known cross section
- $\checkmark$  Poor directionality
- $\checkmark$  Neutron tagging using delayed coincidence
  - n + p  $\rightarrow$  d +  $\gamma$

#### **Inverse beta decay**

 $\overline{\nu}_{e}$  + p  $\rightarrow$  e<sup>+</sup> + n

- $\checkmark$  Dominates for detectors v
  - Detect positron signal in w
- $\checkmark \overline{v_e}$  sensitive
- $\checkmark$  Obtain the neutrino energ
  - $E_e \sim E_v (m_n m_p), E_v > 1.$
- ✓ Well known cross section
- $\checkmark$  Poor directionality
- $\checkmark$  Neutron tagging using de

• n + p  $\rightarrow$  d +  $\gamma$ 

Strumia, Vissani Phys. Lett. B564 (2003) 42





NuSTEC school

#### Inverse beta decay

 $\overline{\nu}_{e} + p \rightarrow e^{+} + n$ 

- $\checkmark$  Dominates for detectors with
  - Detect positron signal in water,
- $\checkmark \overline{v_e}$  sensitive
- $\checkmark$  Obtain the neutrino energy fr
  - $E_e \sim E_v (m_n m_p), E_v > 1.86 MeV$
- ✓ Well known cross section
- $\checkmark$  Poor directionality
- ✓ Neutron tagging using delayed coincidence
  - n + p  $\rightarrow$  d +  $\gamma$



Possible to enhance this signal if Gd loaded  $(\rightarrow M.Ikeda's \text{ presentation})$ 

#### **Elastic scattering**

 $\nu_{e,x} + e^{-} \rightarrow \nu_{e,x} + e^{-}$ 

(Both Charged Current and Neutral Current interaction)

✓ All neutrinos are sensitive  $\checkmark$  The cross section for  $v_e$  is larger than others because of CC effect.  $\checkmark$  Well known cross section. few % of inverse beta decay ✓ Good directionality  $\checkmark$  Measurable for only recoil electron energy, not neutrino energy NuSTEC school



#### **Elastic scattering**

 $\nu_{e,x} + e^{-} \rightarrow \nu_{e,x} + e^{-}$ 

(Both Charged Current and Neutral Current interaction)

✓ All neutrinos are sensitive  $\frac{10^{-2}}{10^{-2}}$ ✓ The cross section for  $v_e$  is larger than others because of CC effect.  $10^{-3}$ 

✓ Well known cross section.

- few % of inverse beta decay
- ✓ Good directionality
- ✓ Measurable for only recoil electron energy, not neutrino energy



#### **Elastic scattering**

 $\nu_{e,x} + e^{-} \rightarrow \nu_{e,x} + e^{-}$ 

(Both Charged Current and Neutral Current interaction)

✓ All neutrinos are sensitive
✓ The cross section for v<sub>e</sub> is larger than others because of CC effect.
✓ Well known cross section.
• few % of inverse beta decay
✓ Good directionality
✓ Measurable for only recoil electron energy, not neutrino energy

Water Cherenkov

0.1

0\_1

-0.5



0

#### Elastic scattering

 $\mathcal{V}_{e,x} + e^{-} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{e,x} + e^{-}$ 

(Both Charged Current and Neutral Current interaction)

 $\checkmark$  All neutrinos are sensitive  $\checkmark$  The cross section for  $v_e$  is larger than others because of CC effect.  $\checkmark$  Well known cross section. few % of inverse beta decay ✓ Good directionality Measurable for only recoil electron energy, not neutrino energy 8-14, Nov. 2015



#### **CC interactions on nuclei**

 $v_e + n \rightarrow p + e^-$ :  $v_e + (N,Z) \rightarrow (N-1, Z+1) + e^ \overline{v_e} + p \rightarrow n + e^+$ :  $\overline{v_e} + (N,Z) \rightarrow (N+1, Z-1) + e^+$ 



#### ✓ Observables:

- charged lepton e<sup>+/-</sup>
- possibly ejected nucleons
- possibly nuclear γ's

(for example)

oxygen in water  $\nu_{e} + {}^{16,18}O \rightarrow {}^{16,18}F + e^{-}$  $\overline{\nu_{e}} + {}^{16}O \rightarrow {}^{16}N + e^{+}$ 

#### carbon in scintillator

$$\frac{\nu_{e}}{\nu_{e}} + {}^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{12}N + e^{-}$$
$$\frac{\nu_{e}}{\nu_{e}} + {}^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{12}B + e^{+}$$

#### **CC interactions on nuclei**



This signal is small portion, but may access neutrino oscillation effect

NuSTEC school







# Supernova neutrino detectors



on the solar Figure 33 obtained fro



8-14. Nov. 2015

#### Super-Kamiokande

 ✓ v-e elastic scattering has good directionality.
✓ Direction of supernova can be determined with an accuracy of 4~5 degree.
✓ Spectrum of ve events can be statistically extracted using the direction to supernova.

