Post-inflationary magnetogenesis in axion inflation

Ryo Namba

Kavli IPMU

Shin-gakujuku Kick-off Meeting Kavli IPMU September 21, 2015

Fujita, RN, Tada, Takeda & Tashiro, JCAP 1505 (2015) 05, 054 [arXiv:1503.05802]

Fujita & RN, soon to appear

Outline



Axion inflation – Helical magnetic fields





Outline

Introduction – Extragalactic magnetic fields

- Axion inflation Helical magnetic fields
- 3 Post-inflationary evolution
- Present magnetic field amplitude

Observed extragalactic magnetic fields

Large-scale magnetic field observed

- $\diamond\,$ Galactic scale \sim kpc: $\,10^{-6}-10^{-5}G$
- ♦ Extragalactic scales \sim Mpc: $B_{eff}^{obs} \gtrsim 10^{-17} G$
 - \triangleright Blazar TeV-GeV γ ray observation



Neronov & Vovk '10, Essey et al. '11, Takahashi et al. '13



590

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶



Free EM photon is *conformally* coupled to FRW metric

- ♦ EoM: $(\partial_{\tau}^2 + k^2) \vec{A} = 0$ no effects from expansion, no production
- Several mechanisms have been proposed
 - Cosmological phase transition
 Vachaspati '91, Enqvist & Olsen '93
 - 2nd-order pert. theory
 Ichiki et al. '07, Maeda et al. '09, Fenu et al. '11, Saga et al. '15

Inflationary magnetic field production

Turner & Widrow '88, Ratra '92, Bamba & Yokoyama '04, Martin & Yokoyama '08, Kunze '10, ...

Difficulties in large-scale magnetogenesis



$$\frac{d\langle B^2\rangle}{d\ln k} \sim H^4 \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{5-2|n-\frac{1}{2}|}, \qquad I \propto a^{-n}$$

Strong coupling problem

Demozzi, Mukhanov & Rubinstein '09

$$\diamond \ \vec{A}_{\rm c} = I \vec{A}$$

$$\implies \mathcal{L}_{A\psi\psi} = e \, \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu} \psi = \frac{e}{l} \, \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} A_{c,\mu} \psi$$

♦ needs
$$I \gtrsim 1$$
 at all times $\Leftrightarrow n > 0$



- Strong backreaction problem
 - ♦ Large-scale \vec{B} \Leftrightarrow magnetic spectral index $n_B < 0$
 - ♦ However, $\rho_E \gg \rho_B$
 - $\diamond~$ Iso-curvature mode due to $\rho_{\rm E}$ back-react to inflationary dynamics and curvature perturbations !



- Strong backreaction problem
 - ♦ Large-scale \vec{B} \Leftrightarrow magnetic spectral index $n_B < 0$
 - ♦ However, $\rho_E \gg \rho_B$
 - $\diamond~$ Iso-curvature mode due to $\rho_{\rm E}$ back-react to inflationary dynamics and curvature perturbations !



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Model independent limit} \\ \rho_{\rm inf}^{1/4} < 300 \, {\rm MeV} \left(\frac{1 \, {\rm Mpc}}{L_B} \right)^{5/4} \left(\frac{10^{-15} \, {\rm G}}{B_{\rm obs}} \right) \ , \quad (L_B \leq 1 \, {\rm Mpc}) \\ \\ \mbox{Fujita \& Yokoyama '14} \end{array}$

Must break the premises

Production only during inflation

- $ec{B}$ evolves adiabatically after inflation, $B_{
 m phy} \propto a^{-2}$
- $A_i \propto \tau^n$ is a good approx at the last e-folding of inflation

Must overcome the obstacles

- Substantial dilution after inflation
- Too large electromagnetic energy spoiling inflation
- Induced curvature perturbations consistent with CMB

For sufficient production...

Post-inflationary evolution

Ryo Namba (Kavli IPMU)

Post-inflationary magnetogenesis

Kick-off 2015 9 / 24

Outline



- 2 Axion inflation Helical magnetic fields
 - 3 Post-inflationary evolution
 - Present magnetic field amplitude

Why and what is **axion inflation**?

Axion inflation

- Successful inflation
- UV controllable theory

590

Why and what is axion inflation ?

- \diamond Slow roll of inflaton φ is necessary for a prolonged inflationary stage
- Slow roll in a standard single-field inflation is UV sensitive
 - Radiative corrections



η problem in supergravity

$$|\eta| \ll$$
 1 is needed but $V_{
m SG} \sim V rac{arphi^2}{M_{
m P}^2}$ leads $\eta \sim {\cal O}(1)$

- One solution to invoke shift symmetry
 - ▷ Symmetry exact \Leftrightarrow completely flat potential $V(\varphi) = \text{const.}$
 - ▷ Mild breaking guarantees flat $V(\varphi)$
- Natural candidate...?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Why and what is axion inflation ?

- \diamond Slow roll of inflaton φ is necessary for a prolonged inflationary stage
- Slow roll in a standard single-field inflation is UV sensitive
 - Radiative corrections



η problem in supergravity

$$|\eta| \ll$$
 1 is needed but $V_{
m SG} \sim V rac{arphi^2}{M_{
m P}^2}$ leads $\eta \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

Or Axions – (pseudo) Nambu-Goldstone bosons

- Arise from global symmetry breaking
- Ubiquitous in particle theory
- Flat $V(\varphi)$ guaranteed a good candidate for inflaton!
 - Natural inflation Freese, Frieman & Olinto '90

Ryo Namba (Kavli IPMU)

◊ Na

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A)

Axion inflation

- Successful inflation
- UV controllable theory

A natural coupling to electromagnetic fields – fixed by symmetries

5900

Axion-gauge coupling
$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \frac{\alpha}{f} \varphi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$$