✓ If Gd loaded, it will be more accurate since  $v_e$  signal can be separated. (later)

#### Simulation of angular distribution



#### **Super-Kamiokande**

#### Time variation of $\overline{\nu_e}$ +p at 10kpc



NuSTEC school

#### **IceCUBE**

#### **Giga-ton detector**



~km long string Water Cherenkov detector at the South Pole

✓ Nominally multi-GeV energy threshold, r but can see burst of low energy  $v_e$ 's as increase in single PMT count rates.



detect correlated rate increase on top of PMT noise



#### IceCUBE

#### Giga-ton detector



~km long string Water Cherenkov detector at the South Pole

✓ Nominally multi-GeV energy threshold, but can see burst of low energy  $\overline{v_e}$ 's as increase in single PMT count rates. Cannot tag flavor, or other interaction info., overall rate and fine time structure.



8-14. Nov. 2015

# Atmospheric neutrino

### Atmospheric neutrino



 $10^{1}$ 

 $\overline{v}_{1}$  (x1.5)

### Atmospheric neutrino



### Atmospheric neutring in teraction

Ś

donserve the original neutrino flavor

10

12

14

92

to dentify tau in SK)

8

#### Neutrino interactions

- (quasi-)elastic scattering : v + N -
- single meson production :  $v + N \rightarrow I + N' + mesor$
- deep inelastic interaction :  $v + N \rightarrow I + N' + h_a^{0}h_a^{4}$  rons
- coherent pion production : v + <sup>16</sup>O  $\rightarrow$  I + <sup>16</sup>O  $^{2}$ + $\pi$

#### Total cross section of quasi-elastic scattering

2



### Solar neutrino measurement in SK



### Super-Kamiokande



NuSTEC school

### Event pattern (T2K)

#### Examples of far detector events



 $P_e = 690 \text{ MeV/c } 0 \text{ decay-e}$ 

<u>Super-K has excellent particle ID</u> These events are split into three selected streams:  $v_{\mu}$ ,  $v_{e}$  and low energy events.  $P_u = 953 \text{ MeV/c} 1 \text{ decay-e}$ 

 $\pi^0$  candidate. M<sub>inv</sub>=104 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>



NuSTEC school



**Event topologies** 

V





NuSTEC school



10

10

#### Tau appearance?



#### Searching for three-flavor effects



#### Earth matter effect

	neutrino	anti-neutrino
normal	enhanced	suppressed
inverted	suppressed	enhanced

NuSTEC school

In future

# Super-Kamiokande with Gadolinium

### SuperK-Gd



### Supernova Relic Neutrinos



### Expected signal



# Hyper-Kamiokande

### Neutrino oscillation parameters



### J-PARC and Hyper-Kamiokande



8-14, Nov. 20-

NUOTEO SCHOOL

### Hyper-Kamiokande

#### Water Cherenkov detector

Cavity (Lining

Electrical Machinery Room

Access Tunne



99,000 20" PMT for inner (20% coverage) 25,000 8" PMT for outer



• Signal (CCQE):

 $u_l + N \rightarrow l + N'$   $\checkmark$  Appears as single µ/e-like event. Excellent particle ID capability > 99%.  $\checkmark$  E<sup>rec</sup><sub>v</sub> can be reconstructed by energy and direction of ring relative to beam.

#### • Backgrounds:

 $\checkmark \pi 0$  : ring counting, 2-ring invariant mass  $\checkmark \mu/\pi$  : ring counting, decay electron  $\checkmark$  intrinsic v<sub>e</sub> present in beam

#### Technique is established

### Notional timeline



- -2015 Full survey, Detailed design (3 years)
- -2018 Excavation start (7 years)
- -2025 Start operation

### Measurement of CP asymmetry

 $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$  Appearance probability w/ J-PARC and HK neutrino anti-neutrino 0.1 0.1 L=295km,  $sin^2 2\theta_{13}=0.1$  $\delta_{CP}$  effect 0.08 0.08  $---\delta = 0$  $\frac{\delta}{\delta} = 0$  $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$  $\delta = 1/2\pi$ 0.06 □ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ 0.04  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \delta = 1/2\pi$ 0.06  $\frac{1}{\delta} = \pi$  $\frac{\delta}{\delta} = \pi$  $\delta = -1/2\pi$  $\ldots \delta = -1/2\pi$ 0.04 0.02 0.02 2  $E_{v}$  (GeV)  $E_{v}$  (GeV)

Direct CPV test by comparing between  $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$  and  $P(\overline{v_{\mu}} \rightarrow \overline{v_{e}})$ 

- CPV term in probability is proportional to  $\sin\theta_{13} \sin\delta_{cp}$
- At maximum CPV ~±25% change.
- Test an exotic (non-MNS) CPV sources.

### Sensitivity of CP violation



Thanks a lot!