A natural coupling to electromagnetic fields – fixed by symmetries



Ryo Namba (Kavli IPMU)

Post-inflationary magnetogenesis

Kick-off 2015 13 / 24

Other phenomenological features

Bounds from CMB observations

Produced photons inverse-decay to inflaton quanta

 \implies contribute to curvature perturbations

$$A + A \rightarrow \delta \varphi \rightarrow \zeta$$

Barnaby, RN & Peloso '12; Meerburg & Pajer '12

CMB bounds
$$rac{lpha}{f} \leq 35 - 48 M_p^{-1}$$
Planck collaboration '15



Other phenomenological features

Prospects at gravitational-wave detectors

Produced photons contribute to anisotropic shear

 \implies source tensor perturbations (GW)

- ▷ No signal at CMB scales ⇔ Bounds on scalar perturbations are too strong
- Potential signals at GW interferometer scales



Barnaby, Pajer & Peloso '12

Ryo Namba (Kavli IPMU)

Kick-off 2015 15 / 24

Other phenomenological features

Prospects at gravitational-wave detectors

Produced photons contribute to anisotropic shear

 \implies source tensor perturbations (GW)

- ▷ No signal at CMB scales ⇔ Bounds on scalar perturbations are too strong
- Potential signals at GW interferometer scales

Barnaby, Pajer & Peloso '12



- No constraints from current (1st generation) detectors
- Future (2nd & 3rd gen.) have potential to detect helical GWs !

Crowder et al. '12; c.f. Seto & Taruya '07

Outline

Introduction – Extragalactic magnetic fields

Axion inflation – Helical magnetic fields





Evolution of magntic fields



Numerical computation during and after inflation until the coupling shuts off:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{A}_{\pm} + H\dot{A}_{\pm} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} \mp \frac{\alpha}{f} \frac{k}{a} \dot{\phi}_0\right) A_{\pm} &= 0\\ \ddot{\phi}_0 + 3H\dot{\phi}_0 + V_{\phi}(\phi_0) &= \frac{\alpha}{f} \langle \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \rangle\\ 3M_{\rho}^2 H^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_0^2 + V(\phi_0) + \frac{\langle \vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2 \rangle}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Growth around the end of inflation

Growth triggered by the coupling

Tachyonic growth

- towards the end of inflation
- growth only in one helicity state

Parametric resonance

- lasts a few e-folds after inflation
- growth in both helicity states



Ryo Namba (Kavli IPMU)

Evolution of amplitude and correlation length

- \diamond Non-trivial evolution of \vec{B} fields during and after inflation
- \diamond Once parametric resonance ceases, the \vec{B} fields evolve adiabatically



$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathsf{phys}} \simeq \left(6 \cdot 10^{45} \, a^{-4}
ight) \, \mathrm{G} \;, \quad \lambda_{\mathsf{phys}} \simeq \left(9 \cdot 10^{-52} \, a
ight) \; \mathsf{Mpc} \;, \quad \left(rac{lpha}{f} = 8 \, \mathit{M}_{
ho}^{-1}, \mathit{N} \gtrsim 2
ight)$$

Inverse cascade in turbulent plasma

Inverse cascade = helicity conservation

- Nonlinearity of MHD dynamics (High Reynolds number)
- Helicity of the magnetized fluid with high conductivity is conserved
- Part of the energy is transferred to larger scales



Inverse cascade in turbulent plasma

Inverse cascade = helicity conservation

- Nonlinearity of MHD dynamics (High Reynolds number)
- Helicity of the magnetized fluid with high conductivity is conserved
- Part of the energy is transferred to larger scales



Outline

Introduction – Extragalactic magnetic fields

Axion inflation – Helical magnetic fields

3 Post-inflationary evolution



Concerning issues

- Low conductivity
 - ▷ Thermalized charged particles wash away \vec{E} fields and "freeze" \vec{B} fields
 - \triangleright Do not thermalize if $\Gamma_{\phi} \lesssim 10^{6}\,{
 m GeV}$

Perturbation under control

 \triangleright We have neglected the effects from inflaton perturbation $\delta \varphi$, e.g.,



 $\,\triangleright\,\,$ Calculation consistent as long as $\delta\varphi\ll\phi_{\rm 0}$ at all times



Present magnetic field amplitude



590

Present magnetic field amplitude



 \diamond MUCH bigger than those in inflationary *IFF* models, $\lesssim 10^{-47}$ G !

 \diamond STILL smaller than the observed bound, $B_{\rm obs} \gtrsim 10^{-17} \, {\rm G}...$

Summary and outlook

- Blazars observations \Rightarrow $B_{\rm eff} \gtrsim 10^{-17} \, {\rm G}$ at $\sim 1 \, {\rm Mpc}$!
- Challenging to find inflation-only origins \Rightarrow post-inflationary evolution
- Theoretically motivated axion inflation studied
 - Rich phenomenology
 - $\,\triangleright\,\,$ Non-Gaussian curvature perturbations, gravitational waves at interferometers
 - \diamond Generation mechanism of \vec{B} naturally implemented
 - Rich physics
 - > Tachyonic enhancement near the end of inflation
 - Parametric resonance
 - $\,\triangleright\,\,$ Parity violation $\Rightarrow\,$ helical \vec{B} $\Rightarrow\,$ Inverse cascade
 - \diamond Much larger \vec{B} than previous studies ! ...but not enough for blazars

• More elaborate model that incorporates post-inf. evolution of \vec{B} is needed

- Work in progress: post-inflationary kinetic coupling model
- $\diamond~$ Preliminary results: $B_{obf}\gtrsim 10^{-15}\,G$ is possible with all constraints satisfied
- ...but not enough time in this talk

nan